

# Development of a microbiological preparation for crops based on *Bacillus pumilus* strains

Angelina Malkova\*, Ivan Evdokimov, Maxim Shirmanov, Alena Irkitova, and Dina Dudnik  
EC "Prombiotech", Altai State University, Lenin Avenue 61, 656049, Barnaul, Russian Federation

**Abstract.** Data of the microbial biopreparation development for protection and crop growth stimulation on the *Bacillus* bacteria basis are presented. Three *B. pumilus* strains isolated from the Altai region (the Russian Federation) plants rhizosphere were selected as active components of the bacterial preparation. L-bulone was chosen as the nutrient medium for flasks cultivation of the inoculum. A molasses-based nutrient medium was used to incubate the bacilli in a 15-liter fermenter. The finished microbial preparation was obtained in dry form. The biopreparation is a powder consisting of a lyophilically dried concentrates mixture of genus *Bacillus* spores. Bacilli biomass were pre-mixed with a protective medium based on gelatin and sucrose. The final number of bacteria in the microbial preparation is  $1.29(\pm 0.30) \times 10^{12}$  CFU/g.

## 1 Introduction

The USA, China, Brazil, Argentina and Russia are among the top agricultural producing countries. The protection of plants from various phytopathogens is currently one of the most important problem of this sector of the national economy. The use of plant protection chemicals in agriculture is widespread. It does a negative impact on human and animal health, as well as on the environment in general. Therefore, in recent years there has been an active development of environmentally friendly agriculture through the creation of biological preparations based on microorganisms [1].

Microbial biopreparations are live cells of microorganisms selected for useful properties. They may also contain metabolites [2]. The finished form of biological preparation can be the fluid culture of microorganisms [3]. In this case additional cleaning operations will not be necessary. Microbial cells suspended in various buffers also belong to liquid preparations [4]. This form of products is the most common, despite a number of disadvantages, such as: short shelf life, inconvenient transportation, instability of properties, etc. It is because the creation of biological preparations in this form is the least energy-consuming and labor-intensive, requiring no additional equipment or monetary investment. The preparative form of the microbial product can also be in the form of a paste or thickened cell biomass, gel, etc. [5]. If the target product is produced in dry form, it may be necessary to introduce high-tech processes into the production cycle. Cryopreservation

---

\* Corresponding author: [gelishka96@mail.ru](mailto:gelishka96@mail.ru)

or lyophilization can be used to preserve the properties and extend the shelf life of the biopreparation [6].

Molds, yeasts, actinomycetes, and other bacteria are widely used in biotechnological production. Biopreparations containing not a monoculture, but an entire consortium of microorganisms have gained wide popularity in recent years. It is because the microbial composition is more resistant to various environmental factors and has enhanced metabolic capabilities [7].

Bacteria of the genus *Bacillus* have a high biotechnological potential. Microbial preparations based on these microorganisms are widely used in modern agriculture. "Phytosporin-M", "Alirin-B", and "Bactofit" are the most popular bacillus-based biopreparations for crop production in the Russian Federation. These plant protection products are active against root rot, seed mold, *Phytophthora*, *Alternaria*, *Rhizoctonia*, *Oidium*, etc. [8]. They all contain the most popular species among bacilli, *B. subtilis* [9]. At present, scientists are actively studying the effectiveness of other representatives of the genus *Bacillus* with antifungal and growth-stimulating effects on crops. Researchers in the United States determined that a *B. pumilus* (MSH) strain synthesizes a compound that inhibits the growth of such phytopathogens as *Mucor* and *Aspergillus* [10]. Russian scientists have found that a consortium of *B. amyloliquefaciens*, *B.adius*, *B. gibsonii*, *B. methyloprophicus*, *B. pumilus*, *B. simplex*, *Brevibacterium halotolerans* and *Pantoea agglomerans* strains is more effective in inhibiting plant phytopathogens than each of the strains alone [11]. Therefore, the creation of polycomponent preparations for agriculture based on bacilli is promising.

The aim of this research was to develop a microbiological preparation for crops based on a consortium of three *B. pumilus* strains.

## 2 Materials and Methods

Three *B. pumilus* strains from EC "Prombiotech" collection of microorganisms were used in this study (Table 1). The bacteria were isolated from the Altai Krai (the Russian Federation) plants rhizosphere. The strain *B. pumilus* 16 was deposited in the All-Russian Collection of Industrial Microorganisms (VKPM) with number B-13250 and patented (RF Patent No. 2694522).

**Table 1.** Objects of the study.

Strain	Source	Collecting ground
<i>B. pumilus</i> 4	<i>Berberoa incana</i> rhizosphere	Altai district, Aya village
<i>B. pumilus</i> 7	<i>Rumex acetosa</i> rhizosphere	Altai district, Aya village
<i>B. pumilus</i> 16	<i>Cichorium intybus</i> rhizosphere	Pavlovsky district, Novye Zori village

L–nutrient medium was used to obtain a mother culture and to count the number of bacteria. *Bacillus* plates were incubated for 18-24 h at 37 °C in a thermostat. *B. pumilus* strains were grown in 500 ml flasks in a shaker incubator under similar conditions with rotation at 250 rpm [12]. The working volume of the fermenter is about 10-12 L, and the mother culture proportion should be about 10%. Therefore, the starter culture of each strain was prepared in 1 L volume.

*B. pumilus* strains were cultured in a fermenter on nutrient media of the following composition (%): 2.5 molasses, 1.25 corn extract, 0.1 yeast extract, 0.05 peptone, 0.025 MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 0.003 MnSO<sub>4</sub>, 0.1 CoCl<sub>2</sub>. Saline solution at the rate of 10 µl per 1 L was added to the medium. The salt liquid consisted of 1 g FeSO<sub>4</sub>, 1 g CuSO<sub>4</sub> and 100 ml of distilled water.

Initial conditions in the bioreactors: sterile air supply rate – 0.5 L/min; pH – 6,8-7,0; pressure – 0,2-0,3 atm.; stirring speed – 250 rpm; temperature – 37 °C. The cultivation time was 18-24 hours.

Samples from the fermenter were taken for microscopy and optical density (OD) measurements at 490 nm to control the biotechnological process.

The bioreactor culture liquid upon completion of the fermentation process was centrifuged at 4100 rpm for 20 minutes. Then the cell biomass was mixed with the protective medium (2.5% gelatin and 10% sucrose) and frozen. The spores were then lyophilized to produce dry bacterial concentrates [13].

### 3 Results and Discussion

The results in table 2 shows that all of studied strains reached a high abundance within the same order of magnitude when cultured in flasks. For all *B. pumilus* strains OD and pH were similar and within optimal values. According to bacilli culture microscopic studies, vegetative cells predominate in the microscope field after 18-24 h of cultivation. Spores were not actually detected. All of the above indicates that L-broth is suitable as a nutrient medium for the selected strains inoculum cultivation.

**Table 2.** Mother culture indices of *B. pumilus* strains.

Strain	Number, CFU/ml	OD	pH
<i>B. pumilus</i> 4	3.21(±0.27) × 10 <sup>9</sup>	0.386±0.039	7.08±0.13
<i>B. pumilus</i> 7	1.53(±0.38) × 10 <sup>9</sup>	0.268±0.066	6.85±0.18
<i>B. pumilus</i> 16	2.01(±0.55) × 10 <sup>9</sup>	0.365±0.068	6.73±0.28

Table 3 shows the dynamics of *Bacillus* cultures OD in a 15-liter fermenter. *B. pumilus* 4 changed the medium OD faster, but all strains reached approximately the same value of this index by the end of fermentation. The pH of *B. pumilus* 4 culture reached the value 7.31±0.12, *B. pumilus* 7 – 7.13±0.06, and *B. pumilus* 16 – 8.04±0.21 by the end of the fermentation process.

**Table 3.** OD change by hours of bioreactor cultivation

Strain	OD by hours			
	2	4	6	18-24
<i>B. pumilus</i> 4	0.648±0.173	1.401±0.259	1.818±0.322	2.290±0.365
<i>B. pumilus</i> 7	0.592±0.170	1.172±0.247	1.584±0.352	2.284±0.124
<i>B. pumilus</i> 16	0.573±0.106	1.083±0.074	1.589±0.389	2.382±0.064

By the interval of 18-24 h from the fermentation beginning OD ceases to change, microscopic analysis reveals the spores predominance over vegetative cells, oxygen is no longer consumed. All of it signaled the end of the bacilli cultivation process in the fermenter. The fermentation indicates of the genus *Bacillus* strains differed slightly, but this was not significantly affect the duration of cultivation.

The cells number of all studied strains after lyophilic drying increased by an order of magnitude compared to the cells number of the fermenter culture liquid (Table 4). The highest CFU/g value from the fermenter was observed for *B. pumilus* 16 strain. After drying the highest cells number was characteristic of *B. pumilus* 7 concentrate.

**Table 4.** Changes in the bacilli number during production processes

Strain	Number in the fermenter, CFU/ml	Number in the lyophilized concentrate, CFU/g	Number in the finished preparation, CFU/g
<i>B. pumilus</i> 4	$1.61(\pm 0.59) \times 10^{10}$	$2.91(\pm 0.79) \times 10^{11}$	$1.29(\pm 0.30) \times 10^{12}$
<i>B. pumilus</i> 7	$1.36(\pm 0.06) \times 10^{10}$	$5.77(\pm 0.89) \times 10^{11}$	
<i>B. pumilus</i> 16	$3.77(\pm 0.33) \times 10^{10}$	$2.64(\pm 0.33) \times 10^{11}$	

The lyophilized biomass weight of genus *Bacillus* bacteria was about 70-80 g for each strain. Concentrates of all three bacilli strains were mixed in a 1:1:1 ratio to obtain the finished preparation. The practically established cells number of the biopreparation corresponded to mathematical calculations based on the *B. pumilus* strains CFU/g addition.

A high number of bacterial cells characterizes the finished microbial preparation. Therefore, it is assumed to have good biological activity. It will allow to get the desired effect using small doses of biopreparation. This fact also shows the economic profitability of the new bacterial preparation for plants.

## 4 Conclusion

1. L-broth is a favorable nutrient medium for the cultivation of tested strains mother culture.
2. The molasses-based medium in the fermenter increases the number of *B. pumilus* 4, *B. pumilus* 7, *B. pumilus* 16 to  $10^{10}$  CFU/g. It could not be done in flasks.
3. Lyophilic drying increases the bacteria number by another order of magnitude to  $10^{11}$ .
4. The biopreparation obtained by equal proportions mixing of three *B. pumilus* strains concentrates has a final cells number of  $1.29(\pm 0.30) \times 10^{12}$  CFU/g.

## Acknowledgements

The research was carried out within the framework of the Scientific and Pedagogical Employees Support Program of FSFEI HE "Altai State University". Project "Evaluation of microbial biopreparation effectiveness in control of crops phytopathogens".

## References

1. R.R. Azizbekyan, Appl. Biochem, Microbiol., **55(8)**, 816 (2019)
2. S.S. Murodova, K.D. Davranov, Biotechnologia Acta, **7(6)**, 92 (2014)
3. A.P. Kozhemyakov, Yu.V. Laktionov, T.A. Popova, A.G. Orlova, A.L. Kokorina, O.B. Vaishlya, E.V. Agafonov, S.A. Guzhvin, A.A. Churakov, M.T. Yakovleva, Agricultural Biology, **50(3)**, 371 (2015)
4. W. Nopcharoenkul, P. Pinphanichakarn, O. Pinyakong, J. of Appl. Microb., **111(1)**, 36 (2011)
5. J.A. Salamatova, O.M. Minaeva, E.E. Akimova, Tomsk State University Journal of Biology, **1(9)**, 20 (2010)
6. L. Bircher, A. Geirnaert, F. Hammes, C. Lacroix, C. Schwab, Microb. Biotechnol., **11(4)**, 721 (2018)
7. X. Qiana, L. Chena, Yu. Suib, C. Chenb, W. Zhangac, J. Zhouac, W. Dongac, M. Jiangac, F. Xinac, K. Ochsenreitherd, Biotech. Advances, **40**, 107500 (2019)

8. E.M. Prikhodko, S.S. Avdeenko, Conference "Development of scientific, creative and innovative activities of young people, 394 (2018)
9. N. Khan, P. Martínez-Hidalgo, T.A. Ice, M. Maymon, E.A. Humm, N. Nejat, E.R. Sanders, D. Kaplan, A.M. Hirsch, *Front. Microbiol.*, **9**, 2363 (2018)
10. E.J. Bottone, *J. of Med. Microb.*, **52(1)**, 69 (2003)
11. H. Mohamed, A.M. Peterson, G.G. Tkachenko, *Izvestiya of Saratov University*, **16 (4)**, 420 (2016)
12. A.N. Irkitova, A.V. Grebenschikova, *Ukr. j. ecol.*, **8(4)**, 445 (2018)
13. M.B. Kupletskaya, A.I. Netrusov, *Microbiology*, **80(6)**, 851 (2011)