

Yield of spring wheat depending on the level of mineral nutrition and the use of biologically active substances under condition grown of Afghanistan

F. Jahish^{1,*} and I.I. Dmitrivskaya²

¹Department of agronomy, Alberoni University, Kapisa Province, Afghanistan

²Institute of agrobiotechnology, Russian State Agrarian University - Moscow Timiryazev Agricultural Academy, Moscow, Russia

Abstract. A field experiments was carried out at the experimental farm department of Agronomy, Agriculture faculty, Al-beruni University, Kapisa, Afghanistan, to study the effect of NPK and gibberellic acid on growth and yield of spring wheat during March-June 2022 and 2023. The experiment was arranged on randomized complete block design, with three replication. Treatments include: T₁ - N₀P₀K₀ (Control), T₂ - N₁P₁K₁ (120–60–30 kg/ha), T₃ - N₂P₂K₂ (160–90–60 kg/ha), T₄ - N₃P₃K₃ (200–120–90 kg/ha), T₅ - N₁P₁K₁ (120–60–30 kg/ha) + GA₃ 200 ppm, T₆ - N₂P₂K₂ (160–90–60 kg/ha), + GA₃ 200 ppm, T₇ - N₃P₃K₃ (200–120–90 kg/ha) + GA₃ 200 ppm. In case of mineral fertilizers and gibberellic acid, results indicated that NPK levels and GA₃ with a few exceptions remarkably improved the yields attributes and yields of spring wheat. The highest 1000 grain weight (46.98 and 47.18 gr), grain yield (4.16 and 4.63 t/ha) and straw yield of spring (8.48 and 8.55 t/ha) wheat was recorded with application of 160–90–60 Kg/ha NPK + 200 ppm GA₃ in both years of 2022 and 2023. While the minimum was found in control treatment.

1 Introduction

Afghanistan is an agricultural country that the majority of its population is directly and indirectly employed in the agriculture sector and makes a living through this. Wheat is one of the basic food grains and it constitutes about 70 percent of the production of cereals in Afghanistan. Wheat is the basic food of Afghan people and provides more than half of the country's caloric needs and plays a vital role in providing food security and nutrition. Statistics show that Afghanistan has nearly 7.5 million hectares of arable land, among which about 3.5 million hectares are dedicated to the cultivation of various agricultural products. Including 2.3 million hectares of land under wheat cultivation. Factors such as unbalanced application of fertilizers increase of pests and crop disease, droughts, changing farming practices, and land preparation are among the factors that reduce wheat productivity in Afghanistan [1].

* Corresponding author: f.jahish@gmail.com

Fertilization is one of the most important parts of the crop growing technology and has the highest dynamic effect on the grain wheat yield economically [2]. Mineral fertilization of spring wheat determines the quantity and quality of obtained grain yield. It is particularly essential to balance mineral fertilization with nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium [3]. The combined use of NPK fertilizers plays an important role in wheat production. Application of NPK in balanced share at proper time has great impact on wheat yield. Nitrogen plays a vital role in growth processes as it is an integral part of chlorophyll, protein and nucleic acid. It is viewed as the central element because of its role in substance synthesis. It constitutes 1.5 to 5 percent of the dry weight of higher plant. Phosphorous insufficiency is common in most of the soils of Afghanistan and application of phosphatic fertilizer is considered crucial for crop production [4]. Nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), and potassium (K) are primary nutrients in crop nutrition. Nitrogen (N) is a primary constituent of proteins, enzymes, chlorophyll, and metabolic processes involved in the synthesis and transfer of energy [5]. Plant growth regulators have potential to increase grain yield and may also alter grain protein levels of cereal crops especially wheat [6]. Gibberellins are an important group of diterpene plant hormones that control diverse aspects of growth and development of plants from germination to flowering to seed formation [7, 8], Gibberellic acid (GA_3), an important signalling plant hormone, stimulate various plant developmental and physiological processes, that includes seed germination, flowering, cell division and maturity, root formation, etc. GA_3 also enhances the tolerance of plants to environmental stresses including salt, chilling, drought and trace element stress [9].

2 Materials and methods

A field experiments was carried out at the experimental farm department of Agronomy, Agriculture faculty, Al-beruni University, Kapisa, Afghanistan, to study the effect of NPK and gibberellic acid on growth and yield of spring wheat during March-June 2022 and 2023. The experiment was arranged on randomized complete block design with three replication. Treatments include: $T_1 - N_0P_0K_0$ (Control), $T_2 - N_1P_1K_1$ (120–60–30 kg/ha), $T_3 - N_2P_2K_2$ (160–90–60 kg/ha), $T_4 - N_3P_3K_3$ (200–120–90 kg/ha), $T_5 - N_1P_1K_1$ (120–60–30 kg/ha) + GA_3 200 ppm, $T_6 - N_2P_2K_2$ (160–90–60 kg/ha), + GA_3 200 ppm, $T_7 - N_3P_3K_3$ (200–120–90 kg/ha) + GA_3 200 ppm. NPK fertilizers and gibberellic acid was applied as per the treatment before sowing and at different growth stage. The variety of wheat that has been studied as experimental material is called Kabul 013. Statistical analysis of data was done online through OPSTAT software.

3 Results and discussion

1000 grain weight, grain yield and straw yield of spring wheat increased significantly due to NPK and gibberellic acid application (Table 1). There was a proportionate increase in yield of spring wheat with the increase in NPK dose and gibberellic acid up to 160–90–60 kg/ha NPK + 200 ppm GA_3 , therefore maximum 1000 grain weight of spring wheat (46.98 gr and 47.18 during 2022 and 2023 years, was recorded with application 160–90–60 Kg/ha NPK + 200 ppm GA_3 . While the minimum 1000 grain weight (37.85 and 35.85 gr) observed in control treatment respectively. So during 2022 year, it was statistically at par with all other treatments except 120–60–30 kg/ha NPK and control treatment, but during year 2023 application of 160–90–60 Kg/ha NPK + 200 ppm GA_3 , except 200–120–90 Kg/ha NPK + 200 ppm GA_3 significantly differ from other treatments. It confirmed by [10], it indicates that application of over doses nitrogen fertilizer the grain weight

decreased. 1000- Grain weight significantly increased by NPK fertilizers level [11, 12, 13]. Maximum 1000 grain weight of wheat was shown with application of 200 ppm GA₃ [14].

Grain yield of spring wheat improved remarkably due to different NPK levels and gibberellic acid. Application of 160–90–60 Kg/ha NPK + 200 ppm GA₃, had resulted in the highest grain yield (4.15 and 4.63 t/ha) of spring wheat during 2022 and 2023 years. This treatment was statistically at par with 200–120–90 Kg/ha NPK + 200 ppm GA₃, but it was significantly better over control and other treatments during 2022 year. Also use of 160–90–60 Kg/ha NPK + 200 ppm GA₃, in 2023 year had a significant effect on grain yield of spring wheat compared to other treatments. Reported that the application of N up to 150 kg/ha had significantly increased the grain yield of wheat [15]. Same results reported by (10, 16, 4). Maximum grain yield of spring wheat was found from use of 200 ppm GA₃ [14]. Enhancement in growth and yield due to GA₃ application compared to the control was observed. This might be due to more efficient consumption of food for reproductive growth (flowering and fruit set), higher photosynthetic efficiency and enhanced source to sink relationship of the plant, reduced respiration, enhanced translocation and accumulation of sugars and other metabolites. Inhibition of growth performance on exposure to the other plant regulators occurred [17]

Regarding straw yield use of 160–90–60 Kg/ha NPK + 200 ppm GA₃, produced highest straw yield (8.48 and 8.55 t/ha) of spring wheat during both years of 2022 and 2023. This treatment was significantly superior over control and other treatments, but it was statistically similar with 200–120–90 Kg/ha NPK + 200 ppm GA₃. At higher rate of NPK + GA₃ application more than 160–90–60 Kg/ha NPK + 200 ppm GA₃, diminishing trend was observed in straw yield of spring wheat. But the declines at higher dose of NPK + GA₃ were statistically identical with 200–120–90 Kg/ha NPK + 200 ppm GA₃. The per cent of straw yield penalty above 160–90–60 Kg/ha NPK + 200 ppm GA₃, was to the tune of 2.5% and 3.8% with the application of 200–120–90 Kg/ha NPK + 200 ppm GA₃, during both years respectively. Reported that the highest straw yield of wheat was recorded with application of 160 kg N/ha [10]. Maximum straw yield was obtained with application of 90 kg p/ha [18]. Highest straw yield was found from 200 ppm GA₃ which was statistically similar with 100 ppm GA₃ [14].

Table 1. Effect of different NPK and GA₃ on 1000 grain weight, grain yield and straw yield of spring wheat.

| Treatments | Year 2022 | | | Year 2023 | | |
|----------------|------------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 1000 grain weight (gr) | Grain yield t/ha | Straw yield t/ha | 1000 grain weight(gr) | Grain yield t/ha | Straw yield t/ha |
| T ₁ | 37.85 | 1.84 | 3.71 | 35.85 | 1.85 | 3.72 |
| T ₂ | 42.54 | 2.70 | 5.52 | 42.11 | 2.74 | 5.36 |
| T ₃ | 44.12 | 3.17 | 7.27 | 45.68 | 3.56 | 7.13 |
| T ₄ | 44.91 | 3.66 | 7.68 | 45.51 | 3.65 | 7.11 |
| T ₅ | 43.70 | 3.07 | 7.01 | 44.81 | 3.18 | 5.91 |
| T ₆ | 46.98 | 4.16 | 8.48 | 47.18 | 4.63 | 8.55 |
| T ₇ | 45.55 | 4.15 | 8.26 | 46.42 | 4.27 | 8.22 |
| SEm± | 1.34 | 0.071 | 0.17 | 0.43 | 0.065 | 0.11 |
| CD (P=0.05) | 4.17 | 0.22 | 0.55 | 1.35 | 0.20 | 0.35 |

T₁ - N₀P₀K₀ (Control), T₂ - N₁P₁K₁ (120–60–30 kg/ha), T₃ - N₂P₂K₂ (160–90–60 kg/ha), T₄ - N₃P₃K₃ (200–120–90 kg/ha), T₅ - N₁P₁K₁ (120–60–30 kg/ha) + GA₃ 200 ppm, T₆ - N₂P₂K₂ (160–90–60 kg/ha), + GA₃ 200 ppm, T₇ - N₃P₃K₃ (200–120–90 kg/ha) + GA₃ 200 ppm.

4 Conclusion

Finally it can be concluded that application of 160–90–60 Kg/ha NPK + 200 ppm GA₃ increase yields attributes and yields of spring wheat in both years of 2022 and 2023. It was best treatment for all of the yield parameters, but it was statistically at par with 200–120–90 Kg/ha NPK + 200 ppm GA₃.

Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to Institute of Agro biotechnology, Russian State Agrarian University - Moscow Timiryazev Agricultural Academy

References

1. H. Sabri, A. Shah, Q. Sufizadeh, I. Hosni, F. Broumand, M. H. A. Yar, *Scientific Journal of Agricultural Research Institute*, **2** (2018)
2. C. Gulser, Z. Zharlygasov, R. Kızılkaya, N. Kalimov, I. Akça, Z. Zharlygasov, *Eurasian Journal of Soil Science*, 275 – 281 (2019)
3. W. Jarecki, j. Buczek, d. Bobrecka-Jamro, *Journal of Central European Agriculture*, **18**, 460 – 476 2017
4. A. L. Malghani, A. U. Malik, A. Sattarb, F. Hussaina, G. Abbasc, J. Hussain, *Sci.Int.(Lahore)*, **24**, 185-189 (2010)
5. M. M. Szymańska, M. Borowik, M. Wyzńska, P. Rusek, *Agricultural Sciences (Crop Science, Animal Science)*, **24**, 100 – 106 (2018)
6. B. L. Ma, S. Leibovitch, D. L. Smith, *J. Agronomy. Crop Science*, **72**, 9–18 (1994)
7. S. Yamaguchi, *Annual review of plant biology*, **59**, 225-251 (2008)
8. S. S. M, Swain. D. P. Singh, *Trends Plant Sci*, **10**, 123–129 (2005)
9. A. Iftikhar, S. Ali, T. Yasmeen, M. S. Arif, M. Zubair, M. Rizwan, H. A. S. Alhaithloul, A. A. M. Alayafi, *Environmental Pollution*, 254, (2019)
10. A. N. S. U. A. Siddiqui, *M.Sc. thesis*, 51 - 57 (2008)
11. M. I. HUSSAIN, S. H. SHAH, S. HUSSAIN, K. Iqbal, Department of Agronomy, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad–38040, Pakistan, **4**, 3 (2002)
12. M. Tahir, A. Tanveer, A. Ali, M. Ashraf, A Wasaya, *Pakistan Journal of Life and Social Sciences*, **6**, 92-95 (2008)
13. F. Asargew, Y. Bitew, O. Beshir, *Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare*, **4**, 23-29 (2014)
14. S. Islam, S. Chakraborty, M. J. Uddin, H. Mehraj, A. F. M. Jamal Uddin, *International journal of business, social and scientific research*, **2**, 74-78 (2014)
15. D. S. Yadav, R.P. Shukla, Sushant, B. Kumar, *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, **50**, 52-53 (2005)
16. H. A. Laghari, *M.Sc (Agri.) Hons, department of Agronomy faculty of crop production Sindh Agriculture University tandojam*, 39 (2016)

17. N. A. Khan, H. R. Ansari, M. Mobin, *Biol, Plant*, **38**, 601-603 (1996)
18. H. Obaid. A. Khalili, S. Sharifi, Shiva. Dhar, *Annals of Agricultural Research*, **40**, 1 – 5 (2019)