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Abstract. The problem of reindustrialisation of the country and its transition to the sixth technological mode is of particular relevance for the Russian economy at present. At the same time, for the effective management of reindustrialisation, it is necessary, first of all, to develop a system of target setting for sustainable innovative development of industrial enterprises and production sectors. The aim of the paper is to consider and use a new methodological approach to the formation of such a system of target indicators. It is shown that there is no direct possibility of using for this purpose the theory of endogenous economic growth, the theory of long waves and the concept of technological patterns, the theory of sustainable development of economic systems. The paper presents ways and means of solving three problems arising in the process of effective management of sustainable innovation development of industrial production at the level of industries and enterprises: taking into account the impact on the activation of innovation activity of incoming open “tangible” innovations (patents, licences, software products) and closed innovations in the form of R&D costs; identifying the predominant impact on the resource efficiency of enterprises and industries of certain types of technological innovation - process and product innovations; determining for each type of technological innovation (process and product innovations); determining the impact of the innovation activity of incoming enterprises and industries on the resource efficiency of enterprises and industries.

1 Introduction

In the context of continuing sanctions pressure on Russia, the need to reindustrialise its economy with the transfer to the sixth technological mode is becoming increasingly important [1]. For the successful implementation of this requires effective management with elements of state regulation, since the market itself in the absence of fierce competition is not able to cope with this ambitious task. Accordingly, for effective management of any system, first of all, it is necessary to form a subsystem of target setting, i.e., to determine the target indicators and their values to be achieved by the system in the relevant period of time. Such
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a subsystem, in our opinion, should include target indicators reflecting, on the one hand, the contribution of scientific and technological progress (STP) to the economic growth of the country, and, on the other hand, indicators ensuring the possibility of achieving sustainable socio-economic development. However, the existing economic theories do not provide a direct answer to the question - how to form such indicators in the country's economy for the level of production sectors and individual enterprises?

In principle, the contribution of STP to the economic growth of individual countries is shown by the theory of endogenous economic growth [2], the main factors of which are R&D, i.e., "research and development" and human capital [3, 4], but still, i.e., for more than 30 years, the problem of transition from macroeconomic systems to meso- and microeconomic systems is unsolved [5]. Accordingly, for such systems (production sectors and enterprises as the main economic agents) there are still no defined indicators, the achievement of target values of which would show the impact of at least one significant factor, primarily R&D. As a result, there is no practical possibility to assess the impact of technological innovations on production efficiency on a unified methodological and methodological basis.

Approximately the same picture emerges with regard to the practical use of theories that determine from various positions the cyclical development of complex systems [6], including economic [7]. For example, the theory of "long waves" by N.D. Kondratiev [8] shows the cyclical development of the world economy, and its development in the concept of "technological patterns" by Acad. S.Y. Glazyev [9] helps to understand at what stage, i.e., in what technological mode, the economy of individual countries is developing. Accordingly, it remains unclear how the cyclical development affects the technological development of individual industries and enterprises.

In the last few decades, more precisely since 1987 after the famous UN report "Our Common Future" by the international commission headed by G.H. Brundtland [10], the development of the world economy is focused on achieving its sustainability. Moreover, if at the end of the last century the predominant influence on the definition of sustainability was influenced by factors related to the protection of the natural environment, then by now most scientists and practitioners have come to the understanding that the achievement of sustainable development is possible only by taking into account three aspects: environmental, economic and social [11], but their coordination and balanced use at the level of production sectors and enterprises is still an unsolved problem.

The purpose of the article is to consider and use a new methodological approach to determining the target indicators of sustainable innovation development of industrial enterprises.

2 Materials and Methods

In our work [12], based on the analysis of the dynamics of key performance indicators of several large industrial enterprises in Russia for a five-year period, we hypothesised that there is a proportional relationship between the values of capital output ratio (COR) of production and material output ratio (MOR) of output, which is quantitatively reflected by the proportionality coefficient.

In the future, based on the study of many activities of other industrial enterprises according to the data of annual financial (accounting) statements presented on their websites, as well as consideration of the types of industrial activities of the economy of the regions - subjects of the Federation according to Rosstat, with the corresponding analysis of the dynamics of the values of COR and MOR for a long period of time (10-15 years), we have shown that, firstly, such a dependence really exists [13], and regardless of how COR is calculated: by the entire volume of fixed assets or by the total volume of fixed assets. Secondly, the specific annual values of the proportionality coefficient may vary in one direction or another, but the prevailing trend for almost all the studied objects, 05002 (2024)
3 Results and Discussion
us to effectively manage the sustainable innovation development of the production sector, individual enterprises and even a separate technological process, including the development of innovation development strategies, on the basis of the formed system of target indicators. In this case, the basis of such management is the proportional relationship between the fund intensity and material intensity of production, since in the Russian economy "embodied" technological innovations in the form of machinery and equipment are the predominant type of incoming open technological innovations in relation to their other type (according to G. Chesbro's classification) - "bodiless" innovations in the form of used patents, licences, software products, i.e., the share of the latter in the form of intangible assets in the balance sheet of enterprises is usually higher. However, the experience of foreign industrial enterprises shows that the use of "intangible" innovations activates their innovation activity and allows them to obtain more significant economic results. In addition, many enterprises that use in their activities the results of R&D, i.e., closed innovations according to the classification of G. Chesbro, also show higher economic results.

Thus, it is obvious that in the process of reindustrialisation domestic enterprises should increase their innovation activity using all three types of innovations as much as possible. Accordingly, there is a problem of determining the contribution of "bodiless" and closed innovations to the efficiency of innovation activity of enterprises and industries. In addition, the task of determining the impact on economic results of certain types of technological innovations - process and product innovations - remains unsolved. However, the most important thing is that, on the one hand, in the economy of different countries there are production sectors with different levels of manufacturability: low-, medium- and high-tech. On the other hand, the economy of each country operates on the basis of a certain technological mode to a greater or lesser extent. Accordingly, in order to develop and implement a long-term strategy of advanced development of the Russian economy, it is necessary to understand which industries and their constituent enterprises require advanced development and will correspond to the sixth technological mode, and which industries will require dynamic catching-up or catch-up development.

The first problem, in our opinion, can be solved as follows. C_PAL as the ratio of MP to CP can be transformed as the ratio of fixed assets to the volume of consumption of material resources (CMR), i.e., raw materials, fuel and energy used in the production process (data on the volume of CMR are usually provided in the Explanatory Note to the financial (accounting) statements in a separate table characterising the composition and volume of costs (by accounting elements) for the current activities of the enterprise). In this case, in the first part of the asset part of the balance sheet of the enterprise, formed according to the Russian Accounting Standards (RAS), in addition to the volume of fixed assets (i.e., the value of fixed assets) at residual value, the data on intangible assets value (IAV) at residual value and data on the value of research and development results are also given. Accordingly, in the process of external analysis it is then possible to calculate separately from the balance sheet data, in addition to C_PAL by residual value of fixed assets, C_IAV as a relation IAV to CMR volume, as well as the overall value C_PAL, comprising the sum of the value of fixed assets and IAV in relation to CMR. In our opinion, an increase in the growth rate of C_IAV values in relation to the growth rate of C_PAL calculated only by FA, on the one hand, will characterise the increased attention of the enterprise to the acquisition and use of new knowledge in the process of its innovation activity, and on the other hand, will indicate the possible improvement of production technology and/or the future release of new types of products. We can also separately calculate the values of the C_IR coefficient as the ratio of the value of research and development results (R&D) to CMR. Accordingly, the growth rate of the value of this indicator will show the impact of closed technological innovations on the improvement of production technology and/or production of new types of products.
the technological development of enterprises and industries of three types of technological innovations: open "embodied" and "bodiless" and closed (R&D).

The solution of the second problem can be presented as follows. The beginning of the second section of the asset of the annual balance sheet prepared according to the RAS rules contains data on the value of the volume of stocks of raw materials, materials, fuel at the beginning and end of the year. When the enterprise produces the same types of products for a long time (several years), i.e., uses mainly process technological innovations, which is characteristic to a greater extent for enterprises of low- and medium-technology industries with a continuous production cycle, then over time the growth rate of this value will decrease in relation to the growth rate of sales revenue as a result of an increase in the value of the inventory turnover ratio, or, otherwise, will not exceed them. However, if the enterprise frequently master production of new types of products, which indicates the use of product innovations, characteristic to a greater extent for enterprises of medium- and high-tech industries with predominantly interrupted production cycle (mechanical engineering), then hypothetically the growth rates of the value of inventories required for different types of products will exceed the growth rates of sales revenue. Accordingly, to confirm this hypothesis, we need to calculate the values of two ratios: $C_{PAL}$ as the ratio of the residual value of FA at the end of the year to the volume of CMR and $C_{C}$ as the ratio of the same value to the value of inventories at the end of the year. If the enterprise predominantly uses product innovations, the growth rate of the second coefficient should be lower.

An indirect confirmation of the use of product innovations predominantly can also be a higher growth rate of stock return at the enterprises of high-tech industries in relation to less technological ones.

When solving the third problem, it is necessary to realise that, on the one hand, high-tech industries should be developed at a higher rate than medium- and low-tech industries, i.e., the state should support their advanced development by all available means. On the other hand, at present, many Russian enterprises even in high-tech industries do not use the most modern production technology, i.e., they need to develop and implement the strategy of "dynamic catching-up" or "catching-up development" [20]. In our opinion, to determine the type of such a strategy for each specific enterprise it is necessary, first, to select its approximate analogue (one or several) among similar enterprises in developed countries. Secondly, using their annual financial statements prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), for a long period of time (preferably at least ten years) we should calculate the values of MP, CP, $C_{PAL}$, $C_{IAV}$, $C_{IR}$ and their rates of change by year and over the entire period, and then compare the obtained data with the same data for the analysed domestic enterprise. Thirdly, if the absolute values of MP and CP at the enterprise-analogues significantly exceed their values (one and a half to two or more times) at the domestic enterprise and such excess remains during the whole period of time analysed, then it is obvious that the enterprise needs catching-up development. However, if the lag of MP, CP and $C_{PAL}$ values is insignificant (not more than 50%) during the analysed period, then the enterprise needs dynamic catching-up with increasing growth rates of $C_{PAL}$, $C_{IAV}$ and/or $C_{IR}$ in the future.

Figures 1-3 show the trends in MP, CP and $C_{PAL}$, which we calculated from annual financial statements for 2011-2020, for two large medium-sized industrial firms with roughly the same scope of activity and sales revenues. The Swedish group of companies Boliden AB [21] mines and carries out primary processing of polymetallic ores, and the domestic concern Norilsk Nickel [22] provides mining and primary processing of complex sulphide copper-nickel ores. The data obtained show that, firstly, the foreign firm shows a tendency of steady growth of MP values, while the domestic firm on the contrary clearly shows a tendency of MP values decrease, although they still remain higher in absolute value. Secondly, at both firms there is a tendency of FA values decrease, which, in all probability, is connected with...
nega
tive influence on production efficiency of mining and technical conditions of utilisation of «poorer» and deeply buried ore raw materials. As a result, in the analysed period of time, the Swedish firm has a steady tendency of almost twofold growth of $C_{\text{PAL}}$ values, while the Russian firm in the second half of this period shows a decline in $C_{\text{PAL}}$ values to approximately the level of 2011.

Fig. 1. Dynamics of material efficiency of PJSC MMC Norilsk Nickel and the Company Boliden AB. Note: unlabelled indicators refer to MMC Norilsk Nickel, labelled "1" refer to Boliden AB.

Fig. 2. Dynamics of MMC Norilsk Nickel and the Company Boliden AB stock return rate.
The current situation with multidirectional trends in MP values at these enterprises can be understood in Figures 4-5, which show trends in the values of two other above-mentioned coefficients: \( C_{IR} \) and \( C_{PAL} \). It is shown that the Swedish firm's MP growth was largely influenced by a significant increase in the amount of closed technological innovation (R&D), as the value of \( C_{IR} \) within ten years increased one and a half times. In the Russian firm, on the contrary, over this period of time, the value of the \( C_{IR} \) significantly decreased, although the value of the second coefficient \( C_{IAV} \) increased compared to the Swedish firm. This fact shows the importance of increasing MMC Norilsk Nickel's focus on R&D activities in the future, which may be the basis for the development of its innovation development strategy in the form of dynamic catch-up to change the current trend of MCR reduction in the long term.
Fig. 5. Dynamics of the intangible assets ratio of PJSC "MMC Norilsk Nickel and the Company Boliden AB".

If at a domestic enterprise the values of all five above-mentioned indicators, or three of them (MP, COR, and C PAL) are close to their values at foreign enterprises—analogues at least at the end of the analysed period or already exceed them, then there is no doubt that the state should support such an enterprise in the first place, especially if it belongs to high-tech industries. In our opinion, such enterprises should be the drivers of development of the entire industry, including through the formation of appropriate clusters on their basis and diffusion of innovations to other enterprises of the cluster.

4 Conclusions

1. It is shown that modern economic theories do not allow to reflect the impact of STP and cyclicality on the sustainable development of meso- and microeconomic production systems—branches of production and individual enterprises in the form of any target indicators.

2. The methodological foundations of a new type of economic analysis of the activity of production systems—system economic analysis of technological renewal of production are considered.

3. The ways and means of solving three important tasks in the target-setting of sustainable innovation development of industrial enterprises are presented: accounting for the impact on the activation of innovation activity of incoming open "bodiless" innovations (patents, licences, software products) and closed innovations in the form of the cost of R&D; identification of the predominant impact on the resource efficiency of enterprises of certain types of technological innovations—process and product innovations; determination for each specific industrial enterprise one of the three types of technological innovations—process and product innovations.
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