

Strongly nearly-2-absorbing submodules

Shwkaea M. Rajab^{1*} and *Haibat K. Mohammadali*¹

¹Department of Mathematics , College of Computer Science and Mathematics , Tikrit University, Tikrit, Iraq.

Abstract. Let R be a commutative ring with identity and V be a unitary left R -module. The concept of Strongly Nearly-2-Absorbing sub-modules as a generalization of Endo-2-Absorbing sub-modules and strong form of Nearly-2-Absorbing sub-modules are introduced in this paper. Many examples, basic properties of this concept are introduced. Furthermore we prove that in class of (scalar, cyclic and finitely-generated) modules the two concepts Nearly-2-Absorbing sub-modules and Strongly Nearly-2-Absorbing sub-modules are equivalent. Moreover we prove that Endo-2-Absorbing and Strongly Nearly-2-Absorbing sub-modules are equivalent in class of (semi simple, regular) modules. Also those concepts are equivalent in class of all modules over a v -ring. Finally we prove several characterizations of Strongly Nearly-2-Absorbing sub-modules in some types of modules such as (projective, faithful and content) modules in class of cyclic modules.

1 Introduction

Through out this paper all rings are commutative with identity, and all modules are unitary left R -modules. Endo-2-Absorbing sub-modules are the famous concept to start with, and were first introduced by Harfash in (2015) as a strong of 2-Absorbing sub-modules. In this paper we introduce new generalizations of Endo-2-Absorbing sub-modules which we called Strongly Nearly-2-Absorbing sub-modules. These papers consist of three parts. In part one introduce several well-known definitions and propositions that we needed through this paper. In part two we give some basic proposition, examples of Strongly Nearly-2-Absorbing sub-modules, and prove that every Endo-2-Absorbing is Strongly Nearly-2-Absorbing sub-modules, but not conversely. Also prove that every Strongly Nearly-2-Absorbing is Nearly-2-Absorbing sub-modules, but not conversely. Many basic properties and propositions of Strongly Nearly-2-Absorbing sub-modules are introduced in this part. In part three deal with introduced several characterizations of Strongly Nearly-2-Absorbing sub-modules in some kinds of modules.

*Corresponding author : ali.shebl@st.tu.edu.iq

2 Basic Concept

2.1 Definition (2.1)[1]

A proper sub-module S of an R -module V is called Endo-2-Absorbing sub-module if whenever $(f \circ g)(v) \in S$, for $f, g \in \text{End}(V)$, $v \in V$, then either $f(v) \in S$ or $g(v) \in S$ or $(f \circ g)(V) \subseteq S$.

2.2 Definition (2.2)[2]

The Jacobson radical $J(V)$ of an R -module V is defined as intersection of all maximal sub-modules of V .

2.3 Definition (2.3)[3]

"A proper sub-module S of an R -module V is called Naely-2-Absorbing, if whenever $rcv \in S$, for $r, c \in R$, $v \in V$, implies that either $rv \in S + J(V)$ or $cv \in S + J(V)$ or $rcV \subseteq S + J(V)$. And an ideal I of a ring R is called Naely-2-Absorbing ideal of R if I is an Naely-2-Absorbing sub-module of an R -module R ".

2.4 Definition (2.4)[4]

A proper sub-module S of an R -module V is called 2-Absorbing, if whenever $rcv \in S$, for $r, c \in R$, $v \in V$, implies that either $rv \in S$ or $cv \in S$ or $rcV \subseteq S$.

2.5 Definition (2.5)[5]

An R -module V is called semi simple if every sub-module of V is a direct summand of V . Equivalently V is semi simple if and only if $J(V) = 0$.

2.6 Proposition (2.6)[2, Exercise (12)]

If S is a sub-module of an R -module V , with S is a direct summand of V , then $J\left(\frac{V}{S}\right) = \frac{J(V)+S}{S}$.

2.7 Definition (2.7)[6]

An R -module V is called regular if $\frac{R}{\text{ann}(v)}$ is regular $\forall v \in V$.

2.8 Proposition (2.8)[6, proposition (3.9)]

Let V be a regular R -module then $J(V) = 0$.

2.9 Definition (2.9)[7]

A ring R is v-ring if for any R -module V , then $J(V) = 0$.

2.10 Proposition (2.10)[2, proposition (9.1.4)(b)]

If S is a sub-module of an R -module V , with $\mathcal{J}\left(\frac{V}{S}\right) = 0$, then $\mathcal{J}(V) \subseteq S$.

2.11 Definition (2.11)[8]

An R – module V is said to be scalar module if for each $f \in \text{End}(V)$, there exists $r \in R$ such that $f(v) = rv$ for each $v \in V$.

2.12 Proposition (2.12)[8, proposition (1.1.7)]

Every cyclic R – module V is scalar module.

2.13 Proposition (2.13)[8, corollary (1.1.11)]

If V is a finitely – generated R -module, then V is scalar module.

2.14 Definition (2.14)[2]

An R -epimorphism $f: V \rightarrow V'$ is called small epimorphism if $\ker(f)$ is small sub-module of V .

2.15 Definition (2.15)[9]

An R -module V is fully stable if each sub-module of V is stable.

2.16 Remark (2.16)[9, p.7]

Every stable sub-module is fully invariant.

2.17 Definition (2.17)[2]

An R -module V is called A -projective if for each homomorphism $f: V \rightarrow W$, where W is R -module and each R -epimorphism $g: A \rightarrow W$ there exists an R -homomorphism $h: V \rightarrow A$ such that $goh = f$.

2.18 Definition (2.18)[2]

An R -module V is called M -injective if for each R -homomorphism $g: K \rightarrow L$, and each R -homomorphism $f: K \rightarrow M$, where M is R -module there exists an R -homomorphism $h: M \rightarrow V$ such that $hof = g$.

2.19 Definition (2.19)[10]

"An R -module V is multiplication if every sub-module S of V is of the form $S = IV$ for some ideal I of R . Equivalently V is multiplication if $S = [S:R]V$ ".

2.20 Definition (2.20)[11]

"For any sub-module S and L of a multiplication R -module V with $S = IV, L = JV$, for some ideals I and J of R . The product $SL = IS.JL = IJV$, that is $SL = IL$, in particular $SV = IVV = IV = S$ ".

2.21 Definition (2.21)[10]

If V is cyclic R -module, then V is a multiplication.

2.22 Proposition (2.22)[2, Theorem (9.2.1)(9)]

For any projective R -module V , then $J(V) = J(R)V$.

2.23 Definition (2.23)[2]

An R -module V is faithful if $ann(V) = \{r \in R: rv = (0)\} = (0)$.

2.24 Proposition (2.24)[12, Remark p.14]

Let V be faithful multiplication R -module, then $J(V) = J(R)V$.

2.25 Definition (2.25)[13]

"An R -module V is said content if $(\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i)V = \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i V$, for some family of ideals A_i in R ".

2.26 Proposition (2.26)[12, proposition (1.11)]

If V is content module then $J(V) = J(R)V$.

2.27 Proposition (2.27)[14, corollary of Theorem(9)]

"Let V be a finitely-generated multiplication R -module, I_1 and I_2 are ideals in R . Then $I_1V \subseteq I_2V$ if and only if $I_1 \subseteq I_2 + ann_R(V)$ ".

3 Strongly nearly-2-absorbing sub-modules

In this part of the paper we introduce the definition of Strongly Nearly-2-Absorbing sub-module and give some basic properties, examples of this concept.

3.1 Definition (3.1)

A proper sub-module S of an R -module V is called Strongly Nearly-2-Absorbing (for short STN-2-Absorbing) sub-module of V , if whenever $(f \circ g)(v) \in S$, for $f, g \in End(V)$ and $v \in V$, implies that either $f(v) \in S + J(V)$ or $g(v) \in S + J(V)$ or $(f \circ g)(V) \subseteq S + J(V)$.

3.2 Proposition (3.2)

Every Endo-2-Absorbing sub-module S of an R -module V is STN-2-Absorbing sub-module.

3.2.1 Proof

Let S be Endo-2-Absorbing sub-module of an R -module V and let $(f \circ g)(v) \in S$, for $f, g \in \text{End}(V)$ and $v \in V$, then $f(v) \in S \subseteq S + J(V)$ or $g(v) \in S \subseteq S + J(V)$ or $f(v) \in S \subseteq S + J(V)$ or $(f \circ g)(V) \in S \subseteq S + J(V)$. Thus S is STN-2-Absorbing sub-module of V .

The convers of proposition(3.2) is not true in general, the following example explain that.

3.3 Example (3.3)

Consider the Z -module Z_8 and sub-module $S = \langle \bar{0} \rangle$, S is STN-2-Absorbing sub-module, because $J(Z_8) = \langle \bar{2} \rangle$ but S is not Endo-2-Absorbing. Since $f, g: Z_8 \rightarrow Z_8$ defined by $f(v) = 2v$, $g(v) = 2v$ for all $v \in Z_8$, so that $(f \circ g)(2) \in \langle \bar{0} \rangle$. But $f(2) \notin \langle \bar{0} \rangle$ and $g(2) \notin \langle \bar{0} \rangle$ and $(f \circ g)(Z_8) = \{ \bar{0}, \bar{4} \} \not\subseteq \langle \bar{0} \rangle$.

The convers of proposition(3.2) satisfied in the following propositions under certain conditions.

3.4 Proposition (3.4)

Let V be a semi simple R -module and S be a proper sub-module of V . Then S is Endo-2-Absorbing sub-module of V if and only if S is STN-2-Absorbing sub-module of V .

3.4.1 Proof

\Rightarrow) Directly through the proposition(3.2).

\Leftarrow) Assume $(f \circ g)(v) \in S$, where $v \in V$ and $f, g \in \text{End}(V)$. Since V is STN-2-Absorbing sub-module of V , then either $f(v) \in S + J(V)$ or $g(v) \in S + J(V)$ or $(f \circ g)(V) \subseteq S + J(V)$. Since V is a semi simple, then $J(V) = 0$. Therefore $f(v) \in S$ or $g(v) \in S$ or $(f \circ g)(V) \subseteq S$. Hence S is Endo-2-Absorbing sub-module of V .

3.5 Proposition (3.5)

Let V be a regular R -module and S be a proper sub-module of V . Then S is Endo-2-Absorbing sub-module of V if and only if S is STN-2-Absorbing sub-module of V .

3.5.1 Proof

\Rightarrow) Directly through the proposition(3.2).

\Leftarrow) Assume $(f \circ g)(v) \in S$, where $v \in V$ and $f, g \in \text{End}(V)$. Since V is STN-2-Absorbing sub-module of V , then either $f(v) \in S + J(V)$ or $g(v) \in S + J(V)$ or $(f \circ g)(V) \subseteq S + J(V)$. But V is regular, then by proposition(2.8) $J(V) = 0$. Hence S is Endo-2-Absorbing sub-module of V .

3.6 Proposition (3.6)

Let V be an R -module over a v -ring R , and S be a proper sub-module of V . Then S is Endo-2-Absorbing sub-module of V if and only if S is STN-2-Absorbing sub-module of V .

3.6.1 Proof

- \Rightarrow) Directly through the proposition(3.2).
- \Leftarrow) Since V is an R -module over v -ring R , then $\mathcal{J}(V) = 0$. That is the proof is direct.

3.7 Proposition (3.7)

Let V be an R -module, and S be a proper sub-module of V with $\mathcal{J}(V) \subseteq S$. Then S is Endo-2-Absorbing sub-module of V if and only if S is STN-2-Absorbing sub-module of V .

3.7.1 Proof

- \Rightarrow) Directly through the proposition (3.2).
- \Leftarrow) Let $(f \circ g)(v) \in S$, for $v \in V$ and $f, g \in \text{End}(V)$. Since V is STN-2-Absorbing sub-module of V , then either $f(v) \in S + \mathcal{J}(V)$ or $g(v) \in S + \mathcal{J}(V)$ or $(f \circ g)(V) \subseteq S + \mathcal{J}(V)$. Since $\mathcal{J}(V) \subseteq S$, then $\mathcal{J}(V) + S = S$. Hence the proof is followed.

The following corollary as a direct application of proposition(3.7).

3.8 Corollary (3.8)

Let V be an R -module, and S be a proper sub-module of V with $\mathcal{J}\left(\frac{V}{S}\right) = 0$. Then S is Endo-2-Absorbing sub-module of V if and only if S is STN-2-Absorbing sub-module of V .

The following propositions explain the relationships of STN-2-Absorbing sub-modules with Nearly-2-Absorbing sub-modules.

3.9 Proposition (3.9)

Every STN-2-Absorbing sub-module S of an R -module V is Nearly-2-Absorbing sub-module.

3.9.1 Proof

Let S be STN-2-Absorbing sub-module of an R -module V and let $rcv \in S$, for $r, c \in R$ and $v \in V$. Let $f, g \in \text{End}(V)$ defined by $f(v) = rv, g(v) = cv$. Thus $(f \circ g)(v) \in S$. Since S is STN-2-Absorbing sub-module of V , then either $f(v) \in S + \mathcal{J}(V)$ or $g(v) \in S + \mathcal{J}(V)$ or $(f \circ g)(V) \subseteq S + \mathcal{J}(V)$. Thus either $rv \in S + \mathcal{J}(V)$ or $cv \in S + \mathcal{J}(V)$ or $rc(V) \subseteq S + \mathcal{J}(V)$. S is Nearly-2-Absorbing sub-module of V .

The convers of proposition(3.9) is not true in general as the following example explain that.

3.10 Example (3.10)

Let $V = Z \oplus Z$, $R = Z$ and the sub-module $S = 0 \oplus 7Z$, it is clear that S is Nearly-2-Absorbing sub-module of V . But S is not STN-2-Absorbing sub-module to show that.

Let $f: V \rightarrow V$ define by $f(v, n) = (n, v)$, $g: V \rightarrow V$ define by $g(v, n) = (n, 0)$, for $v, n \in V$, consider $(f \circ g)(1,7) = f(g(1,7)) = f(7,0) = (0,7) \in S$, but $f(1,7) = (1,7) \notin S + J(V)$ and $g(1,7) = (0,7) \notin S + J(V)$ and $(f \circ g)(Z \oplus Z) = f(g(Z \oplus Z)) = f(Z, 0) = (0, Z) \notin S + J(V)$.

The convers of proposition(3.9) is hold in the following results under certain conditions.

3.11 Proposition (3.11)

Let V be a scalar R -module and S be a proper sub-module of V . Then S is a STN-2-Absorabing sub-module of V if and only if S is a Nearly-2-Absorabing sub-module of V .

3.11.1 Proof

\Rightarrow) Directly through the proposition(3.9).

\Leftarrow) Assume that $(f \circ g)(v) \in Sc$, where $v \in V$ and $f, g \in \text{End}(V)$. Since V is a scalar module, then there exists $r, c \in R$ such that $f(v) = rv$ and $g(v) = cv$ for all $v \in V$. Now, we have $(f \circ g)(v) = rcv \in S$, but S is a Nearly-2-Absorabing sub-module of V , it follows that either $rv \in S + J(V)$ or $cv \in S + J(V)$ or $rcv \subseteq S + J(V)$. So that either $f(v) \in S + J(V)$ or $g(v) \in S + J(V)$ or $(f \circ g)(V) \subseteq S + J(V)$. Thus S is STN-2-Absorabing sub-module of V .

Since cyclic R -module is scalar, so by proposition(2.12) we obtain the next corollary.

3.12 Corollary (3.12)

Let V be a cyclic R -module and S be a proper sub-module of V . Then S is a STN-2-Absorabing sub-module of V if and only if S is a Nearly-2-Absorabing sub-module of V .

Since finitely-generated module is scalar, then by proposition(2.13) we obtain the next corollary.

3.13 Corollary (3.13)

Let V be a finitely-generated R -module and S be a proper sub-module of V . Then S is a STN-2-Absorabing sub-module of V if and only if S is a Nearly-2-Absorabing sub-module of V .

The following proposition shows that the inverse image of STN-2-Absorabing sub-module is STN-2-Absorabing.

3.14 Proposition (3.14)

Let $h: V \rightarrow \bar{V}$ be a small epimorphism, S be a fully invariant STN-2-Absorabing proper sub-module of \bar{V} , with $h(\bar{V}) \not\subseteq S$ and $(f \circ g)(\bar{v}) \notin S + J(\bar{V})$. Then $h^{-1}(S)$ is a STN-2-Absorabing sub-module of V .

3.14.1 Proof

Since S is a proper sub-module of \bar{V} , then $h^{-1}(S)$ is a proper sub-module of V . Assume that $(f \circ g)(\bar{v}) \in h^{-1}(S)$, for $f, g \in \text{End}(V)$, $\bar{v} \in \bar{V}$, implies that $h((f \circ g)(\bar{v})) \in S$, it follows that $(h \circ f)(g(\bar{v})) \in S$, but S is a STN-2-Absorabing sub-module of \bar{V} and $(f \circ g)(\bar{v}) \notin S + J(\bar{V})$, then either $h(g(\bar{v})) \in S + J(\bar{V})$ or $(h \circ g)(g(\bar{V})) \subseteq S + J(\bar{V})$. If $h(g(\bar{v})) \in S + J(\bar{V})$, then $g(\bar{v}) \in h^{-1}(S + J(\bar{V}))$, that is $g(\bar{v}) \in h^{-1}(S) + J(V)$. If $(h \circ g)(g(\bar{V})) \subseteq S +$

$\mathcal{J}(\bar{V})$, then $h(f \circ g)(\bar{V}) \subseteq S + \mathcal{J}(\bar{V})$, thus $(f \circ g)(\bar{V}) \subseteq h^{-1}(S) + \mathcal{J}(V)$. Therefore $h^{-1}(S)$ is a STN-2-Absorbing sub-module of V .

In the following proposition prove that the homomorphic image of STN-2-Absorbing sub-module is STN-2-Absorbing.

3.15 Proposition (3.15)

Let S be a fully invariant STN-2-Absorbing sub-module of an R-module V and $h: V \rightarrow \bar{V}$ be a small epimorphism, with $Kvr(h) \subseteq S$. Then $h(S)$ is a STN-2-Absorbing sub-module of \bar{V} , where \bar{V} is V -projective module.

3.15.1 Proof

Assume that $(f \circ g)(\bar{v}) \in h(S)$ where $f, g \in \text{End}(\bar{V})$, $\bar{v} \in \bar{V}$. Since h is a small epimorphism, then $h(\mathcal{J}(V)) = \mathcal{J}(\bar{V})$ and $\mathcal{J}(V) = h^{-1}(\mathcal{J}(\bar{V}))$. Also, \bar{V} is V -projective module, then there exists $f_1, f_2: \bar{V} \rightarrow V$ such that $h \circ f_1 = g$. Now, we have $h \circ f_1 \in \text{End}(V)$, also, we have $(f \circ g)(\bar{v}) = (h \circ f_1) \circ (h \circ f_2)(\bar{v}) \in h(S)$, implies that $(f \circ g)(\bar{v}) = h(f_1 \circ h \circ f_2(\bar{v})) \in h(S)$, then there exists nonzero element $v \in S$ such that $h(f_1 \circ h \circ f_2(\bar{v})) = h(v)$, it follows that $h(f_1 \circ h \circ f_2(\bar{v}) - v) = 0$ implies that $f_1 \circ h \circ f_2(\bar{v}) - v \in Kvr(h) \subseteq S$, hence $f_1 \circ h \circ f_2(\bar{v}) \in S$. That is $(f_1 \circ h) \circ (f_2 \circ h)(v) \in S$. But S is a STN-2-Absorbing sub-module of V , then either $(f_1 \circ h)(v) \in S + \mathcal{J}(V)$ or $(f_2 \circ h)(v) \in S + \mathcal{J}(V)$ or $(f_1 \circ h) \circ (f_2 \circ h)(V) \subseteq S + \mathcal{J}(V)$. Thus either $f_1(\bar{v}) \in S + \mathcal{J}(\bar{V})$ or $f_2(\bar{v}) \in S + \mathcal{J}(\bar{V})$ or $(f_1 \circ h \circ f_2)(h(V)) \subseteq S + \mathcal{J}(\bar{V})$. Hence $h(S)$ is a STN-2-Absorbing sub-module of \bar{V} .

3.16 Proposition (3.16)

Let S and L be proper sub-modules of an R-module V with $L \subseteq S$ and L is fully invariant in V . If $\frac{S}{L}$ is a STN-2-Absorbing sub-module of $\frac{V}{L}$, then S is a STN-2-Absorbing sub-module of V .

3.16.1 Proof

Assume that $(f \circ g)(v) \in S$ where $f, g \in \text{End}(V)$, $v \in V$ and let $f_1, g_1: \frac{V}{L} \rightarrow \frac{V}{L}$ defined by $f_1(v + L) = f(v) + L$ and $g_1(v + L) = g(v) + L$ for each $v \in V$. Since L is fully invariant, then f_1, g_1 are well-defined. If $v \in S \subseteq V$, then $f_1 \circ g_1(v + L) = f_1(g_1(v) + L) = f(g(v) + L) = (f \circ g)(v) + L \in \frac{S}{L}$. But $\frac{S}{L}$ is a STN-2-Absorbing sub-module of $\frac{V}{L}$, then either $f(v + L) \in \frac{S}{L} + \mathcal{J}(\frac{V}{L})$ or $g(v + L) \in \frac{S}{L} + \mathcal{J}(\frac{V}{L})$ or $(f \circ g)(\frac{V}{L}) \subseteq \frac{S}{L} + \mathcal{J}(\frac{V}{L})$. It follows that either $f(v) + L \in \frac{S}{L} + \mathcal{J}(\frac{V}{L})$ or $g(v) + L \in \frac{S}{L} + \mathcal{J}(\frac{V}{L})$ or $f(g(V)) + L \subseteq \frac{S}{L} + \mathcal{J}(\frac{V}{L})$. Hence either $f(v) \in S + \mathcal{J}(V)$ or $g(v) \in S + \mathcal{J}(V)$ or $(f \circ g)(V) \subseteq S + \mathcal{J}(V)$. Thus S is a STN-2-Absorbing sub-module of V .

3.17 Proposition (3.17)

Let S be STN-2-Absorbing sub-module of an R-module V and L is an V -injective sub-module of V . Then either $L \subseteq S$ or $L \cap S$ is a STN-2-Absorbing sub-module of L .

3.17.1 Proof

Suppose that $L \not\subseteq S$, then $L \cap S$ is a proper sub-module of L . Now, assume that $(f \circ g)(v) \in L \cap S$ where $f, g \in \text{End}(L)$ and $v \in V$ with $g(v) \notin L \cap S + \mathcal{J}(L)$, then $g(v) \notin S$. To prove that $f(v) \in L \cap S + \mathcal{J}(L)$ or $(f \circ g)(L) \subseteq L \cap S + \mathcal{J}(L)$. Since L is a V -injective, then there exists $f_1, f_2: V \rightarrow L$ such that $f_1 \circ i = f$ and $f_2 \circ i = g$ where i is the inclusion map from L into V . Clearly $f_1, f_2 \in \text{End}(V)$. But $(f \circ g)(v) = (f_1 \circ i) \circ (f_2 \circ i)(v) = (f_1 \circ i \circ f_2)(i(v)) = (f_1 \circ i)(f_2(v)) \in S$. But S is a STN-2-Absorbing sub-module of V and $f_2(v) = g(v) \notin S + \mathcal{J}(V)$, then either $f_1(v) \in S + \mathcal{J}(V)$, then $f_1(v) \in S \cap L + \mathcal{J}(L)$. If $(f_1 \circ f_2)(V) \subseteq S + \mathcal{J}(V)$ as $(f \circ g)(L) = (f_1 \circ i) \circ (f_2 \circ i)(L) = (f_1 \circ i \circ f_2)(i(L)) = (f_1 \circ i)(f_2(L)) \subseteq S + \mathcal{J}(V)$, that is $(f \circ g)(L) \subseteq S + \mathcal{J}(V)$. Also, $(f \circ g)(L) \subseteq L + \mathcal{J}(L)$. Then $(f \circ g)(L) \subseteq L \cap S + \mathcal{J}(L)$. Thus $L \cap S$ is a STN-2-Absorbing sub-module of L .

3.18 Proposition (3.18)

Let K be a maximal and fully invariant sub-module of an R -module V . Then K is a STN-2-Absorbing sub-module of V .

3.18.1 Proof

Assume $(f \circ g)(v) \in K$, where $v \in V$, $f, g \in \text{End}(V)$ and $v \notin K$, $f(v) \notin K + \mathcal{J}(V)$. Since K is a maximal and $v \notin K$, then $V = K + Rv$, then $(f \circ g)(V) = (f \circ g)(K) + (f \circ g)(Rv) = (f \circ g)(K) + R(f \circ g)(v) \subseteq K + \mathcal{J}(V)$ because K is fully invariant. Thus $(f \circ g)(V) \subseteq K + \mathcal{J}(V)$. Hence K is a STN-2-Absorbing sub-module of V .

4 Characterizations of stn-2-absorbing sub-modules in some types of modules

Before we introduce the first result we need to prove this lemma.

4.1 Lemma (4.1)

Let S be a proper sub-module of a multiplication projective R -module V . Then S is Nearly-2-Absorbing sub-module of V if and only if $[S:{}_R V]$ is Nearly-2-Absorbing ideal of R .

4.1.1 Proof

\Rightarrow) Assume that S is Nearly-2-Absorbing sub-module of V , and $abI \subseteq [S:{}_R V]$ for some ideal I of R and $a, b \in R$, then $ab(IV) \subseteq S$. But S is Nearly-2-Absorbing sub-module of V , then by definition(2.3) either $a(IV) \subseteq S + \mathcal{J}(V)$ or $b(IV) \subseteq S + \mathcal{J}(V)$ or $abV \subseteq S + \mathcal{J}(V)$. Since V is multiplication, hence $S = [S:{}_R V]V$, and since V is projective multiplication, then by proposition (2.22) $\mathcal{J}(V) = \mathcal{J}(R)V$. Thus either $aIV \subseteq [S:{}_R V]V + \mathcal{J}(R)V$ or $bIV \subseteq [S:{}_R V]V + \mathcal{J}(R)V$ or $abV \subseteq [S:{}_R V]V + \mathcal{J}(R)V$. Hence either $aI \subseteq [S:{}_R V] + \mathcal{J}(R)$ or $bI \subseteq [S:{}_R V] + \mathcal{J}(R)$ or $ab \subseteq [S:{}_R V] + \mathcal{J}(R) = [[S:{}_R V] + \mathcal{J}(R):{}_R R]$. Therefore by definition(2.3) $[S:{}_R V]$ is a Nearly-2-Absorbing ideal of R .

\Leftarrow) Suppose that $[S:{}_R V]$ is Nearly-2-Absorbing ideal of R , and $aIV \subseteq S$ for $a \in R$ and some sub-module L of V and for some ideal I of R since V is a multiplication, then $L = JV$ for some ideal J of R , that is $aIJV \subseteq S$, implies that $aIJ \subseteq [S:{}_R V]$, but $[S:{}_R V]$ is Nearly-2-

Absorbing ideal of R , then either $aJ \subseteq [S:R V] + J(R)$ or $IJ \subseteq [S:R V] + J(R)$ or $aI \subseteq [[S:R V] + J(R):R R] = [S:R V] + J(R)$. Thus either $aJV \subseteq [S:R V]V + J(R)V$ or $IJV \subseteq [S:R V]V + J(R)V$ or $aIV \subseteq [S:R V]V + J(R)V$. But V is a projective R -module, then $J(V) = J(R)V$, hence either $aL \subseteq S + J(V)$ or $IL \subseteq S + J(V)$ or $aI \subseteq [S + J(V):R V]$. Thus S is Nearly-2-Absorbing sub-module of V .

4.2 Proposition (4.2)

Let S be a proper sub-module of a cyclic projective R -module V . Then S is STN-2-Absorbing sub-module of V if and only if $[S:R V]$ is a STN-2-Absorbing ideal of R .

4.2.1 Proof

\Rightarrow) Since S is STN-2-Absorbing sub-module of V and V be a cyclic, then by corollary(3.12) S is Nearly-2-Absorbing sub-module of V . But V is a cyclic, then by proposition(2.21) V is a multiplication and by lemma (4.1) $[S:R V]$ is Nearly-2-Absorbing ideal of R , a gain by corollary (3.12) we have $[S:R V]$ is a STN-2-Absorbing ideal of R .

\Leftarrow) Now, let $[S:R V]$ is a STN-2-Absorbing ideal of R , then by corollary(3.12) $[S:R V]$ is Nearly-2-Absorbing ideal of R . But V is a cyclic, then by proposition(2.21) V is a multiplication and V is projective. Thus by lemma(4.1) S is Nearly-2-Absorbing sub-module of V , againe by corollary (3.12) we have S is a STN-2-Absorbing sub-module of V .

Again before we introduce the following result we need to proof this lemma.

4.3 Lemma (4.3)

Let S be a proper sub-module of a faithful multiplication R -module V . Then S is a Nearly-2-Absorbing sub-module of V if and only if $[S:R V]$ is Nearly-2-Absorbing ideal of R .

4.3.1 Proof

\Rightarrow) Let $abc \in [S:R V]$ for $a, b, c \in R$, then $ab(cV) \subseteq S$. Since S is Nearly-2-Absorbing sub-module of V , then by definition(2.3) either $acV \subseteq S + J(V)$ or $bcV \subseteq S + J(V)$ or $abV \subseteq S + J(V)$. But V is multiplication, then $S = [S:R V]V$ and since V is faithful multiplication, then by proposition (2.24) $J(V) = J(R)V$. Thus either $acV \subseteq [S:R V]V + J(R)V$ or $V \subseteq [S:R V]V + J(R)V$ or $abV \subseteq [S:R V]V + J(R)V$, it follows that either $ac \in [S:R V] + J(R)$ or $bc \in [S:R V] + J(R)$ or $ab \in [S:R V] + J(R) = [[S:R V] + J(R):R R]$. Hence $[S:R V]$ is Nearly-2-Absorbing ideal of R .

\Leftarrow) Suppose that $[S:R V]$ is Nearly-2-Absorbing ideal of R , and $rcL \subseteq S$ for some sub-module L of V and $r, c \in R$, since V is a multiplication, then $L = JV$, for some ideal J of R , that is $rcJV \subseteq S$, implies that $rJV \subseteq [S:R V]$, but $[S:R V]$ is Nearly-2-Absorbing ideal of R , then either $rJ \subseteq [S:R V] + J(R)$ or $cJ \subseteq [S:R V] + J(R)$ or $rc \in [[S:R V] + J(R):R R] = [S:R V] + J(R)$. Thus either $rJV \subseteq [S:R V]V + J(R)V$ or $cJV \subseteq [S:R V]V + J(R)V$ or $rcV \subseteq [S:R V]V + J(R)V$. Hence by proposition (2.24) either $rL \subseteq S + J(V)$ or $cL \subseteq S + J(V)$ or $rc \in [S + J(V):R V]$. Thus S is Nearly-2-Absorbing sub-module of V .

4.4 Proposition (4.4)

Let S be a proper sub-module of a cyclic faithful R -module V . Then S is STN-2-Absorbing sub-module of V if and only if $[S:R V]$ is a STN-2-Absorbing ideal of R .

\Leftarrow) Now, let $[S:_{\mathbb{R}} V]$ is STN-2-Absorbing ideal of \mathbb{R} , then by corollary(3.12) $[S:_{\mathbb{R}} V]$ is Nearly-2-Absorbing ideal of \mathbb{R} . But V is cyclic then by proposition(2.21) V is a multiplication and V is projective. Thus by lemma(4.5) S is Nearly-2-Absorbing sub-module of V , againe by corollary (3.12) we have S is a STN-2-Absorbing sub-module of V .

We need to prove the following lemma before we introduce the next proposition.

4.7 Lemma (4.7)

Let V be a finitely-generated multiplication projective \mathbb{R} -module, and P is an ideal of \mathbb{R} with $ann_{\mathbb{R}}(V) \subseteq P$. Then P is a Nearly-2-Absorbing ideal of \mathbb{R} if and only if PV is a Nearly-2-Absorbing sub-module of V .

4.7.1 Proof

\Rightarrow) Let $K_1 K_2 K_3 \subseteq PV$, for K_1, K_2 and K_3 are sub-modules of V . Since V is a multiplication, so $K_1 = I_1 V, K_2 = I_2 V$ and $K_3 = I_3 V$ for some ideals I_1, I_2, I_3 in \mathbb{R} , that is $I_1 I_2 I_3 V \subseteq PV$. But V is a finitely-generated multiplication \mathbb{R} -module then by proposition(2.27) $I_1 I_2 I_3 \subseteq P + ann_{\mathbb{R}}(V)$, but $ann_{\mathbb{R}}(V) \subseteq P$, implies that $P + ann_{\mathbb{R}}(V) = P$, thus $I_1 I_2 I_3 \subseteq P$. Now, by assumption P is a Nearly-2-Absorbing ideal of \mathbb{R} then by proposition(2.3) either $I_1 I_3 \subseteq P + \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R})$ or $I_2 I_3 \subseteq P + \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R})$ or $I_1 I_2 \subseteq [P + \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R}):_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}] = P + \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R})$, it follows that either $I_1 I_3 V \subseteq PV + \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R})V$ or $I_2 I_3 V \subseteq PV + \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R})V$ or $I_1 I_2 V \subseteq PV + \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R})V$. Since V is a projective then by proposition (2.22) $\mathcal{J}(V) = \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R})V$, it follows that either $K_1 K_3 \subseteq PV + \mathcal{J}(V)$ or $K_2 K_3 \subseteq PV + \mathcal{J}(V)$ or $K_1 K_2 \subseteq PV + \mathcal{J}(V)$. Hence by definition(2.3) PV is a Nearly-2-Absorbing sub-module of V .

\Leftarrow) Let $I_1 I_2 I_3 \subseteq P$, for I_1, I_2 and I_3 are ideals in \mathbb{R} , implies that $I_1 I_2 (I_3 V) \subseteq PV$. But PV is a Nearly-2-Absorbing sub-module of V , then by definition(2.3) either $I_1 (I_3 V) \subseteq PV + \mathcal{J}(V)$ or $I_2 (I_3 V) \subseteq PV + \mathcal{J}(V)$ or $I_1 I_2 V \subseteq PV + \mathcal{J}(V)$. But V is a projective then $\mathcal{J}(V) = \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R})V$. Thus either $I_1 I_3 V \subseteq PV + \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R})V$ or $I_2 I_3 V \subseteq PV + \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R})V$ or $I_1 I_2 V \subseteq PV + \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R})V$, it follows that either $I_1 I_3 \subseteq P + \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R})$ or $I_2 I_3 \subseteq P + \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R})$ or $I_1 I_2 \subseteq P + \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq [P + \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R}):_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}]$. Hence by definition(2.3) P is Nearly-2-Absorbing ideal of \mathbb{R} .

4.8 Proposition (4.8)

Let V be a cyclic projective \mathbb{R} -module and P be a proper ideal of \mathbb{R} with $ann_{\mathbb{R}}(V) \subseteq P$. Then P is STN-2-Absorbing ideal of \mathbb{R} if and only if PV is STN-2-Absorbing sub-module of V .

4.8.1 Proof

\Rightarrow) Assume P is STN-2-Absorbing ideal of \mathbb{R} , then by proposition(3.9) P is Nearly-2-Absorbing ideal of \mathbb{R} . Since V is cyclic then by proposition(2.21) V is a multiplication, also V is cyclic then V is finitely-generated. Now V is finitely-generated multiplication projective \mathbb{R} -module and P is Nearly-2-Absorbing ideal of \mathbb{R} then by lemma (4.7) PV is Nearly-2-Absorbing sub-module of V . But V is cyclic then by corollary(3.12) PV is STN-2-Absorbing sub-module of V .

\Leftarrow) Suppose PV is STN-2-Absorbing sub-module of V , then by proposition(3.9) PV is Nearly-2-Absorbing sub-module of V . Since V is a cyclic, then V is finitely-generated multiplication and V is projective, then by lemma(4.7) P is Nearly-2-Absorbing ideal of \mathbb{R} . But V is cyclic then by corollary(3.12) P is a STN-2-Absorbing ideal of \mathbb{R} .

Before we introduce the next proposition need to prove the following lemma.

4.9 Lemma (4.9)

Let V be a faithful finitely-generated multiplication R -module and P is ideal of R . Then P is Nearly-2-Absorbing ideal of R if and only if PV is Nearly-2-Absorbing sub-module of V .

4.9.1 Proof

\Rightarrow) Let $rcL \subseteq PV$ for any $r, c \in R$, L is a sub-module of V . Since V is a multiplication, then $L = IV$ for some ideal I of R , that is $rcIV \subseteq PV$. Thus by proposition(2.27) we get $rcI \subseteq P + ann(V)$, but V is faithful, it follows $ann(V) = \{0\}$, that is $rcI \subseteq P$. Since P is a Nearly-2-Absorbing ideal of R , then by definition(2.3) either $rI \subseteq P + J(R)$ or $cI \subseteq P + J(R)$ or $rc \in [P + J(R):_R R] = P + J(R)$, hence either $rIV \subseteq PV + J(R)V$ or $cIV \subseteq PV + J(R)V$ or $rcV \subseteq PV + J(R)V$, hence by proposition(2.24) either $rL \subseteq PV + J(V)$ or $cL \subseteq PV + J(V)$ or $rcV \subseteq PV + J(V)$. Thus PV is a Nearly-2-Absorbing sub-module of V .

\Leftarrow) Let $rcI \subseteq P$ for $r, c \in R$ and I ideal of R , hence $rc(IV) \subseteq PV$, but PV is a Nearly-2-Absorbing sub-module of V , then either $r(IV) \subseteq PV + J(V)$ or $c(IV) \subseteq PV + J(V)$ or $rcV \subseteq PV + J(V)$. Thus by proposition(2.24) either $rIV \subseteq PV + J(R)V$ or $cIV \subseteq PV + J(R)V$ or $rcV \subseteq PV + J(R)V$, hence either $rI \subseteq P + J(R)$ or $cI \subseteq P + J(R)$ or $rc \in P + J(R) = [P + J(R):_R R]$. Therefore P is Nearly-2-Absorbing ideal of R .

4.10 Proposition (4.10)

Let V be a cyclic faithful R -module and P be a proper ideal of R . Then P is STN-2-Absorbing ideal of R if and only if PV is STN-2-Absorbing sub-module of V .

4.10.1 Proof

\Rightarrow) Assume P is STN-2-Absorbing ideal of R , then by proposition(3.9) P is Nearly-2-Absorbing ideal of R . Since V is cyclic then by proposition(2.21) V is a multiplication, also V is cyclic then V is finitely-generated. Now V is finitely-generated multiplication faithful R -module and P is Nearly-2-Absorbing ideal of R then by lemma (4.9) PV is Nearly-2-Absorbing sub-module of V . But V is cyclic then by corollary(3.12) PV is STN-2-Absorbing sub-module of V .

\Leftarrow) Suppose PV is STN-2-Absorbing sub-module of V , then by proposition(3.9) PV is Nearly-2-Absorbing sub-module of V . Since V is cyclic so V is finitely-generated multiplication, and V is faithful then by lemma(4.9) P is Nearly-2-Absorbing ideal of R . But V is cyclic then by corollary(3.12) P is a STN-2-Absorbing ideal of R .

Now to prove the following lemma before give the next proposition.

4.11 Lemma (4.11)

Let V be a finitely-generated multiplication content R -module and P is ideal of R with $ann_R(V) \subseteq P$. Then P is a Nearly-2-Absorbing ideal of R if and only if PV is a Nearly-2-Absorbing sub-module of V .

4.11.1 Proof

(\Rightarrow) Let $K_1K_2K_3 \subseteq PV$, for K_1, K_2 and K_3 are sub-modules of V . Since V is a multiplication, then $K_1 = I_1V$, $K_2 = I_2V$ and $K_3 = I_3V$ for some ideals I_1, I_2, I_3 of R , that is $I_1I_2I_3V \subseteq PV$. But V is a finitely-generated multiplication R -module then by proposition(2.27) $I_1I_2I_3 \subseteq P +$

$ann_R(V)$, but $ann_R(V) \subseteq P$, implies that $P + ann_R(V) = P$, thus $I_1 I_2 I_3 \subseteq P$. Now, by assumption P is a Nearly-2-Absorbing ideal of R then by definition(2.3) either $I_1 I_3 \subseteq P + J(R)$ or $I_2 I_3 \subseteq P + J(R)$ or $I_1 I_2 \subseteq [P + J(R)]_R = P + J(R)$, it follows that either $I_1 I_3 V \subseteq PV + J(R)V$ or $I_2 I_3 V \subseteq PV + J(R)V$ or $I_1 I_2 V \subseteq PV + J(R)V$. Since V is content then by proposition(2.26) $J(V) = J(R)V$, it follows either $K_1 K_3 \subseteq PV + J(V)$ or $K_2 K_3 \subseteq PV + J(V)$ or $K_1 K_2 \subseteq PV + J(V)$. Hence by definition(2.3) PV is a Nearly-2-Absorbing sub-module of V .

(\Leftarrow) Let $I_1 I_2 I_3 \subseteq P$, for I_1, I_2 and I_3 are ideals in R , implies that $I_1 I_2 (I_3 V) \subseteq PV$. But PV is a Nearly-2-Absorbing sub-module of V , then by definition(2.3) either $I_1 (I_3 V) \subseteq PV + J(V)$ or $I_2 (I_3 V) \subseteq PV + J(V)$ or $I_1 I_2 V \subseteq PV + J(V)$. But V is a projective then $J(V) = J(R)V$. Thus either $I_1 I_3 V \subseteq PV + J(R)V$ or $I_2 I_3 V \subseteq PV + J(R)V$ or $I_1 I_2 V \subseteq PV + J(R)V$, it follows that either $I_1 I_3 \subseteq P + J(R)$ or $I_2 I_3 \subseteq P + J(R)$ or $I_1 I_2 \subseteq P + J(R) \subseteq [P + J(R)]_R$. Hence by definition(2.3) P is a Nearly-2-Absorbing ideal of R .

4.12 Proposition (4.12)

Let V be a cyclic content R -module and P be a proper ideal of R , with $ann_R(V) \subseteq P$. Then P is STN-2-Absorbing ideal of R if and only if PV is STN-2-Absorbing sub-module of V .

4.12.1 Proof

In the same way of proposition(4.8).

References

1. Abdulrahman A., (2015), "2-Absorbing Modules and semi-2-Absorbing Modules", M. sc. Thesis, University of Baghdad.
2. Kash, F., (1982), "Modules and Rings", London Math. Soc. Monographs, New York, Academic Press, p.370.
3. Reem T. A. and Shwkea M. R., (2018) "Nearly 2-Absorbing Sub-modules and Related Concepts", Tikrit Journal, for Pure.Sci, vol.(2) No.(3), PP.(215-221).
4. Darani A. Y. and Soheilniai. F., (2011), "2-Absorbing and Weakly 2-Absorbing Sub-modules", Tahi Journal. Math, vol.(9), pp.(577-584).
5. Goodearl K. R., (1976), "Ring Theory", Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York and Basel., p.206.
6. Yaseen S. M., (1993), "F- Regular Modules", M. SC. Thesis, University of Baghdad.
7. Lam T. Y., (1999), "Lectures on Modules and Rings", Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 189, springer, New York.
8. Bothaynah N. S., (2004), " Scalar Reflexive Modules " , M.Sc. Thesis, University of Baghdad.
9. Abbas M. S., (1990), "On Fully Stable Modules", Ph. D. Thesis, University of Baghdad.
10. Barnard A., (1981), "Multiplication Modules", Journal of Algebra, Vol .(7), PP.(174–178).
11. Nuha H. H., (1996), "The Radicals of Modules", M.sc. Thesis, university of Baghdad.

12. Lu C. P., (1989), “M-radical of Sub-modules in Modules” , Math. Japan, Vol. (34), pp. (211-219).
13. Smith P. F., (1986), “Some Remarks of Multiplication Modules”, Arch. Math, vol. (50), pp. (223-225).