

# Fauna of Ground Beetles (Coleoptera, Carabidae) in Broad-leaved Forests of the Republic of Mordovia (Central European Russia)

Sergei Alekseev<sup>1</sup>, Viktor Aleksanov<sup>1</sup>, Alexander Ruchin<sup>2\*</sup>, Sergei Lukiyanov<sup>2,3</sup>, Eugeny Lobachev<sup>2,3</sup>, Mikhail Esir<sup>2</sup>, and Gennady Semishin<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Parks Directorate of Kaluga Region, Russia

<sup>2</sup>Joint Directorate of the Mordovia State Nature Reserve and National Park "Smolny", Russia

<sup>3</sup>National Research Mordovia State University, Russia

**Abstract.** The fauna of Carabidae adults in broad-leaved forests of the Republic of Mordovia (central European Russia) was studied. A total of 18210 ground beetle specimens of 104 species (8 subfamilies) were collected. The most numerous species were eight species: *Carabus cancellatus*, *Pterostichus niger*, *Pterostichus oblongopunctatus*, *Limodromus assimilis*, *Calosoma inquisitor*, *Carabus granulatus*, *Pterostichus melanarius*, *Carabus arvensis*. The basis of the Carabidae fauna consists of 25 species with high occurrence (50% and more). Among them, four species are characterized by 100% occurrence: *Carabus granulatus*, *Carabus hortensis*, *Pterostichus niger*, *Pterostichus oblongopunctatus*.

## 1 Introduction

Worldwide, forests cover almost a third of the land area and contain over 80% of terrestrial biodiversity. The extent and quality of forest habitats continue to decline, and the associated loss of biodiversity threatens the functioning of forest ecosystems [3]. It is possible that the simultaneous reduction in both quantity and quality of forests will lead to the mass extinction of many species living in forests [4]. Loss of forest biodiversity can seriously impair the functioning of forest ecosystems [5]. In the center of European Russia, relatively small massifs represent broad-leaved forests. They are located in watershed areas of secondary moraine and erosion-denudation plains with gray forest soils and podzolized chernozems. In many cases, the ancient broad-leaved forests have been significantly affected by anthropogenic activities [6-7]. Accordingly, the remaining broad-leaved forests have been preserved mainly in inaccessible or have been taken under protection in protected areas. Such different areas act as hotspots [8].

Ground beetles (Coleoptera, Carabidae) are suitable for study because they are a species-rich group of insects that are common in most terrestrial ecosystems. The high biodiversity of Carabidae species, which have multiple dispersal strategies, and activity strategies, has contributed to this success. This has led to widespread success in terrestrial

\* Corresponding author: [ruchin.alexander@gmail.com](mailto:ruchin.alexander@gmail.com)

ecosystems, where they play an important functional role [14]. This publication presents the results of a study of the fauna and biology of Carabidae in broad-leaved forests of the Republic of Mordovia (center of European Russia).

## 2 Materials and methods

The Republic of Mordovia is located in the center of the European Plain between the Volga basin. It is located at the junction of forest and forest-steppe zones. Broad-leaved forests are found mainly in the central and eastern part of the republic. However, in many places such forests are secondary forests that have arisen after significant mass logging. Such forests are of coppice origin. Intact broad-leaved forests have survived in places where industrial logging was inconvenient or forests were protected by the state. Currently, such forests are found in the floodplains of large rivers. It was in such forests that the ground beetle fauna was investigated.

Studies were conducted in 2008, 2012, 2014, 2015, 2017-2019, 2022. Data were obtained from 14 localities situated in the Zubovo-Polyanovskiy, Temnikov, Elniki and Bolshoe Ignatovo districts of the republic. The collections were done with pitfall traps. The pitfall traps were 0.5 L plastic cups with a 4% formalin solution poured into them. The traps were placed in one line of ten traps (from late April to September). One locality exhibited one such line. The distance between the cups was 2 meters. The evaluation of the results was expressed in dynamic density (individuals per 100 trap days). Identification was carried out according to the nomenclature proposed by Lobl and Lobl [17]. In the lists of species, subfamilies are arranged in a systematic order; in subfamilies, species are arranged alphabetically. The life forms of ground beetles were analyzed according to the method [18].

## 3 Results

According to long-term studies in broad-leaved forests, 104 specimens of ground beetles were collected. The species diversity of Carabidae in these ecosystems is 104 species from eight subfamilies (table 1).

**Table 1.** Biodiversity and dynamic density of ground beetles of broad-leaved forests of the Republic of Mordovia

Species	Number of individuals	Dynamic density, individuals per 100 trap days	Occurrence, %
Carabinae			
<i>Calosoma inquisitum</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	1418	10.151	35.7
<i>Calosoma investigatum</i> (Illiger, 1798)	4	0.018	7.1
<i>Carabus arvensis</i> baschkiricus Breuning, 1932	1070	3.801	64.3
<i>Carabus cancellatus</i> Illiger, 1798	3020	13.074	85.7
<i>Carabus clathratus</i> Linnaeus, 1760	4	0.021	14.2
<i>Carabus convexus</i> Fabricius, 1775	80	0.369	64.3
<i>Carabus coriaceus</i> Linnaeus, 1758	129	0.622	71.4
<i>Carabus hortensis</i> Linnaeus, 1758	384	2.092	100
<i>Carabus glabratus</i> Paykull, 1790	480	2.976	92.8
<i>Carabus granulatus</i> Linnaeus, 1758	1190	6.307	100
<i>Carabus nemoralis</i> G.F. Müller, 1764	378	1.994	14.2
<i>Carabus schoenherri</i> Fischer von Waldheim, 1820	1	0.015	7.1
<i>Cychrus caraboides</i> Linnaeus, 1758)	31	0.153	50
Elaphrinae			

Elaphrus cupreus (Duftschmid, 1812)	6	0.039	21.4
Harpalinae			
Agonum fuliginosum (Panzer, 1809)	12	0.059	35.7
Agonum gracilipes (Duftschmid, 1812)	6	0.025	35.7
Agonum micans (Nicolai, 1822)	1	0.005	7.1
Agonum viduum (Panzer, 1796)	16	0.089	35.7
Amara aenea (De Geer, 1774)	29	0.342	35.7
Amara bifrons (Gyllenhal, 1810)	1	0.016	7.1
Amara brunnea (Gyllenhal, 1810)	4	0.026	21.4
Amara communis (Panzer, 1797)	135	0.664	64.3
Amara eurynota (Panzer, 1796)	7	0.030	28.6
Amara familiaris (Duftschmid, 1812)	1	0.004	7.1
Amara lunicollis (Schjødte, 1837)	3	0.014	7.1
Amara gebleri (Dejean, 1831)	8	0.077	21.4
Amara ovata (Fabricius, 1792)	7	0.030	28.6
Amara nitida (Sturm, 1825)	2	0.008	14.2
Amara plebeja (Gyllenhal, 1810)	1	0.004	7.1
Amara similata (Gyllenhal, 1810)	6	0.039	28.6
Amara tibialis (Paykull, 1798)	9	0.095	28.6
Anisodactylus nemorivagus (Duftschmid, 1812)	15	0.221	21.4
Anisodactylus signatus (Panzer, 1796)	1	0.004	7.1
Badister bullatus (Schrank, 1798)	10	0.044	28.6
Badister lacertosus (Sturm, 1815)	46	0.246	71.4
Badister collaris (Motschulsky, 1844)	1	0.016	7.1
Badister sodalis (Duftschmid, 1812)	8	0.036	21.4
Calathus erratus (C.R. Sahlberg, 1827)	1	0.004	7.1
Calathus fuscipes (Goeze, 1777)	6	0.093	7.1
Calathus melanocephalus (Linnaeus, 1758)	5	0.078	7.1
Calathus micropterus (Duftschmid, 1812)	36	0.147	28.6
Chlaenius nigricornis (Fabricius, 1787)	6	0.041	7.1
Chlaenius tristis (Schaller, 1783)	2	0.014	7.1
Dromius agilis (Fabricius, 1787)	1	0.011	7.1
Harpalus affinis (Schrank, 1781)	3	0.046	7.1
Harpalus autumnalis (Duftschmid, 1812)	1	0.004	7.1
Harpalus distinguendus (Duftschmid, 1812)	5	0.067	14.2
Harpalus griseus (Panzer, 1796)	1	0.004	7.1
Harpalus laevipes (Zetterstedt, 1828)	149	0.571	78.6
Harpalus latus (Linnaeus, 1758)	155	0.757	78.6
Harpalus progrediens (Schauberger, 1922)	19	0.165	21.4
Harpalus pumilus (Sturm, 1818)	3	0.046	7.1
Harpalus rubripes (Duftschmid, 1812)	17	0.209	28.6
Harpalus rufipes (De Geer, 1774)	299	1.370	50
Harpalus signaticornis (Duftschmid, 1812)	3	0.019	21.4
Harpalus smaragdinus (Duftschmid, 1812)	2	0.019	14.2
Harpalus tardus (Panzer, 1796)	39	0.289	57.1
Harpalus xanthopus (winkler) (Schauberger, 1923)	32	0.131	42.9
Lebia cruxminor (Linnaeus, 1758)	2	0.031	7.1
Lebia cyanocephala (Linnaeus, 1758)	1	0.004	7.1
Licinus depressus (Paykull, 1790)	4	0.017	14.2
Limodromus assimilis (Paykull, 1790)	1674	8.727	71.4
Limodromus krynicki (Sperk, 1835)	182	0.989	35.7
Microlestes maurus (Sturm, 1827)	27	0.414	14.2
Oodes helopioides (Fabricius, 1792)	60	0.369	28.6
Ophonus puncticeps (Stephens, 1828)	1	0.004	7.1
Ophonus puncticollis (Paykull, 1798)	1	0.004	7.1
Oxypselaphus obscurus (Herbst, 1784)	34	0.171	42.9
Panagaeus bipustulatus (Fabricius, 1775)	9	0.044	35.7
Platynus livens (Gyllenhal, 1810)	1	0.006	7.1
Poecilus cupreus (Linnaeus, 1758)	118	0.582	50

Poecilus lepidus (Leske, 1785)	1	0.016	7.1
Poecilus punctulatus (Schaller, 1783)	2	0.031	7.1
Poecilus versicolor (Sturm, 1824)	304	2.028	64.3
Pterostichus anthracinus (Illiger, 1798)	161	1.032	50
Pterostichus diligens (Sturm, 1824)	2	0.011	7.1
Pterostichus gracilis (Dejean, 1828)	1	0.006	7.1
Pterostichus mannerheimi (Dejean, 1831)	316	1.633	35.7
Pterostichus minor (Gyllenhal, 1827)	37	0.179	50
Pterostichus melanarius (Illiger, 1798)	1104	6.211	92.8
Pterostichus niger (Schaller, 1783)	2070	10.36	100
Pterostichus nigrita (Paykull, 1790)	128	0.663	35.7
Pterostichus oblongopunctatus (Fabricius, 1787)	2007	12.935	100
Pterostichus rhaeticus (Leer, 1837)	18	0.119	14.2
Pterostichus strenuus (Panzer, 1796)	146	0.753	57.1
Pterostichus quadrifoveolatus (Latzner, 1852)	16	0.091	28.6
Pterostichus uralensis (Motschulsky, 1850)	9	0.041	7.1
Stomis pumicatus (Panzer, 1796)	4	0.014	7.1
Synuchus vividus (Illiger, 1798)	9	0.063	50
Loricerae			
Loricera pilicornis (Fabricius, 1775)	11	0.054	28.6
Nebriinae			
Leistus terminatus (Panzer, 1793)	11	0.048	21.4
Notiophilus aquaticus (Linnaeus, 1758)	1	0.004	7.1
Notiophilus germiny (Fauvel, 1863)	7	0.030	14.2
Notiophilus palustris (Duftschmid, 1812)	56	0.243	57.1
Patrobinae			
Patrobus atrorufus (Ström, 1768)	185	0.936	28.6
Scaritinae			
Clivina fossor (Linnaeus, 1758)	1	0.016	7.1
Trechinae			
Asaphidion flavipes (Linnaeus, 1761)	7	0.042	28.6
Bembidion biguttatum (Fabricius, 1779)	5	0.035	7.1
Bembidion guttula (Fabricius, 1792)	1	0.004	7.1
Bembidion fumigatum (Duftschmid, 1812)	1	0.006	7.1
Bembidion lampros (Herbst, 1784)	41	0.188	7.1
Bembidion mannerheimi (C.R. Sahlberg, 1827)	4	0.019	14.2
Bembidion properans (Stephens, 1828)	39	0.530	21.4
Trechus secalis (Paykull, 1790)	82	0.439	42.9
Total of trap-days		18830	
Total of individuals		18210	

The most numerous species (in terms of total number of ~~there~~ 1000 specimens) were eight species: *Carabus cancellatus*, *Pterostichus niger*, *Pterostichus oblongopunctatus*, *Limodromus assimilis*, *Calosoma inquisitor*, *Carabus granulatus*, *Pterostichus melanarius*, *Carabus arvensis*. They accounted for 13,553 specimens (74.4%). Single specimens represented 21 species of ground beetles.

The dominant species (catchability from 5 to 20%) were ~~species~~ *Carabus arvensis*. These are the same numerous species except *Carabus arvensis*, which was the subdominant species in broad-leaved forests (catchability from 3 to 5%). Several ~~species~~ were classified as rare (recedents) (catchability from 1 to 3%). The greatest ~~number~~ of the ground beetle fauna was represented by incidental species (subrecedents) (catchability less than 1%) ~~189~~ 89 species.

The basis of the ground beetle fauna consists of 25 ~~species~~ with a high occurrence (50% and higher). Among them, four species are characterized by 100% occurrence: *Carabus granulatus*, *Carabus hortensis*, *Pterostichus niger*, *Pterostichus oblongopunctatus*; *Carabus glabratus* and *Pterostichus melanarius* are very slightly inferior to them in occurrence.

## 4 Discussion

To understand the peculiarities of the ground beetle fauna in broad-leaved forests of the Republic of Mordovia, it is advisable to consider it from the aspect of life forms. Adults of 104 species of ground beetles in broad-leaved forests of the Republic of Mordovia are represented by 12 groups of life forms from two classes: zoophagous and myxophytophagous. By feeding on various invertebrates, zoophages are the important regulators of the number of forest soil mesofauna. Their dominance (in terms of species abundance and dynamic density) is established in all broad-leaved forests of the region without exception. This trophic group accounts for 70.2% of the species and 93% of the numerical abundance of ground beetles in broad-leaved forests. In the structure, zoophages were represented by nine groups of life forms living mainly in the upper layer of the soil and on its surface. In general, the identified spectrum of life forms is typical of the zone of mixed and broad-leaved forests [18].

Among species with high abundance and occurrence, a place is occupied by zoophagous large walking epigeobionts, uniting the largest ground beetles, representatives of the genus *Carabus* and *Cychrus*. The species of this group mentioned above, which are characterized by 100% occurrence, have an optimum precisely in the zone of broad-leaved forests [19], although *Carabus granulatus* is widely distributed and inhabits a diverse spectrum of biotopes in the zone of broad-leaved forests. *Carabus granulatus*, which generally has an optimum in the zone of coniferous forests, turned out to be a recedent in broad-leaved forests with a high occurrence. Compared to the more western regions [20, 21], the high abundance of *C. cancellatus* and *C. arvensis*, species characteristic of drier and warmer biotopes, attracts attention. The largest ground beetle in the region, *C. coriaceus* is found in most broad-leaved forests, but it is not abundant. This species feeds mainly on terrestrial molluscs [19], and due to its size, it needs a structure that would provide appropriate shelters (depressions in the microrelief, crevices in the buttresses of trees, cracks along the roots, etc.). Its low abundance may be due to both the dryness of the climate and the structural features of the forests. The low occurrence of *C. nemoralis*, which is undoubtedly of anthropogenic origin in this region, indicates that the studied broad-leaved forests are well preserved.

The high abundance of the zoophagous dendroepigeobiont *Diontomoma inquisitor* attracts attention. In many regions of Russia, it is considered a rare species and it is included in the Red Books. Probably, it may very often be underestimated by traps, as it prefers to live in crowns and on tree trunks in broad-leaved forests for most of the year. At the same time, in terms of occurrence, it is a much more local species than the representatives of the genus *Carabus* mentioned above.

The second largest group of life forms, zoophagous litter and dwelling stratobionts are characterized by the predominance of widespread species that inhabit different types of forest: *P. niger*, *P. melanarius*, *P. oblongopunctatus* (the first two species can also inhabit many non-forest biotopes). A prominent place in the population of ground beetles in terms of occurrence and dynamic density is also occupied by *Polyphus versicolor*, which is generally more characteristic of open biotopes.

Among zoophagous litter-dwelling stratobionts, *Limodromus assimilis* occupies the first place in terms of occurrence and abundance. It is an unisexual predator that inhabits various habitats with deciduous woody vegetation. Ground beetles specialized in feeding on molluscs (*Badister*), as well as consumers of springtails (*Seitrus terminatus*, *Loricera pilicornis*) and other small objects (*Trechus secalis*) are much less numerous.

Zoophagous surface and litter-dwelling stratobionts are scarce in terms of number of species, however, none of the representatives of this group reached a high abundance and occurrence. *Notiophilus palustris* was the only constant species of this group for broad

leaved forests. In part, this may be due to the underestimation of such small ground beetles by soil traps [22], and in part, to the biological characteristics of these species, which prefer to hunt in more sparse, predominantly moist areas of the surface.

Mixophytophages ± ground beetles adapted to feeding mainly on plant foods accounted for 29.8% of the species abundance and about 5% of the numerical abundance. A high percentage of the species abundance of mixophytophages below average dynamic density indicates insufficiently suitable conditions for living of ground beetles of this class in the forests. Only two species of this class, *Harpalus latus* and *H. laevipes*, are present in the vast majority of habitats. They are typical for forests and in other regions [20, 21]. A relatively high occurrence is also characteristic of typical inhabitants of open biotopes, *Harpalus rufipes* and *Amara communis*. It is partly explained by the good migratory abilities of these species, but in combination with their relatively high abundance in some biotopes, it indicates the anthropogenic pressure on the forests, which led to the formation of elements of an open landscape. Other mixophytes, including numerous representatives of the genera *Harpalus* and *Amara*, can be considered as random species for broad-leaved forests that live in open biotopes and, due to their good migratory abilities, have a high chance of being observed in the forests.

Species with low occurrence and abundance can be divided into several groups. 1. Ground beetles that are poorly taken into account by traps, e.g., the zoophagous litter and bark-dwelling stratobiont *Tromius agilis* and the zoophagous geobiont *Civina fossor*. 2. Species living in specific habitats that occupy all areas in broad-leaved forests and are not represented in every forest, or migrate from one biotopes of other types. In particular, *Pterostichus anthracinus*, *P. nigrita*, *P. rhaeticus*, some representatives of the genus *Agonum*, *Limodromus krynickii* are confined to humid, often swampy, biotopes. *Microlestes maurus* lives in warm areas with exposed substrate. *Pterostichus quadrifoveolatus* is typical of fire-affected areas and may also be found in broad-leaved forests. 3. Random species that are clearly characteristic of other types of habitats (for example, the above-mentioned mixophytophages, characteristic of open biotopes).

The peculiarity of the fauna of broad-leaved forests of the Republic of Mordovia, in contrast to the more western regions of Russia [20, 21] is given by species located here on the western periphery of the range: the southern forest *Pterostichus uralensis* and the polyzonal *Pterostichus mannerheimii*. In general, for the east of the European part of Russia, they cannot be considered rare [23, 24], however, their relatively low abundance and occurrence of these species in broad-leaved forests of Mordovia indicates the need for a more thorough study of their ecology in this region. *Carabus schoenherrii* also lives here on the western periphery of the range, but in different parts of its range, it is characterized as a meadow species or an inhabitant of coniferous and mixed forests [25], and its extremely low occurrence and dynamic density in the studied forests is due to the randomness of this species for broad-leaved forests.

Undoubtedly, a rare species, the find of which deserves attention, is *Carabus clathratus*, although it is difficult to attribute it to the typical inhabitants of broad-leaved forests. This species lives in open swampy areas along riverbanks, is vulnerable in different parts of its range [19], and it is listed in the Red Books of many regions of the Russian Federation. In our localities, it was typical for broad-leaved forests situated in floodplains.

## 5 Conclusion

Overall, the ground beetle fauna of broad-leaved forests of the Republic of Mordovia is typical of broad-leaved forests of the Russian Plain. Regional specificity is given to it by: the presence of relatively xero-thermophilic species in the composition of dominants and subdominants; the presence of some eastern species on the western periphery of

their range. A significant part of ground beetles found in broad-leaved forests is random species living in open biotopes or in forests of other types. Despite some signs of anthropogenic transformation, the fauna of ground beetles in broad-leaved forests of Republic of Mordovia reflects the natural features of the region well.

## Acknowledgments

This research was funded by the Russian Science Foundation grant number 22-14-00026.

## References

1. Shinkarenko S S 2022 Influence of the climatic factors on the mass flowering dates of *Bulbocodium versicolor* (Melanthiaceae) at the south-eastern border of its range. *Nature Conservation Research* 7(3) 45
2. Aerts R and Honnay O 2011 Forest restoration, biodiversity, ecosystem functioning. *BMC Ecol.* 11 29
3. Ananin A A 2022 A decrease in the number and the timing of the bird arrival in the North-Eastern Baikal Region. *Nature Conservation Research* 7(2) 6680
4. Wright S J and Muller-Landau H C 2006 The future of tropical forest species. *Biotropica*. 38 287-301
5. Ivanova N V and Shashkov M P 2022 Tree stand assessment before and after windthrow based on open-access biodiversity data and aerial photography. *Nature Conservation Research* 7(1) 53
6. Fischer A, Marshall P and Camp A 2013 Disturbances in deciduous temperate forest ecosystems of the northern hemisphere: their effects on recent and future forest development. *Biodivers. Conserv.* 22 868-893
7. Urbanavichus G P and Urbanavichene I N 2022 The center of Kologriv Forest State Nature Reserve (Russia) is a hotspot of lichen biodiversity in the southern taiga of Eastern Europe. *Nature Conservation Research* 7(3) 346
8. Ruchin A B and Khapugin A A 2019 Red data book invertebrates protected areas of European Russia. *Acta Zoologica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae* 65(4) 349-370
9. Lövei G L and Sunderland K D 1996 Ecology and behavior of ground beetles (Coleoptera: Carabidae). *Annual Review of Entomology* 41 231-256
10. Bondarenko A S, Zamotajlov A S, Belyi A I and Khomitskiy E 2020 Fauna and ecological characteristics of ground beetles (Coleoptera: Carabidae) of the Nature Sanctuaries "Prichernomorskiy" and "Tuapsinskiy" (Russia). *Nature Conservation Research* 5(3) 665
11. Koivula M 2011 Useful model organisms, indicators, or both? Ground beetles (Coleoptera, Carabidae) reflecting environmental conditions. *ZooKeys* 100 287-317
12. Cicort-Lucaciu A Ş 2020 Road-killed ground beetles prove the presence of *Carabus hungaricus* (Coleoptera: Carabidae) in North-Western Romania. *Nature Conservation Research* 5(3) 134-38
13. Fülöp D, Bérces S, Szabó P and Samu F 2020 Effects of abiotic factors on co-occurring *Carabus* (Coleoptera: Carabidae) species. *Biologia* 66 767-771
14. Pearce J L and Venier L A 2006 The use of ground beetles (Coleoptera: Carabidae) and spiders (Araneae) as bioindicators of sustainable forest management: a review. *Ecological Indicators* 6 780-793

15. Müller-Motzfeld G 2004 Bd. 2, Adephaga 1: Carabidae (Laufkäfer) Käfer Mitteleuropas. Spektrum-Verlag, Heidelberg/Berlin
16. Isaev A Y 2002 Keys to Coleoptera of the Middle Volga Region Adephaga and Myxophaga 1-120
17. Lobl I and Lobl D 2017 Catalogue of Palaearctic Coleoptera Volume 1, Revised and Updated Edition, Brill Online
18. Sharova I Ch 1981 The life forms of the ground beetles (Coleoptera, Carabidae) (Moscow: Nauka) 360
19. Turin H, Penev L and Casale A 2003 The genus *Carabus* in Europe synthesis. Pensoft. Sofia-Moscow 511
20. Shashkov M, Alexeev S and Ivanova N 2020 Communities of ground beetles (Carabidae, Coleoptera) in broad-leaved forests of protected urban areas of the Kaluga Oblast (European Russia). Biodivers Data J. 26(2018) 58
21. Gryuntal S Y 2008 Community organization in ground beetles (Coleoptera, Carabidae) of the forest of the East European (Russian) Plain (Moscow: Galvaya Print) 482
22. Knapp M, Knappová J, Jakubec P, Vonička P and Moravec P 2020 Incomplete species lists produced by pitfall trapping: How many carabid species and which functional traits are missing?. Biological Conservation 245 108545
23. Belova Y N 2014 The Fauna and Population of Ground Beetles (Coleoptera, Carabidae) in Forest Ecosystems of Vologda Province, Russia. Zoologicheskiy zhurnal 154
24. Voronin A G and Esyunin S L 2005 Diversity of ground-beetles (Coleoptera, Carabidae) in the middle Urals: main trends and their determining factors. Euroasian entomological journal 4(2) 107-116