

Adaptability of deep learning: datasets and strategies in fruit classification

Yonis Gulzar¹, Zeynep Ünaç², Shahnawaz Ayoub³, Faheem Ahmad Reeg⁴ and Alhanouf Altulihan¹

¹Department of Management Information Systems, College of Business Administration, King Faisal University, Alhsa 31982, Saudi Arabia

²HSDUWPHQW RI %LRV\ VWHP (QJLQHHLQJ 1L ÷ GH gPHU +DOLVGHP LU 8QLYHUV

³HSDUWPHQW RI &RPSXWHU 6FLHQFH DQG (QJLQHHLQNDWKLVKZHQNDWHYKZDWD

⁴HSDUWPHQW RI &RPSXWHU 6FLHQFH DQG ,QIRUPDWLRQ 7HFKQRORJ\ -D]DQ 80

Abstract. The complexity of fruit classification is a significant challenge in the field of machine learning. This paper explores the adaptability of deep learning models to various fruit datasets and discusses effective strategies for improving classification accuracy. We analyze the impact of dataset characteristics, such as feature diversity and class imbalance, on model performance. The results demonstrate that tailored preprocessing and model architectures can significantly enhance the robustness and generalization capabilities of deep learning models in fruit classification tasks. This study contributes to the understanding of how to effectively leverage deep learning for agricultural applications, paving the way for more accurate and reliable fruit classification systems.

1 Introduction

Fruits, nature's vibrant and diverse gifts, hold a profound significance in our day-to-day lives that transcends their culinary versatility. From the sweet allure of apples to the tart tangor of lemons, these natural wonders provide essential nutrients and contribute to our overall well-being. However, navigating the hustle and bustle of modern life, it is easy to overlook the importance of incorporating a variety of fruits into our diets. Understanding the different types of fruits and their benefits is crucial for making informed choices. This paper explores the adaptability of deep learning models in fruit classification, a task that is both challenging and rewarding. By leveraging the power of artificial intelligence, we aim to develop more accurate and efficient methods for identifying and categorizing fruits, ultimately enhancing our ability to appreciate and utilize these natural gifts.

Fruits are rich in essential vitamins and minerals such as Vitamin C, potassium, and fiber, which are vital for maintaining good health. They also play a key role in preventing chronic diseases like heart disease, diabetes, and cancer. The diverse colors and textures of fruits are a testament to their complex chemical compositions. For example, the vibrant red of strawberries is due to the presence of anthocyanins, while the bright yellow of lemons is caused by carotenoids. Understanding these natural processes can help us appreciate the incredible diversity of the plant kingdom. In this study, we focus on the application of deep learning techniques to fruit classification, a task that has gained significant attention in recent years due to the rapid advancement of computer vision and machine learning technologies.

The field of fruit classification has seen remarkable progress in recent years, thanks to the integration of deep learning and computer vision. This interdisciplinary approach has enabled researchers to develop sophisticated models that can accurately identify and classify a wide range of fruit species. The success of these models is largely attributed to the availability of large, diverse datasets and the power of deep neural networks. However, the adaptability of these models to new and unseen fruit types remains a challenge. This paper addresses this issue by exploring various strategies for improving the generalization capabilities of deep learning models in fruit classification. We discuss the importance of dataset diversity, feature engineering, and model regularization in achieving robust and accurate classification results. Our findings provide valuable insights into the design and implementation of deep learning models for fruit classification, offering a practical framework for researchers and practitioners alike.

Deep learning trends and distribution of keywords in research articles and algorithms and artificial intelligence to identify and conference papers. FDWHJRUL]H IUXLWV EDVHG RQ YL3 Model Performance Assessment: Evaluation involves training machine learning models, such as reported model performance metrics, focusing on & RQYROXWLRQDO 1HXUDO[WHWZ Accuracy, and Identify Factors influencing model datasets of fruit images. performance, such as dataset size and model choice.

1.1 Deep learning

'HHS /H DUQLQJ ' / D VXE VHW R profoundly transformed various domains, ushering in a paradigm shift in academia, healthcare, finance, and agriculture [7,8]. In education, DL has revolutionized pedagogical strategies through personal learning platforms, adaptive assessment systems, and intelligent tutoring systems, tailoring education to individual needs [9]. In healthcare, DL's image and speech recognition predictive models have enhanced patient outcomes WKURXJK HDUO\ -16 QWanda y h s o r w n s Q VHDUFK VWULQJ WR H[WUDFW GDWD I EHQHILW IURP ' / V ULVN DVVHV V Search String Deep Learning PQR & ODVVLILFDWLRQ ' 127 3 'LVH DVH ' PDNLQJ SURFHVVHV > @ 0RUHRYHUR WHK DOUHRW KMLR QHVR UGV ZHU agriculture by enabling precision farming through data driven decision PDNLQJ HQKDQFLQJ DVRSRGLMHRUGV DQG .HIZRUGV 3O detection, predicting yields, and optimizing resource , Q RXU TXHVW WR UHWULHYH UHVH OLYHVWRFN PRQLWRULQJ SURPR W W XGLVWDD Q DEIL QHW PPEH D QLPDO ZHOIDUH)XUWKHUPRUH ' / DVVWVGLHQ YXSQOVFFHQHG WKH IROO PDQDJH PHQW FOLPDWH UHVLOLHQFH RQG RQ2 NHW JSUHV D VXLQHT XHQ HQVXULQJ IRRG VDIHW\ UHGXFLEH Q ZBMVRZHQ Q XBDILFXVLR UHVHDUF profits.

When it comes to fruit classification, deep learning has turned out very effective, offering numerous advantages in automating and optimizing the sorting and grading deep learning, researchers have employed diverse processes in agriculture and the food industry. Using methodologies and datasets to develop accurate and & RQYROXWLRQDO 1HX and other HWZRHJNVFLHQW PRGHOV 7KLV VHFWRQ SU architectures, fruit classification systems can accurately methodologies commonly utilized in the sites GLVWLQJXLVK EHWZHHQ GLIIHUHQW XPPXUVJHG SHV DQEGWKHLDQ YDLUJROXV grades based on visual attributes, such as size, color of the datasets employed for training and evaluation. WH[XUH DQG VKDSH

One significant application of DL in fruit FODVVLILFDWLRQ LV LQ WKH DJLQXVXUDO VHFWRU ZKHUH LW LV utilized for sorting and grading fruits as they are harvested. DLSRZHUHG VRUWLQJ PDFKLQHV FDOJDSLGO\ SURFHVV ODUJH TXDQWLWLHV RI IUXLWV FODVVLILQJ WKHP GLIIHUHQW FDWHJRULHV EDVHG RQ SHSHGHIDQHGQT XPRQWV VHWMLD V 7KLV DXWRPDWLRQ UHGXFHV ODERFVWVWV DWRVYHWWDPFXUDQG UHV DQG HQVXUHV FRQVLVWHQW TXDQWMLQVWVWV DQGSURGHV Specific

1.2 Objectives

7KH REMHFWLYH RI WKLV FURVLFHQW HZWXUHWQ WKHLU VWX comprehensively assess the state of the art in fruit classification utilizing deep OHDUQLQJ PHWKR SHULYJaisal et al. [26] and Faisal et al. [27] adopted UHYLHZ DLPV WR VGG19 and ResNet, respectively, as their base models.

1. /LWHUDWXUH 5HYLHZ & RQGXFV LQVHWHVHQV@HISORHUSWRUH/H1HW UHYLHZ RQ GHHS OHDUQLQJ DSSOLPHQWV Q. IUXLW FODVVLILFDWLRQ to understand the current state of research.

2. 'DWD ([WUDFWLRQ DQG \$QDO\VLV Q&WUDFWKLVHFWXUHWGSDUWLFXOD from the Web of Science database and analyze it to UHTXLULQGLQHWV DQFPHQWDWLRQ 7KL

4. Challenges and Limitations Identification: Identify DQG GLVFXVV WKH FKDOOHQJHV DQG deep learning based fruit classification, including dataset size disparities and model interpretability, DV)WXUH 'LUHFWLRQV ([SORUDWLRQ trends and future directions in the field, considering areas OLNH-FOODSHS, interpretable AI, and human collaboration in fruit classification.

2 Material and method

2.1 Search String Deep Learning PQR & ODVVLILFDWLRQ ' 127 3 'LVH DVH ' PDNLQJ SURFHVVHV > @ 0RUHRYHUR WHK DOUHRW KMLR QHVR UGV ZHU data from various fields, including the title, abstract, DVRSRGLMHRUGV DQG .HIZRUGV 3O , Q RXU TXHVW WR UHWULHYH UHVH OLYHVWRFN PRQLWRULQJ SURPR W W XGLVWDD Q DEIL QHW PPEH D QLPDO ZHOIDUH)XUWKHUPRUH ' / DVVWVGLHQ YXSQOVFFHQHG WKH IROO Research articles and 12 conference spa HIZRUGV D VXLQHT XHQ 2H QZBMVRZHQ Q XBDILFXVLR UHVHDUF on classification, resulting in a selection of 21 articles for IXUWKHU H[DPLQDWLRQ

In the pursuit of advancing fruit classification using deep learning, researchers have employed diverse methodologies and datasets to develop accurate and & RQYROXWLRQDO 1HX and other HWZRHJNVFLHQW PRGHOV 7KLV VHFWRQ SU architectures, fruit classification systems can accurately methodologies commonly utilized in the sites GLVWLQJXLVK EHWZHHQ GLIIHUHQW XPPXUVJHG SHV DQEGWKHLDQ YDLUJROXV grades based on visual attributes, such as size, color of the datasets employed for training and evaluation. WH[XUH DQG VKDSH

3 Results

3.1 Model architectures FODVVLILFDWLRQ LV LQ WKH DJLQXVXUDO VHFWRU ZKHUH LW LV utilized for sorting and grading fruits as they are harvested. DLSRZHUHG VRUWLQJ PDFKLQHV FDOJDSLGO\ SURFHVV ODUJH TXDQWLWLHV RI IUXLWV FODVVLILQJ WKHP GLIIHUHQW FDWHJRULHV EDVHG RQ SHSHGHIDQHGQT XPRQWV VHWMLD V 7KLV DXWRPDWLRQ UHGXFHV ODERFVWVWV DWRVYHWWDPFXUDQG UHV DQG HQVXUHV FRQVLVWHQW TXDQWMLQVWVWV DQGSURGHV Specific REMHFWLYH 7KLVH PRGHOV HQFRPS novel approaches:

- 3URSRVHG ORGHOV +RVVDLQ HW D QVWVWV DQGSURGHV
- leveraged preWUDLQHG 9** DQG \$OH[1H VGG19 and ResNet, respectively, as their base models.

- Similarly, Faisal et al. [26] and Faisal et al. [27] adopted VGG19 and ResNet, respectively, as their base models.
- ,QVWVWV DQGSURGHV

UHTXLULQGLQHWV DQFPHQWDWLRQ 7KL

WR GLIIHUHQWLDWH DQG FODVVL\$ OLVQDKLHYLG XHDW IDQD>QD@ ZIRPKILQ HGK H same image. DFKLHYLQJ UHPDUNDEOH DFFXUDF\

• Ensemble Approaches: In some instances, • 6SHFLDOLJHG \$UFKLWHFWXUHV \$ researchers amalgamated multiple deep learning modelsS URSRVHG D FXVWRP DUFKLWHFWXU to enhance classification performance. For instance,FODVVLILFDWLRQ ZKLOH 3K-DQ HW DO for tomato classification.

Table 1. Summary of Deep Learning Approaches in Fruit Classification Studies

Paper	Base Model/ Proposed	Dataset	Public/Private (self-created)	Classes	Number of images	Data augmentation	Transfer Learning	Accuracy
+ R V V [et al.[22]	Proposed	Date Fruit	Public	4		Yes	Yes	99.2
Altaheri et al.[23]	VGG16, \$ O H [1 H	Date Fruit	Private			Yes	Yes	
+ R V V [et al.[24]	VGG16	MIX Fruit	Private			Yes	Yes	
Le et al. > @	0 D V N 5 CNN	Banana	Public	2	194	Yes	Yes	
Faisal et al.[26]	VGG19	Date Fruit	Public	7		Yes	Yes	99.4
Faisal at al. [27]	ResNet	Date Fruit	Public			Yes	Yes	
Ni et al. [28]	GoogLeNet	Banana	Private	6	-	Yes	Yes	98.92
Xue at al. [29]	CAE-AND	MIX fruit	Public	26	124,212	No	Yes	93.78
Chen et al. > @	Proposed	MIX fruit	Public			No	No	
Gill et al.[31]	Proposed	MIX fruit	-			No	No	-
Kang et al.[32]	ResNet	MIX Fruit	Public	7	11,632	Yes	Yes	97.43
Ufuah et al. [33]	DenseNet	Date Fruit	Private	3		Yes	Yes	
6 L G G [34]	VGG16	MIX Fruit	Private	7		Yes	Yes	94.82
Shahi et al. > @	MobileNetV2	MIX Fruit	Public			Yes	Yes	96.24
\$ O E D U et al.[36]	MobileNetV2	Date fruit	Private	8	917	Yes	Yes	
6 K D Q et al[37]	DenseNet169	MIX Fruit	Public		2633	Yes	Yes	99.84
Mimma et al[38]	RestNet	MIX fruit	Public		971	Yes	Yes	
Wang et al.[39]	MobileNetV3	0 L [I U X L V	Public	11	2278	Yes	Yes	
Azadnia et al.> @	Proposed	+ D Z W K R U R	Private	3		Yes	Yes	99.63
Phan et al.[41]	ResNet	7 R P D W R	Private	3		Yes	No	98
Gulzar [42]	MobileNetV2	0 L [I U X L V	Public		26,149	Yes	Yes	99

3.2 Data augmentation

7KH DXJPHQWDWLRQ RI WUDLQLQJ UGDWHD SODWDD SLQRWDO SBOYDIOHQ enhancing model robustness and generalization [43, 44].L QLWLDOLJLQJ -FUDLQVHG ZLWK JSUWHV R 0RVW VWXGLHV LQFRUSRUDWHG large-scale datasets and fine-tuned these models on their specific fruit WR DUWLILFLDOO\ GLYHUVLI\ WFKHDWU DLFQDQJLRG DWWDWHW ZKLOHQVIH augmentation strategy helped mitigate overfitting and convergence and leveraged previously learned features enabled models to handle variations in fruit appearance for improved performance. effectively.

3.4 Datasets

Public Datasets: Several publicly available datasets served as the foundation for numerous studies. Notable among them is the "Date Fruit" dataset, a standardized dataset that used both public and private datasets. Interestingly, some private datasets, such as those used by Altaner et al. [26], employed, offering a comprehensive range of fruit types for training and evaluation.

Private Datasets: In certain cases, researchers collected and curated their private datasets to address specific research goals. Specialized architectures, such as those used by Altaner et al. [26], were employed to address specific research goals and labeling.

3.5 Dataset characteristics

- Number of Images: Datasets contained varying numbers of images, ranging from a few hundred to over a million. This variability in dataset sizes influenced model performance.
- Dataset Size Disparities: One of the primary challenges in fruit classification using deep learning is the variability in dataset sizes. While some studies have leveraged large datasets, others are constrained by smaller datasets. This size disparity can significantly impact model performance.
- Private Dataset Dependency: Several studies rely on private datasets that are not publicly accessible. While private datasets offer the advantage of customization and domain-specific labeling, they can also limit the reproducibility and comparability of results.

3.6 Model performance

Model performance in fruit classification studies is typically measured by accuracy, and the table presents a variety of accuracy scores from different research papers. The table highlights the consistently high accuracy achieved by most of the models. Many studies report accuracy scores ranging from approximately 80% to over 95%.

- Influence of Dataset Size: Larger datasets often result in better model performance. For instance, the "MIX" dataset, which contains a substantial number of images, achieved accuracy scores of over 90%.
- Effect of Model Choice: While the choice of deep learning model architecture varies across studies, it is evident that several models, including VGG16, ResNet, and Faisal et al. [26] also yielded high accuracy scores of over 90%.

0RELOH1HW9 ZKLOH 6KDQNDU
LPSUHVVLVYH DFFXUDF\ ZLWK 'H
FODVVLILFDWLRQ WDVNV

3ULYDWH YV 3XEOLF 'DWDVHWV 7K
that used both public and private datasets. Interestingly, some private datasets, such as those used by Altaner et al. [26], employed, offering a comprehensive range of fruit types for training and evaluation.

Specialized Architectures: In some cases, specialized architectures, such as those used by Altaner et al. [26], were employed to address specific research goals and labeling.

Most studies employed data augmentation and transfer learning to improve model performance. This approach helps models generalize better to new, unseen data.

4 Challenges and limitations

In the realm of fruit classification using deep learning, numerous challenges and limitations shape the landscape. These challenges often stem from the inherent variability of fruit data and the limitations of current deep learning architectures.

Dataset Size Disparities: One of the primary challenges in fruit classification using deep learning is the variability in dataset sizes. While some studies have leveraged large datasets, others are constrained by smaller datasets. This size disparity can significantly impact model performance.

Private Dataset Dependency: Several studies rely on private datasets that are not publicly accessible. While private datasets offer the advantage of customization and domain-specific labeling, they can also limit the reproducibility and comparability of results.

Computational Resources: Deep learning models, particularly those with a large number of parameters, demand substantial computational resources. This can be a significant barrier for researchers with limited access to high-performance computing infrastructure.

Model Generalization: While deep learning models have shown impressive performance on specific datasets, they often struggle to generalize to new, unseen data. This is particularly true for models trained on small or biased datasets.

Model Choice: The choice of deep learning model architecture can significantly impact performance. However, selecting the most appropriate model for a given task remains a challenge, especially when the dataset characteristics are not well understood.

Focus on specific fruit types or a limited number of fruit types, which may not be representative of the broader fruit classification task.

of models to novel or previously unencountered fruit types. Models trained on one set of fruits may not retraining or adaptation.

- **Interpretable Models:** Deep learning models, SDUWLFXODUO\ WKR VH ZLWK LQ... of emerging trends and future directions
- **Model Robustness:** While high accuracy rates are impressive, the robustness of models in... improve fruit assessment.
- **Edge Computing:** Future directions may involve the... and minimizes the need for... resources.
- **Shot Learning:** Developing models that can...)
- **Interpretable AI:** As the demand for transparency and... focus on developing interpretable models that provide

5 Applications and implications

Fruit classification using deep learning holds immense promise and is poised to revolutionize several industries. Applications and implications are far-reaching. Some of them are:

- **Agriculture and Quality Control:** Fruit classification using deep learning has significant implications in agriculture. Accurate identification of fruit types and... can reduce the need for large labeled datasets.
- **Consumer Convenience:** Deep learning-based fruit classification of fruits can enhance the efficiency of fruit... including mobile apps and devices that help consumers... on fruit ripeness.
- **Disease Detection:** Beyond classification, deep learning models can be adapted for disease detection in fruit crops. Early detection of diseases or pests can enable timely intervention and reduce crop losses, contributing to sustainable agriculture.
- **Research and Biodiversity Conservation:** Fruit classification aids researchers in studying fruit varieties, ecological and conservation studies.

7 Conclusion

have illuminated both the potential and the challenges of applying deep learning to... Studies have underscored the significance of dataset size, but have also highlighted the limitations faced by... offering customization advantages, has raised concerns... challenge of class imbalance in fruit datasets has been

VSHFLDOLJHG WHFKQLTXHV WR H OIVA V Methodology, Diagnostics, and
training.

7KH GHPDQG IRU FRPSXWDWLRQDQ
IRU FRPSOH[PRGHOV DQG ODUJH
QRWHZRUK\ OLPLWDWLRQ SRWHQ
accessibility of deep learning technology. Generalization
WR QHZ DQG SUHYL R types retrain
a challenge, calling for adaptability and retraining of
models.

Additionally, the need for interpretable AI models has
EHFRPH DSSDUHQW SDUWLFXODUO
TXDOLW\ FRQWURO (QVXULQJ PRGH
conditions and scaling these technologies for large
applications present ongoing challenges in the field.

Despite these challenges, the studies in section 2 have
VKRZFDVHG UHPDUNDEOH DFKLHY
DFKLHYLQJ KLJK DFFXUDF\ UDWHV
ORRN WR WKH IXWXUH WKH ILHOG
developments, including multimodal sensing, edge
FRPSXW SDUWLFXODUO learning, interpretable AI, transfer
learning, and innovative human collaboration models.

7KHVH HPHUJLQJ WUHQQGV DQG
SURPLVH RI PDNLQJ IUXLW FODVVL
versatile, accessible, and adaptable across various
industries and research domains. Overall, the insights
gained from the studies in section 2 pave the way for
continued advancements in fruit classification using deep
OHDUQLQJ ZLWK EURDG-
implications in agriculture, food processing, consumer
services, research, and beyond.

References

1. E.M. Yahia, P. Garcia Solís, M.E. Maldonado Celis, Postharvest Physiology and Biochemistry of Fruits and Vegetables, 1st Edition, 19–
2. 6 \$JJDUZDO 6 *XSWD ' *XSWD
-XQHMD \$ \$ \$OZ, Sustainability, 5, 1–5
3. Q. Guo, F. Li, Y. Duan, C. Wen, W. Wang, L. =KDQJ 5 +XDQJ < <LQ636FL &KLQD /LH 6FL 866–
4. 7 0 %DUEHU 6 .DELVFK \$) + 3 7 HFKQRORJ\ 0 2
:HLFNHUW 12XWULHQWV
- 1DUUHQWV 0 ORUD-García+HUQI
5 - %DUULHQWRV &)UHGHV \$ \$ 9DOHQJXHOD
Applied Sciences, 10,
6. 3 'KLPDQ \$.DXU 9 5 %DODVDU
'XOJDU \$ \$ \$OZ Sustainability, 15, 1–5
7. = 8QDO + \$NWDú 3RVWKDUYHVW 228369
8. Z. Unal. A Bibliographical Analysis. IEEE Access, 8 –
9.) 6DKODQ) +DPLGL 0 = 0LVUDW
Wani, < *XOJDU , QWHUQDWLRQDO -RXUQDO RQ
and Cognitive Computing, 7, –
Y. Gulzar, S.A. Khan. Applied Sciences, 12,

10. Ahmad, S. , TE Coocers, 15
11. M. B. H. M. R. S. U. E. H. V. S. D. U. W. J. F. X. O. D. U. O. \
12. M. B. H. M. R. S. U. E. H. V. S. D. U. W. J. F. X. O. D. U. O. \
13. F. Khan, S. Ayoub, < *XOJDU 0 0DMLG) \$
Reegu M.S. Mir, A.B. Soomro, 2 (OZDVLOD
-RXUQDO RQ 168 DJLQJ
14. V. Anand, S. Gupta, P. Gupta, Y. Gulzar, Q. Xin, S. R. G
X. Q. H. M. S. A. W. O. K. D. L. M. A. G. S. T. I. C. S. 13
15. S. Alam, P. 5 D M. D. Gulzar. Wirel Commun Mob Comput 1-12
16. S.A. Khan, Y. Gulzar, S. Ayoub, F. Khan, F. A. Peng
Q. P. X. H. W. J. F. X. O. D. U. O. \ F. D. W. L. R. Q. \$ V. Z. H.
8, 1–5
17. Y. Gulzar, A.A. \$ O Z. M. Abdullah, A.Z.
\$ E X D O M L O U K L A N I Sustainability, 15, 2947
18. N. M. M. F. L. O. H. M. A. R. A. B. O. U. L. H. A. R. A. G. W. K. H.
S. O. F. Z. D. Q. U. L. Z. A. S. Y. A. A. S. T. A. I. R. 15
19. Y. + D P. S. Vani, A.B. Soomro, A. \$ \$ O Z D Q <
Gulzar Smart Seed Classification System Based on
MobileNetV2 Architecture In Proceedings of the
Q. G. , Q. W. H. U. Q. D. W. L. R. Q. D. O. & R. Q. I. H. U. H. O.
S. O. L. E. D. W. L. R. Q. D. O. & R. Q. I. H. U. H. O. 7 H F K Q R O R J \ , &
217-222
20. Y. Gulzar, < + D P L G S o o m r o , A. \$ \$ O Z D Q
/ \$ - R X U Q D O R Q 128 V H O
21. Y. Gulzar, Z. Ünal, + \$ N W D S. Mir. Agriculture, 13
22. M.S. + R V V. O. M. Q. H. M. M. S. U. A. M. I. N. Future
Generation Computer Systems 7 K I n t e r n a t i o n a l
- R X U Q D O R Q 8, 133-138
23. + Altaheri, M. Alsulaiman, G. Muhammad IEEE
Access, 7 –
24. M. 6 K D P L P + R. V. A. V. D. D. Q. P. D. G. L
Muhammad , (((7 U D a I n f o r m a t i o n s 15 –
25. 7 7 e . & , < / L Q P i e d a d P o s t h a r v e s t B i o l
0 2
7 H F K Q R O R J \ , &
26. M. Faisal M. Alsulaiman, M. Arafah, M.A.
Q. H. N. K. W. E. F. F. A. C. C. E. S. S. 8 –167997
\$ \$ 9 D O H Q J X H O D
27. M. Faisal F. Albogamy, + Elgibreen, M. Algabri,
) \$ \$ O T H U V K L , 8 ((\$ & (6 6
28. - Ni. - Gao L. Deng Z + D. IEEE ACCESS, 8,
228369
29. G. Xue, S. Liu, Y.A. Ma. & R P S O H [, Q W H O O L J H
Systems 9 –
30. X. Chen, G. Zhou, A. Chen, L. Pu, W. Chen
D. X. O. W. L. P. H. G. 7, 80-83
31. + Gill, B.S. Khehra O X O W L P H G 7, 80, R O V \$ S S

32. - . D Q J - . * O Z O N W L P H G 7 8 I, R O V \$ S S O
-
33. D. Ufuah, G. 7 K R P S D B Alocco A.A.
0 D Q L F N D. Y A P P E n g D e c., 38 -982
34. R. 6 L G S N T A p l S c i, 4
7 % Shahi, C. Sitaula, A Neupane W. Guo P L o S
One, 17
36. K. \$ O E D U. G O Z A r, Y. + D P I A G M e h m o o d,
A. B. S o o m r o S u s t a i n a b i l i t y, 14
37. K. 6 K D Q S N D u t t a, A. K. D u t t a, A. \$ O N K D \ \ D W
\$ - 0 D Z D Y C. \$ E E D V \$ O L + D V K L P D Q G < R X V L I
M a t h e m a t i c s 10
38. N. E. A. M i m m a, S. A h m e d, 7 R a h m a n R. K h a n.
S c i P r o g r a m
39. - W a n g, C. Z h a n g, 7 Y a n, - Y a n g, X. L u, G. L u,
B. A. + X D. Q. R P S O H [, Q W H O Q L J H Q W 6 \ V W H P V
4227-4247
R. A z a d n i a, S. F o u l a d i, A. - D K D Q E D N K W h K L 5 H V X O W V
E n g i n e e r i n g, 17
41. 4 + P h a n, 9 7 N g u y e n, & + L i e n, 7 3 D u o n g,
0 7 . + R N. B. L e. P l a n t s B a s e J 12
42. Y. G u l z a r. S u s t a i n a b i l i t y 15
43. S. A y o u b, Y. G u l z a r, - R u s t a m o v A. - D E E F D A U L
R e e g u, S. 7 X U. S u s t a i n a b i l i t y 15
44. 6 \$ \ R X E < * X O J D U) \$ 5 H H J X 6 7 X U D H Y
6 \ P P H W U \ 1 % D V H O