

# On some types of nano contra $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous functions

Saif Saleh Mahdi<sup>1\*</sup> and Jamil Mahmoud Jamil<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Diyala, Baquaba, Diyala, Iraq

**Abstract:** The purpose of this paper is to define and examine two novel forms of Nano  $\beta_{PC}$ - continuity, namely Nano contra  $\beta_{PC}$ - continuity and Nano almost contra  $\beta_{PC}$ - continuity, and to provide several fundamental characteristics and attributes related to these mappings. Moreover, , paper is done on Nano almost continuously. The connections between these mappings and other well-known functions are found.

## 1 Introduction

Using equivalency relations and approximations to define the boundary region of a subset of the universe, Lellis Thivagar et al [5] proposed the concept of Nano topology, where each element is referred to as a Nano open set. As a result, various concepts like "Nano closed," "Nano closure," and "Nano interior" are constructed. Also, the relationships between the boundary region, upper approximation, and lower approximation are examined. Numerous researchers have since examined the subject of Nano topology; see[3], [5]. A. Ravathy and Ganambal Ilgano[1] defined "Nano  $\beta$  -open" and identified the family of Nano  $\beta$  -open sets based on approximation difference scenarios.

Eventually, a new class of Nano continuity called Nano continuous function was established by Lellis Thivagar and Carmel Richard[7], who also determined how to characterize it in terms of Nano closure and Nano interior. The relationships between these functions are addressed. In 2019 P.K.Dhanasekaran et al.[9] introduced several assertions that meet the conditions of Almost Nano pre-continuous, Almost Nano semi-continuous, and Almost Nano alpha continuous functions.

A powerful variation of Nano continuity called as Nano contra continuity was created by Lellis Thivagar et al.[8] Additionally, the installation of economic and medical examples of Bi- continuity was studied.

## 2 Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1** [6] considering  $\mathcal{V}$  be universe, comprise of limited many things and  $RL_{eq}$  be an equivalence relation on  $RL_{eq}$  recognize as the indiscernibility relation. consequently  $\mathcal{V}$  is partitioned to equivalence classes which are disjoint indistinguishable elements are those that

---

\* Corresponding author: [jamilmahmoud@uodiyala.edu.iq](mailto:jamilmahmoud@uodiyala.edu.iq)

belong to a certain equivalence class. A pairing  $(\mathcal{V}, RL_{eq})$  is named approximation space. Letting  $D \subseteq \mathcal{V}$

G1- The whole assortment of items with labels  $D$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{R}$  is the lower approximation of  $X$  w.r.t.  $RL_{eq}$ , which is symbolized by  $L_{RL_{eq}}(D)$ . that is  $L_{RL_{eq}}(D) = \bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{V}} \{RL_{eq}(x) : RL_{eq}(x) \subseteq D\}$  such that  $RL_{eq}(x)$  is represented the set of the equivalence classes determined by  $x$ .

G2- The whole assortment of items with possibly tags as  $D$  regard to  $\mathfrak{R}_q$  is namely upper approximation of  $X$  regarding to  $R_q$ . Equivalently,  $U_{RL_{eq}}(D) = \bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{V}} \{RL_{eq}(x) : RL_{eq}(x) \cap D \neq \phi\}$

G3- The set of any things can be categorized not  $D$  nor as non  $X$  with respect to  $RL_{eq}$  is known as the boundary region of  $X$  regards to  $R_q$ . Equivalently,  $B_{RL_{eq}}(D) = U_{RL_{eq}}(D) - L_{RL_{eq}}(D)$ .

**Proposition 2.2.**[6] If  $(\mathcal{V}, RL_{eq})$  is an approximation space and  $X, Y \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ , then

- (i)  $L_{RL_{eq}}(X) \subseteq X \subseteq U_{RL_{eq}}(X)$ .
- (ii)  $L_{RL_{eq}}(\phi) = U_{RL_{eq}}(\phi) = \phi$  and  $L_{RL_{eq}}(\mathcal{V}) = U_{RL_{eq}}(\mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{V}$ .
- (iii)  $U_{RL_{eq}}(X \cup Y) = U_{RL_{eq}}(X) \cup U_{RL_{eq}}(Y)$ .
- (iv)  $U_{RL_{eq}}(X \cap Y) \subseteq U_{RL_{eq}}(X) \cap U_{RL_{eq}}(Y)$ .
- (v)  $L_{RL_{eq}}(X \cup Y) \supseteq L_{RL_{eq}}(X) \cup L_{RL_{eq}}(Y)$ .
- (vi)  $L_{RL_{eq}}(X \cap Y) = L_{RL_{eq}}(X) \cap L_{RL_{eq}}(Y)$ .
- (vii)  $L_{RL_{eq}}(X) \subseteq L_{RL_{eq}}(Y)$  and  $U_{RL_{eq}}(X) \subseteq U_{RL_{eq}}(Y)$  whenever  $X \subseteq Y$ .
- (viii)  $U_{RL_{eq}}(X^c) = [L_{RL_{eq}}(X)]^c$  and  $L_{RL_{eq}}(X^c) = [U_{RL_{eq}}(X)]^c$ .
- (ix)  $U_{RL_{eq}} U_{RL_{eq}}(X) = L_{RL_{eq}} U_{RL_{eq}}(X) = U_{RL_{eq}}(X)$ .
- (x)  $L_{RL_{eq}} L_{RL_{eq}}(X) = U_{RL_{eq}} L_{RL_{eq}}(X) = L_{RL_{eq}}(X)$ .

**Definition 2.3**[6] Letting  $\mathcal{V}$  stand for the universe and  $R_q$  stand for equivalence relation on  $\mathcal{V}$  equipped with  $\tau_{R_q}(D) = \{\phi, \mathcal{V}, U_{RL_{eq}}(D), B_{RL_{eq}}(D), L_{RL_{eq}}(D)\}$  that is  $D$  is subset of  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\tau_{RL_{eq}}(D)$  addressed the below conditions

- T1-  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\phi$  are elements of  $\tau_{RL_{eq}}(D)$
- T2- the union of all elements of subfamily of  $\tau_{RL_{eq}}(D)$  is also, in  $\tau_{RL_{eq}}(D)$ .
- T3- the intersection of finitely many subfamily of  $\tau_{RL_{eq}}(D)$  is also, in  $RL_{eq}(D)$ .

Then the family  $\tau_{RL_{eq}}(D)$  is known as Nano topology on  $\mathcal{V}$  regarding to  $D$  and the pair  $(\mathcal{V}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(D))$  is named a Nano topological space  $\mathfrak{N}$ .Top. S is our abbreviation.

The members of  $\tau_{R_q}(D)$  are named as Nano open set. Also, the Nano open set's complement knows as Nano closed set. Nano open and Nano closed sets are abbreviated as N-open and N-closed respectively.

**Definition 2.4**[6] For a  $\mathfrak{N}$ .Top. S  $(\mathcal{V}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$  regarding to  $D$  where  $D \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ . If  $L$  is a subset of  $\mathcal{V}$ , the Nano interior of  $L$  is the union of every Nano open sets contained in  $L$  as well as its symbolized by  $\mathfrak{N}int(L)$  and Nano closure of  $L$  is the intersection of all Nano closed sets containing  $L$  as well as its symbolized by  $\mathfrak{N}clo(L)$ .

**Remark 2.5** [6] The collection  $\beta = \{\mathcal{M}, L_{RL_{eq}}(D), B_{RL_{eq}}(D)\}$  forms a base for a Nano topology  $\tau_{RL_{eq}}$ .

**Definition 2.6.**[6] A subset  $H$  of  $\mathfrak{N}$ .Top. S  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$  is named

- S1- Nano semi-open if  $H \subseteq \mathfrak{N}clo \mathfrak{N}int(H)$
- S2- Nano pre-open if  $H \subseteq \mathfrak{N}int \mathfrak{N}clo(H)$
- S3- Nano  $\beta$ -open if  $H \subseteq \mathfrak{N}clo \mathfrak{N}int \mathfrak{N}clo(H)$
- S4- Nano regular-open if  $H = \mathfrak{N}int \mathfrak{N}clo(H)$ .

The complements of the above mentioned sets are called their respective closed sets.

**Proposition 2.7.[4]** A  $\mathfrak{N}$ .Top. S  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RLeq}(X))$  is said to be Nano regular space if and only if for each  $p \in \mathcal{M}$ , and for each  $K \in \mathfrak{N}o(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RLeq}(X))$  containing  $p$ , there exists  $H \in \mathfrak{N}o(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RLeq}(X))$  such that  $p \in H \subseteq \mathfrak{N}cl(H) \subseteq K$

**Definition 2.8. [12]** A  $\mathfrak{N}$ .Top. S  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RLeq}(X))$  is said to be Nano Pre Frechet – space if for every two distinct points  $s, t$  there are two Nano Pre– open sets  $K$  and  $L$  contains one but not the another .

**Proposition 2.9.[14].** If a  $\mathfrak{N}$ .Top. S  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RLeq}(X))$  is Nano pre Frechet – space, then  $\mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}o(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RLeq}(X)) = \mathfrak{N}\beta o(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RLeq}(X))$ .

**Definition 2.10.[14]** A Nano  $\beta$ -open subset B of  $\mathfrak{N}$ .Top.S  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RLeq}(X))$  is named Nano  $\beta_{PC}$ -open if for each  $x \in B$ , there is Nano pre-closed F such that  $x \in F \subseteq B$ . the family of all  $\beta_{PC}$ -open sets is symbolled by  $\mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}o(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RLeq}(X))$ .

**Proposition 2.11[14]:** A subset  $K$  of  $\mathfrak{N}$ .Top.S  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RLeq}(X))$  is Nano  $\beta_{PC}$ -open set if and only if for any  $p \in K$ , there is  $L \in \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}o(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RLeq}(X))$  such that  $p \in L \subseteq K$

**Definition 2.12.[14]** A Nano subset  $H$  of  $\mathfrak{N}$ .Top. S  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RLeq}(X))$  is named  $\beta_{PC}$ -closed if it's complement is Nano  $\beta_{PC}$ -open. the family of all  $\beta_{PC}$ -closed sets is symbolled by  $\mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}c(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RLeq}(X))$ .

**Definition 2.13.[14]** A point  $p \in M$  is known as  $\mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}$  – interior point of  $K \subseteq M$ , if there is a  $\mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}$ -open L ( $L \in \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}o(M, \tau_R(X))$ ) having p such that  $p \in L$ . the set of all  $\mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}$  -interior point of K is named  $\mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}$  -interior and symbolled by  $\mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}int(K)$ .

**Theorem 2.14.[14]** Let K be a subset of  $\mathfrak{N}$ .Top. S.  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RLeq}(X))$ . Then

- D1)  $\mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}int(K) \subseteq K$
- D2)  $K \in \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}o(M, \tau_R(X))$  if and only if  $K = \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}int(K)$
- D3)  $\mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}int(\phi) = \phi$  and  $\mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}int(M) = M$
- D4) if  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $\mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}int(A) \subseteq \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}int(B)$
- D5)  $\mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}int(A) \cup \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}int(B) \subseteq \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}int(A \cup B)$
- D6)  $\mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}int(A \cap B) \subseteq \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}int(A) \cap \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}int(B)$ .

**Definition 2.15.[14]** Let A be a subset of  $\mathfrak{N}$ .Top. S.  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau_R(X))$  is said to be  $\mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}$  - closure of A define as the intersection of all  $\mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}$ -closed containing A, that means  $\mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}clo(A) = \cap \{K \supseteq A : K \in \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}c(\mathcal{M}, \tau_R(X))\}$ .

**Proposition 2.16.[14]** For any subset A and B of  $\mathfrak{N}$ .Top.S.  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau_R(X))$ , then

- W1) If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $\mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}clo(A) \subseteq \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}clo(B)$
- W2)  $\mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}clo(A \cap B) \subseteq \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}clo(A) \cap \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}clo(B)$
- W3)  $\mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}clo(A) \cup \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}clo(B) \subseteq \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}clo(A \cup B)$
- W4)  $K \subseteq \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}clo(K)$

W5)  $\mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}clo(\phi) = \phi$  and  $\mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}clo(M) = M$  .

**Definition 2.17[13].** For a Nano subset  $H$  of  $\mathfrak{N}.\text{Top.S} \left( \mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X) \right)$  is Nano  $\theta$ -open (resp. , Nano semi $\theta$ -open , Nano pre $\theta$ -open) set if for each  $x \in H$  , there exists a Nano open (resp. , Nano semi-open , Nano pre-open) set  $G$  such that  $x \in G \subseteq \mathfrak{N}clo(G) \subset H$  .

**Definition 2.18.[7]** Let  $\left( \mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X) \right)$  and  $\left( \mathcal{H}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y) \right)$  be two  $\mathfrak{N}.\text{Top.S}$  , a function  $f: \left( \mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X) \right) \rightarrow \left( \mathcal{H}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y) \right)$  is called Nano continuous if the inverse image of every Nano – open set in  $\mathcal{H}$  is Nano open in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

**Definition 2.19[8]** Let  $\left( \mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X) \right)$  and  $\left( \mathcal{H}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y) \right)$  be two  $\mathfrak{N}.\text{Top.S}$  , a function  $f: \left( \mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X) \right) \rightarrow \left( \mathcal{H}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y) \right)$  is said to be Nano contra continuous, if the inverse image of every Nano open set in  $\mathcal{H}$  is Nano closed in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

**Definition 2.20[2]** Let  $\left( \mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X) \right)$  and  $\left( \mathcal{H}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y) \right)$  be two  $\mathfrak{N}.\text{Top.S}$  , a function  $f: \left( \mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X) \right) \rightarrow \left( \mathcal{H}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y) \right)$  is called Nano  $\beta$ -continuous if the inverse image of every Nano – open set in  $\mathcal{H}$  is Nano  $\beta$  -open in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

**Definition 2.21.[14]** A function  $f: \left( \mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X) \right) \rightarrow \left( \mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y) \right)$  is known as Nano  $\beta_{PC}$  -continuous ,if  $f^{-1}(K)$  in  $M$  is Nano  $\beta_{PC}$  -open for every Nano open set  $K$  in  $\mathfrak{N}$ .

**Definition 2.22.[14]** Let  $\left( \mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X) \right)$  and  $\left( \mathcal{H}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(X) \right)$  be two  $\mathfrak{N}.\text{Top.S}$  , a function  $f: \left( \mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X) \right) \rightarrow \left( \mathcal{H}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(X) \right)$  is named  $\beta_{PC}$ -Irresolute, if  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}) \in \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}O \left( \mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X) \right)$  for every  $\mathcal{B} \in \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}O \left( \mathcal{H}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(X) \right)$ .

**Definition 2.23.[10]** Let  $\left( \mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X) \right)$  and  $\left( \mathcal{H}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y) \right)$  be two  $\mathfrak{N}.\text{Top.S}$  , a function  $f: \left( \mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X) \right) \rightarrow \left( \mathcal{H}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y) \right)$  is said to be Nano pre continuous, if the inverse image of every Nano open set in  $\mathcal{H}$  is Nano pre-open in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

**Definition 2.24.[8]** Let  $\left( \mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X) \right)$  and  $\left( \mathcal{H}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y) \right)$  be two  $\mathfrak{N}.\text{Top.S}$  , a function  $f: \left( \mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X) \right) \rightarrow \left( \mathcal{H}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y) \right)$  is said to be Nano contra pre continuous , if the inverse image of every Nano open set in  $\mathcal{H}$  is Nano pre-closed in  $\mathcal{M}$

**Definition 2.25.[11]** Let  $\left( \mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X) \right)$  and  $\left( \mathcal{H}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y) \right)$  be two  $\mathfrak{N}.\text{Top.S}$  , a function  $f: \left( \mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X) \right) \rightarrow \left( \mathcal{H}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y) \right)$  is said to be Nano perfectly continuous if the inverse image of every Nano -open set in  $\mathcal{H}$  is Nano clopen in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

**Definition 2.26[11]** Let  $\left( \mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X) \right)$  and  $\left( \mathcal{H}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y) \right)$  be two  $\mathfrak{N}.\text{Top.S}$  , a function  $f: \left( \mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X) \right) \rightarrow \left( \mathcal{H}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y) \right)$  is said to be Nano strongly continuous if the inverse image of every subset in  $\mathcal{H}$  is Nano clopen in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

**Definition 2.27.[13]** A subset  $A$  of  $\mathfrak{N}.\text{Top.S} \left( \mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X) \right)$  is said to be Nano  $\delta$ -open if for each  $x \in A$  there exist a Nano open set  $G$  such that  $x \in G \subseteq \mathfrak{N}int\mathfrak{N}cl(G) \subseteq A$  .

**Proposition 2.28 [1]** If  $K \in \mathfrak{N}o(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$  and  $L \in \mathfrak{N}\beta o(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$ , then  $K \cap L \in \mathfrak{N}\beta o(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$

**Proposition 2.29.** If  $K \in \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}o(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$  and  $L \in \mathfrak{N}CLP(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$ , then  $K \cap L \in \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}o(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$

Proof: Let  $r \in K \cap L$ , then  $r \in K$  and  $r \in L$  Since  $K \in \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}o(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$ , then there is  $J \in \mathfrak{N}PC(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$  such that  $r \in J \subseteq K$ . Since  $L \in \mathfrak{N}o(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$ , then by Proposition 2.28,  $K \cap L \in \mathfrak{N}\beta o(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$ .

Also,  $L \in \mathfrak{N}PC(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$ , then  $J \cap L \in \mathfrak{N}PC(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$  such that  $r \in J \cap L \subseteq K \cap L$ . Hence  $K \cap L \in \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}o(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$ .

**Definition 2.30.[8]** Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$  be a  $\mathfrak{N}$ .Top. S and let  $K \subseteq M$ , the set  $\mathfrak{N}ker(K) = \cap \{H: K \subseteq H, H \in \mathfrak{N}o(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))\}$  is known as Nano kernel of  $K$  simply by  $\mathfrak{N}ker(K)$ .

**Proposition 2.31.[8]** Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$  be a  $\mathfrak{N}$ .Top. S and let  $K, L \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ , then

- J1)  $p \in \mathfrak{N}ker(K)$  if and only if  $K \cap O \neq \phi$  for any  $O \in \mathfrak{N}c(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$  containing  $p$ .
- J2) If  $K \subseteq L$ , then  $\mathfrak{N}ker(K) \subseteq \mathfrak{N}ker(L)$ .
- J3) If  $K \in \mathfrak{N}o(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$ , then  $K = \mathfrak{N}ker(K)$ .

### 3 Nano contra $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous function

**Definition 3.1** Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$  and  $(\mathcal{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  be two  $\mathfrak{N}$ .Top. S, then a function

$f: (\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X)) \rightarrow (\mathcal{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  is named Nano contra  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous if the inverse image of every Nano open set in  $\mathcal{N}$  is Nano  $\beta_{PC}$ -closed set in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

**Example 3.2.** Let  $H = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and  $H/R = \{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}\}$ ,  $X = \{c, d\}$ , then  $\tau_R(X) = \{H, \phi, \{c, d\}\}$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{M} = \{a, b, c, d\}$ , equipped with  $\mathcal{M}/R = \{\{a, c\}, \{b\}, \{d\}\}$ ,  $Y = \{b, d\}$ , then  $\tau_R^*(Y) = \{M, \phi, \{b, d\}\}$ . Define function  $g: (\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X)) \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  as  $g(a) = a, g(b) = b, g(c) = c, g(d) = d$ , then  $g$  is Nano contra  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous.

**Lemma 3.3.** Every Nano clopen is Nano  $\beta_{PC}$ -closed.

Proof: Let  $K$  be any Nano clopen subset of  $\mathfrak{N}$ .Top. S  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$ , then  $M - K$  is also clopen subset of  $\mathfrak{N}$ .Top. S  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$ , it follows  $M - K$  is  $\beta$ -open and pre-closed, then for any  $p \in M - K$  we have  $p \in M - K \subseteq M - K$  that is  $M - K$  is Nano  $\beta_{PC}$ -open. Consequently  $K$  is Nano  $\beta_{PC}$ -closed.

**Proposition 3.4.** Every Nano strongly continuous is Nano contra  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous and Nano  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous.

Proof : Let  $h : (\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X)) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  be Nano strongly continuous function and let  $B \in \mathfrak{No}(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$ , then  $h^{-1}(B)$  is a Nano clopen set in  $\mathfrak{N}.\text{Top}.\text{S}(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$ . By Lemma 3.3,  $h^{-1}(B) \in \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$ . Hence  $h$  is Nano contra  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous.

**Remark 3.5.** Nano contra  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous and Nano  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous functions are independent as showing in the following example

**Example 3.6.**

1-Consider Example 3.2,  $g$  is Nano contra  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous but not Nano  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous  
 2. Let  $\mathcal{M} = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}/_R = \{\{a, c\}, \{b\}, \{d\}, \{e\}\}$ ,  $X = \{a, d, e\}$  then  $\tau_R(X) = \{M, \phi, \{e, d\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, c, d, e\}\}$  and  $\mathfrak{N} = \{x, y, z, w\}$ ,  $\mathfrak{N}/_{R^*} = \{\{x\}, \{y, z\}, \{w\}\}$ ,  $Y = \{x, z\}$ , then  $\tau_{R^*}(Y) = \{\mathfrak{N}, \phi, \{x\}, \{y, z\}, \{x, y, z\}\}$ . Define  $f : (\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X)) \rightarrow (\mathcal{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  as  $f(a) = x, f(b) = w, f(c) = y, f(d) = z, f(e) = y$ , then  $f$  is Nano  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous but not Nano contra  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous since  $f^{-1}(\{x, y, z\}) = \{a, c, d, e\} \notin \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$ .

**Proposition 3.7.** If  $\varphi$  is a mapping from  $\mathfrak{N}.\text{Top}.\text{S}(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$  into any Nano regular  $(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(Y))$  is Nano contra  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous then  $\varphi$  is  $\mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}$ -continuous function.

Proof: Let  $p$  be an arbitrary point of  $\mathcal{M}$  and let  $H$  be a Nano open set containing  $\varphi(p)$  in  $\mathfrak{N}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{N}$  is Nano regular space, then there is  $T \in \mathfrak{No}(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(Y))$  containing  $\varphi(p)$  such that  $\mathfrak{N}cl(T) \subseteq H$ . Since  $\varphi$  is Nano contra  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous, then by Proposition 2.7 there exists  $K \in \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$  containing  $p$  such that  $\varphi(K) \subseteq \mathfrak{N}cl(T) \subseteq H$ . Hence  $\varphi$  is  $\mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}$ -continuous function.

**Proposition 3.8** If  $\varphi$  is a mapping from Nano Pre Frechet space  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$  into any  $\mathfrak{N}.\text{Top}.\text{S}(\mathcal{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$  is Nano contra  $\beta$ -continuous, then  $\varphi$  is Nano contra  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous.

Proof : By proposition 2.9

**Proposition 3.9** Every Nano contra  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous is Nano contra  $\beta$ -continuous.

Proof : follows from Definition 2.10

**Proposition 3.10** Every Nano pre-continuous and Nano contra  $\beta$ -continuous is Nano contra  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous.

Proof : Let  $\varphi : (\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X)) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  be both Nano pre-continuous and Nano contra  $\beta$ -continuous, let  $W \in \mathfrak{Nc}(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$ , since  $\varphi$  is Nano contra  $\beta$ -continuous, then  $\varphi^{-1}(W) \in \mathfrak{N}\beta(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$  again  $W \in \mathfrak{Nc}(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$ , since  $\varphi$  is Nano pre-continuous, then  $\varphi^{-1}(W) \in \mathfrak{N}PC(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$ . Consequently,  $\varphi^{-1}(W) \in \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$ . Hence  $\varphi$  is Nano contra  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous.

**Definition 3.11** A  $\mathfrak{N}$ .Top. S  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$  is said to be Nano locally  $\beta_{PC}$ -indiscrete if every  $\mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}$ -open is Nano-closed

**Proposition 3.12** If  $\psi$  is a mapping from Nano locally  $\beta_{PC}$ -indiscrete  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$  into any  $\mathfrak{N}$ .Top. S  $(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(Y))$  is Nano contra  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous then  $\psi$  is  $\mathfrak{N}$ -continuous function.

**Definition 3.13** A  $\mathfrak{N}$ .Top. S  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$  is said to be Nano  $\beta_{PC}$ -space if every  $\mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}$ -open is Nano-open.

**Theorem 3.14.** Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$  and  $(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL^*_{eq}}(Y))$  be two  $\mathfrak{N}$ .Top. S. For a function  $\varpi: (\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X)) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL^*_{eq}}(Y))$ , then the following statements are equivalent .

- E1)  $\varpi$  is Nano contra  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous function.
- E2) The inverse image of every Nano closed set in  $\mathfrak{N}$  is Nano  $\beta_{PC}$ -open in  $\mathcal{M}$  .
- E3)  $\varpi(\mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}cl(T) \subseteq \mathfrak{N} \ker(\varpi(T)))$ , for any subset  $T$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  .
- E4)  $\mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}cl(\varpi^{-1}(L)) \subseteq \varpi^{-1}(\mathfrak{N} \ker(L))$ , for any subset  $L$  of  $\mathfrak{N}$ .

Proof : E1 $\Rightarrow$ E2 Let  $\varpi$  be Nano contra  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous and let  $K$  is Nano closed set in  $\mathfrak{N}$ , then  $\mathfrak{N} - K$  is Nano open. By utilizing E1  $\varpi^{-1}(\mathfrak{N} - K)$  is  $\mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}$ -closed in  $\mathcal{M}$ , and since  $\varpi^{-1}(\mathfrak{N} - K) = \mathcal{M} - \varpi^{-1}(K)$ , then  $\varpi^{-1}(K)$  is Nano  $\beta_{PC}$ -open in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

E2 $\Rightarrow$ E3 Assume that  $T$  be any subset of  $\mathcal{M}$ , suppose that  $p \notin \mathfrak{N} \ker(\varpi(T))$ . By Proposition 2.31, there exists  $H \in \mathfrak{N}c(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL^*_{eq}}(Y))$  having  $p$  such that  $\varpi(T) \cap H = \phi$ , so  $T \cap \varpi^{-1}(H) = \phi$ , that is  $T \subseteq \mathcal{M} - \varpi^{-1}(H)$ .

By applying E2,  $\varpi^{-1}(H) \in \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}o(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$ . It follows  $\mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}cl(T) \subseteq \mathcal{M} - \varpi^{-1}(H) \Rightarrow \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}cl(T) \cap \varpi^{-1}(H) = \phi \Rightarrow \varpi(\mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}cl(T)) \cap H = \phi$ . Accordingly,  $p \notin \varpi(\mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}cl(T))$ . Hence  $\varpi(\mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}cl(T) \subseteq \mathfrak{N} \ker(\varpi(T)))$ .

E3 $\Rightarrow$ E4 Let  $L$  be a subset of  $\mathfrak{N}$ .Top. S  $(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL^*_{eq}}(Y))$ , then by applying E3 we have  $\varpi(\mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}cl(\varpi^{-1}(L))) \subseteq \mathfrak{N} \ker(\varpi(\varpi^{-1}(L))) \subseteq \mathfrak{N} \ker(L) \Rightarrow \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}cl(\varpi^{-1}(L)) \subseteq \varpi^{-1}(\mathfrak{N} \ker(L))$ .

E4 $\Rightarrow$ E1 Let  $K \in \mathfrak{N}o(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL^*_{eq}}(Y))$ , then by applying E4,  $\mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}cl(\varpi^{-1}(K)) \subseteq \varpi^{-1}(\mathfrak{N} \ker(K))$  and by Proposition 2.31 (3),  $\varpi^{-1}(\mathfrak{N} \ker(K)) = \varpi^{-1}(K)$  that is  $\mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}cl(\varpi^{-1}(K)) \subseteq \varpi^{-1}(K)$ . Hence  $\varpi$  is Nano contra  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous function .

**Proposition 3.15** If  $\psi$  is a mapping from Nano  $\beta_{PC}$ -space  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$  into any  $\mathfrak{N}$ .Top. S  $(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(Y))$  is Nano contra  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous then  $\psi$  is  $\mathfrak{N}$  contra continuous function.

**Proposition 3.16** If  $\varphi: (\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X)) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL^*_{eq}}(Y))$  is Nano  $\beta_{PC}$ -Irresolute and  $\psi: (\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL^*_{eq}}(Y)) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{T}, \tau_{RL^{\#}_{eq}}(Z))$  is Nano contra  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous, then  $\psi \circ \varphi: (\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X)) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{T}, \tau_{RL^{\#}_{eq}}(Z))$  is Nano contra  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous.

Proof: Let  $K \in \mathfrak{NO}(\mathbb{T}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^\#(Z))$ . Since  $\psi$  is Nano contra  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous, then  $\psi^{-1}(K) \in \mathfrak{NB}_{PC}C(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$  and since  $\varphi$  is Nano  $\beta_{PC}$ -Irresolute function, then  $\varphi^{-1}(\psi^{-1}(K)) = (\psi \circ \varphi)^{-1}(K) \in \mathfrak{NB}_{PC}C(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$ . Hence  $\psi \circ \varphi$  is Nano contra  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous.

**Proposition 3.17** If  $\varphi: (\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X)) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  is Nano contra  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous and  $\psi: (\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y)) \rightarrow (\mathbb{T}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^\#(Z))$  is Nano continuous, then  $\psi \circ \varphi: (\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X)) \rightarrow (\mathbb{T}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^\#(Z))$  is Nano contra  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous.

#### 4 Nano almost contra $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous function

**Definition 4.1.** A function  $\varphi: (\mathcal{U}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X)) \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  is named Nano almost contra  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous if  $\varphi^{-1}(K) \in \mathfrak{NB}_{PC}C(\mathcal{U}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$  for any  $K \in \mathfrak{NRo}(\mathcal{V}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** Every Nano contra  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous function is Nano almost contra  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous.

Proof: Let  $\varphi: (\mathcal{U}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X)) \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  be Nano contra  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous and let  $K \in \mathfrak{NRo}(\mathcal{V}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$ , then  $K \in \mathfrak{NO}(\mathcal{V}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$ . But  $\varphi$  is Nano contra  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous, thus  $\varphi^{-1}(K) \in \mathfrak{NB}_{PC}C(\mathcal{U}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$ . Hence  $\varphi$  is Nano almost contra  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous.

The converse of Proposition 4.2 may not be true as showing in the following example.

**Example 4.3** consider the same set as Example 3.6.(2). Let  $\mathcal{M} = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}/_R = \{\{a, c\}, \{b\}, \{d\}, \{e\}\}$ ,  $X = \{a, d, e\}$  then  $\tau_R(X) = \{M, \phi, \{e, d\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, c, d, e\}\}$  and  $\mathfrak{N} = \{x, y, z, w\}$ ,  $\mathfrak{N}/_{R^*} = \{\{x\}, \{y, z\}, \{w\}\}$ ,  $Y = \{x, z\}$ , then  $\tau_{R^*}(Y) = \{\mathfrak{N}, \phi, \{x\}, \{y, z\}, \{x, y, z\}\}$ . Define  $f: (\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X)) \rightarrow (\mathcal{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  as  $f(a) = x, f(b) = w, f(c) = y, f(d) = z, f(e) = y$ , then  $f$  is Nano almost contra  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous but not Nano contra  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous

**Proposition 4.4.** Let a function  $\varphi: (\mathcal{U}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X)) \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  be Nano almost contra  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous if and only if for each  $p \in \mathcal{U}$  and any  $K$  is  $\mathfrak{NRC}$ -set of  $\mathcal{V}$  containing  $\varphi(p)$ , there exists a Nano  $\beta_{PC}$ -open set  $T$  containing  $p$  such that  $\varphi(T) \subseteq K$ .

Proof: Suppose that  $\varphi$  is Nano almost contra  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous. Let  $K \in \mathfrak{NRC}(\mathcal{V}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  containing  $\varphi(p)$  for some  $p \in (\mathcal{U}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$ , then  $\mathcal{V} - K \in \mathfrak{NRo}(\mathcal{V}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$ . since  $\varphi$  is Nano almost contra  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous, then  $\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{V} - K) = \mathcal{U} - \varphi^{-1}(K) \in \mathfrak{NP}_{PC}C(\mathcal{U}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$ , consequently,  $\varphi^{-1}(K) \in \mathfrak{NP}_{PC}O(\mathcal{U}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$

containing  $p$  . set  $\varphi^{-1}(K) = T$  , then  $\varphi(T) = \varphi(\varphi^{-1}(K)) \subseteq K$  where  $T \in \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}o(\mathcal{U}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$  containing  $p$  .

**Proposition 4.4.** For a function  $\varphi: (\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X)) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  , then the following are equivalent .

E1)  $\varphi$  is Nano almost contra  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous .

E2)  $\varphi^{-1}(K) \in \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}o(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$  , for any  $K \in \mathfrak{N}\theta So(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  .

E3)  $\varphi^{-1}(L) \in \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC} int(\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{N}cl(L)))$  , for any  $L \in \mathfrak{N}So(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  .

Proof : E1 $\Rightarrow$ E2 . Let  $K \in \mathfrak{N}\theta So(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  , then for every  $p \in K$  , there exists  $G \in \mathfrak{N}So(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  such that  $p \in G \subseteq \mathfrak{N}cl(G) \subseteq K$  . Accordingly ,  $K = \cup \mathfrak{N}cl\mathfrak{N}int(G_i)$  , it follows that  $\varphi^{-1}(K) = \varphi^{-1}(\cup_i \mathfrak{N}cl\mathfrak{N}int(G_i)) = \cup_i \varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{N}cl\mathfrak{N}int(G_i))$  . By E1 ,  $\varphi$  is Nano almost contra  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous , then by Proposition 2.11 ,  $\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{N}cl\mathfrak{N}int(G_i)) \in \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}o(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$  for each  $i$  . That is  $\varphi^{-1}(K) \in \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}o(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$  .

E2 $\Rightarrow$ E3 . Let  $L \in \mathfrak{N}So(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  , then  $\mathfrak{N}cl(L) \in \mathfrak{N}Rc(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  . Accordingly ,  $\mathfrak{N}cl(L) \in \mathfrak{N}\theta So(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  . By applying E2  $\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{N}cl(L)) \in \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}o(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$  . This implies that  $\varphi^{-1}(L) \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{N}cl(L)) = \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC} int(\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{N}cl(L)))$  .

E3 $\Rightarrow$ E1 . Let  $H \in \mathfrak{N}Rc(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  , then  $H \in \mathfrak{N}\theta So(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  , by applying E3  $\varphi^{-1}(H) \subseteq \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC} int(\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{N}cl(H))) = \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC} int(\varphi^{-1}(H))$  . Hence  $\varphi$  is Nano almost contra  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous function .

**Proposition 4.5.** For a function  $\varphi: (\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X)) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  , then the following are equivalent .

E1)  $\varphi$  is Nano almost contra  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous function .

E2)  $\mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC} cl(\varphi^{-1}(K)) \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{N}Scl(K))$  for any  $K \in \mathfrak{N}o(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  .

E3)  $\mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC} cl(\varphi^{-1}(H)) \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{N}int\mathfrak{N}cl(H))$  for  $H \in \mathfrak{N}o(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  .

Proof : E1 $\Rightarrow$ E2 . Let  $K \in \mathfrak{N}o(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  , since  $\mathfrak{N}Scl(K) = K \cup \mathfrak{N}int\mathfrak{N}cl(K) = \mathfrak{N}int\mathfrak{N}cl(K)$  , then  $\mathfrak{N}Scl(K) \in \mathfrak{N}Ro(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  . By applying E1 ,  $\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{N}Scl(K)) \in \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}c(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$  and since  $\varphi^{-1}(K) \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{N}Scl(K))$  , then  $\mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC} cl(\varphi^{-1}(K)) \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{N}Scl(K))$  .

E2 $\Rightarrow$ E3 . Follows directly from that fact  $\mathfrak{N}Scl(A) = \mathfrak{N}int\mathfrak{N}cl(A)$  for any .

E3 $\Rightarrow$ E1 . Let  $H \in \mathfrak{N}Ro(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  , then  $H \in \mathfrak{N}o(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  . By applying E3  $\mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC} cl(\varphi^{-1}(H)) \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{N}int\mathfrak{N}cl(H)) = \varphi^{-1}(H)$  . Consequently ,  $\varphi^{-1}(H) \in \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}c(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$  . Hence  $\varphi$  is Nano almost contra  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous function .

## 5 Nano almost $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous function .

**Definition 5.1.** A function  $f: (\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X)) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  is Nano almost  $\beta_{PC}$  -continuous if and only if the inverse image of any Nano regular open set in  $\mathfrak{N}$  is Nano  $\beta_{PC}$ -open in  $\mathcal{M}$  .

**Proposition 5.2.** A function  $f: (\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X)) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  is Nano almost  $\beta_{PC}$  -continuous if and only if for each  $r \in M$  and each  $K \in \mathfrak{N}o(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  containing  $f(r)$  , there exists  $L \in \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}o(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$  containing  $r$  such that  $f(L) \subseteq \mathfrak{N}int\mathfrak{N}cl(K)$  .

Proof : Let  $K \in \mathfrak{N}o(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  containing  $f(r)$  , for  $r \in M$  , then  $\mathfrak{N}int\mathfrak{N}cl(K) \in \mathfrak{N}Ro(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  , since  $f$  is Nano almost  $\beta_{PC}$  -continuous .  $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{N}int\mathfrak{N}cl(K)) \in \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}o(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$  such that  $r \in f^{-1}(\mathfrak{N}int\mathfrak{N}cl(K)) = L$  , then  $L \in \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}o(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$  and  $L \subseteq f^{-1}(\mathfrak{N}int\mathfrak{N}cl(K))$  consequently ,  $f(L) \subseteq \mathfrak{N}int\mathfrak{N}cl(K)$ . Conversely , let  $K \in \mathfrak{N}Ro(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  , then  $K \subseteq \mathfrak{N}int\mathfrak{N}cl(K)$  , by hypothesis there exists  $L \in \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}o(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$  such that  $f(L) \subseteq \mathfrak{N}int\mathfrak{N}cl(K)$  , then  $L \subseteq f^{-1}(\mathfrak{N}int\mathfrak{N}cl(K)) \Rightarrow L = f^{-1}(K)$  ,  $f^{-1}(K) \in \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}o(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$  . Hence  $f$  is Nano almost  $\beta_{PC}$  -continuous function .

**Proposition 5.3.** A function  $f: (\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X)) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  is Nano almost  $\beta_{PC}$  -continuous if and only if the inverse image of any Nano regular closed set in  $\mathfrak{N}$  is Nano  $\beta_{PC}$ -closed in  $\mathcal{M}$  .

**Proposition 5.4.** Every Nano  $\beta_{PC}$  -continuous is Nano almost  $\beta_{PC}$  -continuous .

Proof : Let  $\varphi: (\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X)) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  be Nano  $\beta_{PC}$  -continuous , let  $A \in \mathfrak{N}Ro(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  , then  $A \in \mathfrak{N}\beta o(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  since  $\varphi$  is Nano  $\beta_{PC}$  -continuous .  $\varphi^{-1}(A) \in \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}o(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$  . Hence  $\varphi$  is Nano almost  $\beta_{PC}$  -continuous function .

**Proposition 5.5.** A function  $\varphi: (\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X)) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  is Nano almost  $\beta_{PC}$  -continuous if and only if the inverse image of every Nano  $\delta$ -open set in  $\mathfrak{N}$  is Nano  $\beta_{PC}$ -open in  $\mathcal{M}$  .

Proof : Suppose  $\varphi$  is Nano almost  $\beta_{PC}$  -continuous and let  $H \in \mathfrak{N}\delta o(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  . To show that  $\varphi^{-1}(H) \in \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}o(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$  , if  $\varphi^{-1}(H) = \emptyset$  , then the proof is done . if not , let  $x \in \varphi^{-1}(H)$  , then  $\varphi(x) \in H$  and since  $H \in \mathfrak{N}\delta o(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  , then there is  $L \in \mathfrak{N}o(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  such that  $\varphi(x) \in L \subseteq \mathfrak{N}int\mathfrak{N}cl(L) \subseteq H$  , since  $\mathfrak{N}int\mathfrak{N}cl(L) \in \mathfrak{N}Ro(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  and since  $\varphi$  is Nano almost  $\beta_{PC}$  -continuous , then  $\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{N}int\mathfrak{N}cl(L)) \in \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}o(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$  , consequently  $\varphi^{-1}(H) \in \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}o(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$  .

Conversely , suppose that the inverse image of every Nano  $\delta$ -open set in  $\mathfrak{N}$  is Nano  $\beta_{PC}$ -open in  $\mathcal{M}$  , to show that  $\varphi$  is Nano almost  $\beta_{PC}$  -continuous . Let  $K \in \mathfrak{N}o(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$

containing  $\varphi(x)$ , then  $\mathfrak{N}int\mathfrak{N}cl(K) \in \mathfrak{N}\delta o(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RLeq}^*(Y))$ , by hypothesis  $\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{N}int\mathfrak{N}cl(K)) \in \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}o(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RLeq}(X))$  containing  $x$ . Accordingly,  $\varphi(\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{N}int\mathfrak{N}cl(K))) \subseteq \mathfrak{N}int\mathfrak{N}cl(K)$ , set  $\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{N}int\mathfrak{N}cl(K)) = U \implies \varphi(U) \subseteq \mathfrak{N}int\mathfrak{N}cl(K)$ , thus  $\varphi$  is Nano almost  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous function.

**Proposition 5.6.** A function  $\varphi: (\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RLeq}(X)) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RLeq}^*(Y))$  is Nano almost  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous if and only if  $\varphi: (\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RLeq}(X)) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RLeq}^{*s}(Y))$  Nano  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous.

Proof : Suppose that  $\varphi: (\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RLeq}(X)) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RLeq}^*(Y))$  is Nano almost  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous, and let  $K \in (\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RLeq}^{*s}(Y))$ , then  $K \in \mathfrak{N}Ro(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RLeq}^*(Y))$ , then  $\varphi^{-1}(K) \in \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}o(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RLeq}(X))$ . That is  $\varphi: (\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RLeq}(X)) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RLeq}^{*s}(Y))$  Nano  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous.

Conversely, let  $\varphi: (\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RLeq}(X)) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RLeq}^{*s}(Y))$  Nano  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous. Let  $H \in \mathfrak{N}o(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RLeq}^*(Y))$ , containing  $\varphi(X)$ , thus  $\mathfrak{N}int\mathfrak{N}cl(H) \in \mathfrak{N}Ro(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RLeq}^*(Y))$  containing  $\varphi(X)$ . Accordingly  $\mathfrak{N}int\mathfrak{N}cl(H) \in (\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RLeq}^{*s}(Y))$ , since  $\varphi: (\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RLeq}(X)) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RLeq}^{*s}(Y))$  Nano  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous, then  $\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{N}int\mathfrak{N}cl(H)) \in \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}o(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RLeq}(X)) \implies \varphi(\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{N}int\mathfrak{N}cl(H))) \subseteq \mathfrak{N}int\mathfrak{N}cl(H)$ , let  $\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{N}int\mathfrak{N}cl(H)) = U$ . Hence  $\varphi: (\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RLeq}(X)) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RLeq}^*(Y))$  is Nano almost  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous.

**Remark 5.7.** A  $\mathfrak{N}Top.S(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RLeq}^{*s}(Y))$  is the family of all Nano regular open set.

**Definition 5.8.** A  $\mathfrak{N}Top.S(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RLeq}(X))$  is said to be Nano Hyper connected if  $\mathfrak{N}cl(G) = M$ , for any  $G \in \mathfrak{N}o(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RLeq}(X))$ .

**Proposition 5.9.** If  $(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RLeq}^*(Y))$  is Nano Hyper connected, then every map  $\varphi: (\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RLeq}(X)) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RLeq}^*(Y))$  is Nano almost  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous.

Proof : Let  $(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RLeq}^*(Y))$  is Nano Hyper connected, let  $K \in \mathfrak{N}o(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RLeq}^*(Y))$  containing  $\varphi(X)$ , then  $\mathfrak{N}cl(K) = \mathfrak{N}$ . Consequently  $\mathfrak{N}int\mathfrak{N}cl(K) = \mathfrak{N}$ . That is for any  $L \in \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}o(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RLeq}(X))$  containing  $x$ ,  $\varphi(L) \subseteq \mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}int\mathfrak{N}cl(K)$ . Hence  $\varphi$  is Nano almost  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous.

**Theorem 5.10.** A function  $\varphi: (\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RLeq}(X)) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RLeq}^*(Y))$  is Nano almost  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous if and only if for any  $K \in \mathfrak{N}o(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RLeq}^*(Y))$ ,  $\varphi^{-1}(K) \subseteq \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}int(\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{N}int\mathfrak{N}cl(K)))$ .

Proof : Suppose that  $\varphi$  is Nano almost  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous and let  $K \in \mathfrak{No}(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$ . Let  $x \in \varphi^{-1}(K)$ , then  $\varphi(x) \in K \in \mathfrak{No}(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$ , since  $\varphi$  is Nano almost  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous, then there is  $L \in \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}O(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$  containing  $x$  such that  $\varphi(L) \subseteq \mathfrak{Nint}\mathfrak{Ncl}(K)$ . Consequently,  $x \in L \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{Nint}\mathfrak{Ncl}(K))$ , it follows  $\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{Nint}\mathfrak{Ncl}(K)) \in \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC}O(\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$ . That is  $x \in \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC} - \text{int}(\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{Nint}\mathfrak{Ncl}(K)))$ . Hence  $\varphi^{-1}(K) \subseteq \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC} - \text{int}(\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{Nint}\mathfrak{Ncl}(K)))$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $\varphi^{-1}(K) \subseteq \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC} - \text{int}(\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{Nint}\mathfrak{Ncl}(K)))$  for any  $K \in \mathfrak{No}(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$ . To show that  $\varphi$  is Nano almost  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous, let  $x \in (\mathcal{M}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}(X))$  and let  $L \in \mathfrak{No}(\mathfrak{N}, \tau_{RL_{eq}}^*(Y))$  containing  $\varphi(x)$ , then by hypothesis  $x \in \varphi^{-1}(L) \subseteq \mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC} - \text{int}(\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{Nint}\mathfrak{Ncl}(L))) \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{Nint}\mathfrak{Ncl}(L))$ , take  $\mathfrak{N}\beta_{PC} - \text{int}(\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{Nint}\mathfrak{Ncl}(L))) = U$ . That is  $\varphi(U) \subseteq \mathfrak{Nint}\mathfrak{Ncl}(L)$ . Hence  $\varphi$  is Nano almost  $\beta_{PC}$ -continuous.

## References

1. A. Revathy and G. Ilango, On Nano  $\beta$ -open sets, International Journal of Engineering, Contemporary Mathematics and Sciences,1(2),1-6(2015).
2. A.A. Nasef, A.L. Aggour, and S.M. Darwesh, On Some classes of nearly open sets in Nano topological spaces, J. Egypt math.Soc.24(4),585-589(2016).
3. Jamil M. Jamil and Wadhah A. Hussein, On Nano ic-open set and some of its applications, AIP Conference Proceedings, 2834,080083 (2023)
4. M. Bhuvaneswari, and N. Nagaveni, On nwg-normal and nwg-regular spaces, International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology. 1-5(2018)
5. M. L. Thivagar, and C. Richard, and N. R Paul., Mathematical Innovations of a Modern topology in Medical event. International Journal of Information science 2(4), 33-36 (2012).
6. M. L. Thivagar, and C. Richard, On Nano forms of weakly open sets, International Journal of Mathematics and Statistics Invention, 1(1), 31-37(2013).
7. M. Lellis Thivagar and Carmel Richard, On Nano Continuity, Mathematical Theory and Modeling 3(7),32-37(2013).
8. M. Lellis Thivagar, S. Jafari, and V. Devi, On New Class of Contra Continuity in
9. P. K. Dhanasekaran, S. Brindha and P. Sathishmohan, On Almost Nano Pre-Continuous Functions in Nano topological space, Advances and Applications in Mathematical Sciences,18(11), 1477-1486(2019).
10. P. Sathishmohan, V. Rajendran, A. Devika, and R. Vani, On Nano semi-continuity and Nano pre-continuity, International Journal of Applied Resreach, 3(2),76-79(2017).
11. P.Anbarasi Rodrigo and I. Sahaya Dani, Nano Strongly  $\alpha^*As$ -Continuous Maps and Nano Perfectly  $\alpha^*As$ -Continuous maps in topological spaces, Advances and Applications in Mathematical Sciences, 21(1),621-631(2021).
12. P.Sathishmohan, V.Rajendran, and P.K. Dhanasekaran, Further Properties of Nano Pre-  $T_0$ , Nano Pre- $T_1$ , and Nano Pre- $T_2$  Spaces, Malaya Journal of Matematik 7(1),34-38(2019).

13. R. U. Ahmad, S. A. Hussein ,Nano  $\beta\theta$  -open sets in Nano Topological Spaces , Tikrit Journal of Pure Science , 28 (4),111-117 (2023) .
14. Saif. S. Mahdi, Jamil M. Jamil, On Nano  $\beta_{PC}$ -Open Sets and Some of its applications, Submitted.