

Russian economy and industry in decarbonization focus: problems and solutions

Oleg Galaktionov¹, Yuri Sukhanov¹, Aleksey Kuznetsov¹, Aleksey Vasilev¹, Victor Lukashevich¹, and Alexander Kuzmenkov^{1}*

¹Petrozavodsk State University. Lenin Str., 33, Petrozavodsk, 185910, Russia

Abstract. The article discusses the main stages of the emergence and awareness of the need for global measures to combat excess greenhouse gas emissions. The main emphasis is placed on the legislative and regulatory aspect, taking into account the opinions of official and interested organizations. The analysis of the materials of publications on the sources of greenhouse gas emissions and the volume of their entry into the atmosphere is carried out. In the Russian Federation, there is a noticeable change in attitude to the situation, both at the level of basic concepts and in the applied aspect. The historical and modern understanding of the greenhouse gas problem has been partially traced. The timber industry is becoming an important component of a set of measures to harmonize the performance of emission producers and carbon depositing companies. The possibility of economic regulation of emissions has the greatest potential in the hands of both the European Union and the Government of the Russian Federation. The quota trading system should become an effective fine-tuned tool in the field of regulating greenhouse gas emissions. The existing quota trading systems in the world are briefly described. Some indicators of the quota trading system for Russian companies are given. The possibilities of the timber industry and the forest fund to compensate for the consequences of the introduction of the "green tax" are presented. The main dangers from the introduction of a "green tax" for the industry of the Russian Federation are assessed. The results of emission calculations for a number of enterprises supplying products for export are analyzed. The main ways of minimizing the consequences of the introduction of a "green tax" for the economy are substantiated.

1 Introduction

The rapid growth of the human population, and then industrial production, which ensures the growth of universal well-being, led to a crisis in the stability of the global ecosystem. Global climate change caused by human impact on the environment forces the countries of the world to take practical measures to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Greenhouse gases, opaque to thermal radiation, absorb heat radiated by the Earth in the atmosphere, which leads to an increase in the temperature of the lower layers of the planet's

* Corresponding author: akka1977@bk.ru

atmosphere [1]. An increase in the GHG content in the atmosphere leads to an increase in the average surface air temperature. The main greenhouse gas is water vapor, then, in descending order: carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄), ozone (O₃), nitrogen oxide (N₂O), hydro fluorocarbons, per fluorocarbons, sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆), etc. At the same time, it should be noted that the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) takes into account greenhouse gases with a clearly pronounced anthropogenic origin, for example, carbon dioxide, methane, etc., but does not take into account water vapor [2].

The main anthropogenic sources of carbon dioxide entering the atmosphere are energetics (burning of fossil fuels), manufacturing and construction, the residential sector and transport [3]. The main anthropogenic sources of methane are animal husbandry waste (digestive fermentation products), biomass combustion (forest fires), methane emissions in biogas (in particular, during waste disposal) and extraction leaks of traditional combustible minerals (coal, gas and oil) [4]. According to the Federal Service for Hydrometeorology and Environmental Monitoring (Rosgidromet) and the Federal State Budgetary Institution "Yu. A. Israel Institute of Global Climate and Ecology", since 2000, methane emissions from operations with oil and natural gas have consistently accounted for about 40% of the total methane emissions in the country. By the end of 2018, methane emissions from oil and gas operations exceeded the 1990 level by 6% [5].

According to the UNFCCC, the Russian Federation belongs to the group of countries with economies in transition and should return to the levels of anthropogenic GHG emissions in 1990 (United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. <https://unfccc.int/resource/docs/convkp/conveng.pdf> (Date of access 01.14.24)). Since, as a result of the collapse of the USSR and the entire economy, industrial production declined sharply, Russia automatically fulfilled these obligations. According to the data of the Ministry of Natural Resources and Ecology of the Russian Federation, in 2015, compared with 1990, GHG emissions, taking into account the absorption capacity of ecosystems, decreased by more than 45%, and by 30% – without taking into account the volume of absorption (Report on the technical review of the fourth biennial report of the Russian Federation. <https://unfccc.int/documents/249900> (Date of access 01.14.24)).

The researchers initially noted [6] that the probability that Russia will exceed its Kyoto emissions budget is almost zero. The reason for this is that with the use of new technologies and equipment, GDP is growing faster than CO₂ emissions. The study also noted that paragraph 3 of Article 3 allowed Russia, if necessary, to compensate for possible excess emissions budget by restoring forests.

In addition to the above, GHG emissions are affected by the location of production facilities around the world. The work [7] indicates that global GHG emissions increased by 50% compared to 1990 and amounted to 49.1 Gt of carbon dioxide equivalent (CDE) in 2015. During the same period, GHG emissions in developed countries decreased by 9%, while in developing countries they increased by 130%. At the same time, GHG emissions per unit of production steadily decreased. This is probably due to the redistribution of industrial production and a larger population in developing countries.

Studies of the prospects for the transition to renewable energy sources (RES) show that nuclear energy is much more relevant for Russia [8]. However, the proposal given in the study on subsidizing renewable energy systems, in our opinion, requires additional study. In addition, as the autumn 2021 season has shown, it is much more profitable to have several countries specializing in generating energy from various sources to solve temporary energy supply problems. This is especially important in connection with the recommendation of this article on maximum electrification of all production processes. The article [9] analyzes the potential of developing countries in the field of transition to renewable energy and notes that it will be different. At the same time, resources for the transition will have to be found within developing economies. It is also noted that although in developed economies the

share of costs for the transition to renewable energy in relation to GDP is less than in developing countries, but in absolute terms the costs are higher.

These conclusions echo the materials of the article [10], which considers options for combining ways to reduce emissions from the use of agricultural land and afforestation of previously treeless territories to the creation of CO₂ storage facilities. At the same time, it is proposed to use the ocean more intensively as a source of food.

The problem of GHG emission control activities in the Russian Federation is the delay and inconsistency of the regulator's actions: initiatives are based on translated documents; emission accounting methods are not comparable; it is not clear what to use to form the basis of quotas. Thus, the task for the researcher is to develop directions that allow concentrating actions to limit GHG emissions, taking into account the relatively small available experience.

2 Materials and Methods

The research methodology is based on a broad overview of the thematic area of research and is descriptive in nature. The research method consists in a systematic search and qualitative analysis of materials characterizing the state of GHG abatement in Russia. The purpose of this study is to analyze trends in solving the problem of greenhouse gases in Russia over the past 30 years and changes in the legislative field, as well as the current state of limiting emissions and identifying promising areas for further research. The task of the research, solved to achieve this goal, is to search for legislative acts, materials reflecting the state point of view, economic entities, the society opinion, research materials characterizing previous trends and the emission control methods current state, their effectiveness and application possibilities, in particular, in the timber industry.

Within the framework of this study, publications published from 1990 to the present are considered, with a predominance of relevant scientific publications, since they allow us to evaluate the results of already adopted legislative decisions. It should be noted that there are no quantitative estimates of the effects of recent government legislation, and laws and regulations adopted in 2015 and later were practically not applied. In this regard, the main attention was paid to the review of the documents themselves and reports from non-peer-reviewed sources highlighting the point of view of the mentioned organizations and groups. The noted limitations in the materials made it possible to obtain adequate conclusions that do not contradict the applying measures to reduce GHG emissions practice.

3 Results

3.1 Global trends

In 1997, the Kyoto Protocol to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change was adopted (Kyoto Protocol to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. <https://unfccc.int/resource/docs/convkp/kpeng.pdf> (Date of access 09.14.24)). The document states that in order to reduce total GHG emissions, the participating countries commit themselves from 2008 to 2012 to reduce emissions by at least 5% compared to 1990 levels. Russia was included in the list of countries undergoing the process of transition to a market economy and committed to keeping emissions at the 1990 level. Article 17 provided for the possibility of participating in emissions trading. In 2011 For the first time, the Russian government issued "Kyoto quotas" for the emission of 290 thousand tons of carbon dioxide, obtained by reducing CO₂ emissions as a result of the project to develop the Yety-Purovskoye oil field in the north of Western Siberia, and

transferred them to Mitsubishi and Nippon Oil for participation in the project and technology exchange (Russia implemented “Kyoto quotas” for the first time. <https://www.bfm.ru/news/118779> (Date of access 01.04.24) (In Russian)). Experts agree (Kyoto Protocol: why Russia missed the opportunity to earn billions of dollars out of thin air. https://www.vedomosti.ru/business/articles/2012/11/26/vozduhom_ne_torguem (Date of access 01.04.24) (In Russian)) [11] that, unfortunately, due to bureaucratic delays and other organizational problems, Russia has not been able to fully take advantage of all the possibilities of the Kyoto Protocol.

The GHG emissions trading system is a market-based GHG emission reduction tool that operates on the "limitation and trade" principle. The essence of the tool is the establishment by the state of upper thresholds (the "limitation" principle) for the total amount of emissions in one or more sectors of the country's economy. Under these conditions, enterprises in regulated sectors of the economy must have a permit for each unit of their GHG emissions. Enterprises receive such permits for free or buy them from the state or other companies participating in the system (the "trade" principle). To date, there are 24 quota trading systems in the world (APEC Stocktake of Carbon Pricing Initiatives. <https://www.economy.gov.ru/material/file/c755156d842b450cc388948d577f043/ec-apec-stocktake-of-carbon-pricing-initiatives.pdf> (Date of access 01.04.24)).

The first fully functional quota trading system began operating in the European Union in 2005. The EU quota trading system was introduced in order to fulfill the obligations of the European Union countries under the Kyoto Protocol, which implied a reduction in GHG emissions by 8% compared to 1990 levels [12]. Pilot quota trading project was launched in 2003 and involved about 12 thousand enterprises.

Depending on the established level of greenhouse gas emissions reduction at the beginning of the project in the European Union countries, quotas (carbon units) were distributed among companies and organizations partially free of charge or partially sold at auctions. Organizations had the right to sell and buy quotas, as well as to purchase carbon units on the market [13].

At the first stage (2005-2007), fines for GHG emissions in excess of quotas amounted to 40 euros per ton of CO₂ equivalent. At the second stage (2008-2012), the fine increased to 100 euros (Trillions of dollars out of thin air. Atomic Expert. Nadezhda Kudrina. <https://archive.atomicexpert.com/page3178319.html> (Date of access 01.04.24) (In Russian)). Since 2013, the rules have changed (amendments from 2009) [13]:

- The number of quotas issued annually is subject to a linear reduction (with a coefficient of 1.74%);
- Quotas will mainly be put up for sale at auctions, to a lesser extent they will be issued free of charge;
- Half of the profit received from the sale should be used to finance projects related to the environment and renewable energy.

The planned volume of free quotas at the third stage is about 43% of the total volume of emission quotas in the European Union's Emissions Trading System (EU ETS, EU STB) (Pay Carbon Tax and Breathe Easy! <https://www.investinregions.ru/en/analytics/a/materials-71785/> (Date of access 01.04.24)).

The fourth stage of the implementation of the European quota trading system according to Directive 2018/2066 refers to the reporting years 2021-2030, while maintaining the principles of the third period (Lloyd's Register Quality Assurance Limited. The EU Emissions Trading Scheme. <https://www.lrqa.com/ru-ru/eu-ets3/> (Date of access 01.14.24) (In Russian)).

In the Asia-Pacific region, the quota trading system was first introduced in New Zealand in 2008, and then only in 2015 such a system appeared in South Korea. In 2021, the national quota trading system in China was added (the system was launched for 8 years).

Japan has not yet established a national quota trading system, although the country was the first in the Asia-Pacific region to create a voluntary quota trading system in 2005 and launched a regional system in Tokyo in 2010 (International approaches to carbon pricing.. <https://www.economy.gov.ru/material/file/c13068c695b51eb60ba8cb2006dd81c1/13777562.pdf> (Date of access 01.14.24) (In Russian)).

In the USA, the quota trading tool was tested in the 90s of the XX century in order to control sulfur dioxide emissions. There are three main programs in the United States that focus on quota trading: at the national level, at the regional level, and at the state level. Since 2010, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has launched a national program to collect data on GHG emissions from stationary sources in several sectors of the economy. The RGGI Regional Program has been operating since 2008 and limits CO₂ emissions from electricity generation in a number of participating states. Since 2007, the non-profit organization WCI has united several American states and Canadian provinces and aimed to reduce GHG emissions. However, by 2011, most of the participants had withdrawn from the project, and in 2013 California created its own quota trading system, and later the combined quota trading system of the state of California and the province of Quebec was launched (Pay Carbon Tax and Breathe Easy! <https://www.investinregions.ru/en/analytics/a/materials-71785/> (Date of access 01.14.24)) [14].

A national quota trading system has been launched in Canada since 2019. Within the framework of the program, for all structures of the country (in the absence of a functioning system), an obligation is introduced to use one of two federal carbon pricing systems: an emission tax or a fee if a certain emission level is exceeded (International approaches to carbon pricing. <https://www.economy.gov.ru/material/file/c13068c695b51eb60ba8cb2006dd81c1/13777562.pdf> (Date of access 01.14.24) (In Russian)).

In the post-Soviet space (excluding the Baltic States), only Kazakhstan has implemented a national quota trading system, which has been operating since 2013 and covers industry and electricity production. The formation of Kazakhstan's national GHG emissions trading system began in 2010. In 2011, amendments and additions were made to a number of existing laws, including the Environmental Code. In 2012, the necessary bylaws were adopted regulating quotas and verification of GHG emissions, monitoring, reporting, decarbonization projects and other aspects of carbon trading. This made it possible in December 2012 to adopt the first National Plan for the allocation of quotas for GHG emissions in Kazakhstan and launch the system, and in March 2014 to hold the first exchange trading of quotas [14].

The first commitment period under the Kyoto Protocol ended in 2012 and the second period began in 2013. The Russian Federation refused to participate in the second period [15], which is explained by several factors: the negative position of some large industrial countries (USA, Canada, Japan, etc.), the lack of economic grounds and political dividends [16], ignoring and infringing on Russia's positions, as well as violation of the voting procedure within the framework of the UN negotiations [17].

In 2015, the Paris Agreement was adopted at the Paris Climate Conference in place of the Kyoto Protocol within the framework of the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (The Paris Agreement. <https://www.un.org/en/climatechange/paris-agreement> (Date of access 01.14.24)). The agreement prescribed new measures to reduce the GHG content in the atmosphere and to keep the increase in global average temperature in the region of 1.5-2 °C from pre-industrial indicators. The Russian Federation has committed itself within the framework of the implementation of the Paris Agreement to achieve the target of 70% of the 1990 level in GHG emissions by 2030 (Nationally determined contribution of the Russian Federation as part of the implementation of the Paris Agreement

of December 12, 2015. https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/NDC/2022-06/NDC_RF_eng.pdf (Date of access 01.14.24)). The Paris Agreements were ratified by Russia in 2019.

Unlike the Kyoto Protocol, where the individual obligations of each participating country were clearly defined, the Paris Agreement only imposed on countries the obligation to establish and regularly review their individual obligations themselves. It was suggested [18] that uncertainties with the amounts of individual obligations and disputes over the procedure for determining the national contribution to global warming would lead to problems in the implementation of this agreement in the future.

3.2 Russian trends

One of the main ways for the Russian Federation to fulfill its obligations under the Paris Agreement is to increase energy efficiency and introduce energy-saving technologies. The Russian economy is one of the most energy-intensive in the world, which is explained by the structure of the economy, as well as natural, climatic and territorial factors [15]. The energy sector accounts for more than 80% of Russia's GHG emissions. At the same time, since 2000, there has been a steady increase in energy emissions, and the energy intensity of production of many domestic products is several times higher than that of the best world samples [19]. Experts estimate the possibility of saving up to 45% of total primary energy consumption with a consistent targeted energy efficiency policy and the introduction of a number of tools (Energy efficiency in Russia. Hidden reserve. http://www.cenef.ru/file/FINAL_EE_report_rus.pdf (Date of access 01.04.24) (In Russian)). A feature of the Russian economy is a fairly low growth in GHG emissions (since 2000), compared with the growth of the country's GDP, which is typical for countries that have passed the first phase of technological perfection of production [20].

Under these conditions, one of the most vulnerable industries in the introduction of compensation for GHG emissions into the atmosphere is coal-fired energy. Due to the fact that coal contains relatively more carbon and less hydrogen than natural gas, and the efficiency of coal plants is somewhat lower, coal combustion produces 2-2.5 times more CO₂ than gas combustion [21]. At the same time, coal accounts for about 12% of Russia's energy balance. Experts have found that the possible fee for GHG emissions during the operation of coal-fired boilers can be commensurate with the net profit from the sale of energy resources produced by them. Therefore, for the competitive use of boilers, it is necessary to apply modern technologies for CO₂ utilization, which is further complicated by an increase in capital investments [22].

It should be noted that not only the energy sector and heavy industry may suffer from the introduction of compensation for carbon dioxide emissions into the atmosphere. For example, representatives of agricultural industries fear that spending on GHG emission reports may be a heavy burden on farms with low margins (How will the Greenhouse Gas law affect the dairy industry. <https://milknews.ru/longridy/zakon-o-parnikovih-gazah.html>. (Date of access 01.04.24) (In Russian)).

In 2019, the European Commission adopted a plan to achieve zero net GHG emissions and zero total environmental pollution [23] ("Green Pact for Europe" or "European Green Course"). In the spring of 2021, the approval of the new climate legislation of the European Union, taking into account this plan, was completed. The plan aims to reduce emissions by 55% from 1990 levels by 2030 and transition to carbon neutrality by 2050. The main difference between the plan and the Paris Agreement is not only that it sets out binding emission reduction goals, but also that it is planned to introduce an extraterritorial emissions tax, which will apply not only within the European Union, but also to all goods imported into the EU. With the introduction of an extraterritorial carbon tax, countries and

enterprises exporting goods to the European Union market will have to prove that the price of emissions during the entire production cycle was not lower than the price accepted in the EU. In the absence of a national GHG emission accounting system in the producing country, payments will be calculated at maximum rates and paid to the budget of the European Union in the form of a carbon tax (The European green course, the carbon tax and what they mean for Russia. <https://ecosphere.press/2021/05/31/evropejskij-zelenyj-kurs-uglerodnyj-nalog-i-cto-oni-znachat-dlya-rossii/> (Date of access 01.04.24) (In Russian)).

Due to the fact that the EU is Russia's largest trading partner, and the main goods exported from Russia to the EU are products of the fuel and metallurgical industries, the introduction of an extraterritorial tax will negatively affect Russian companies. Experts assessed the costs of the Russian economy in the event of the introduction of an extraterritorial carbon tax. Thus, according to the analysis of specialists from KPMG and Main Goerdeler (KPMG), the additional financial burden on Russian exports with the introduction of an extraterritorial tax can reach 4-8 billion euros per year (Bulletin of Atomprom. The Carbon Gambit. <https://atomvestnik.ru/2020/07/04/uglerodnyj-gambit/> (Date of access 01.04.24) (In Russian)). Boston Consulting Group (BCG) experts estimate the additional burden for exporters from Russia in connection with the tax at 3.0–4.8 billion dollars per year (excluding the loss of the EU market and the subsequent decrease in competitiveness) [24].

Given the size and importance of the EU market for Russian companies, the government of the Russian Federation will have to respond to the introduction of an extraterritorial tax, as it will be difficult at the level of individual companies to develop measures to reduce the negative impact of the tax on their business. If the exporter does not have documents on the amount of actual emissions confirmed by the verification authorities, the company will be evaluated by the worst representatives of the industry, which will lead to an increase in carbon payments. It is planned that if the exporting country has a transparent system for monitoring and regulating GHG emissions compatible with the European one, the extraterritorial carbon tax can be reduced by the amount of climate payments paid in the exporting country (Carbon tax visa-free regime. Review. <https://www.interfax.ru/business/777950> (Date of access 01.04.24) (In Russian)).

The main ways to minimize the consequences of the introduction of a "green tax" for the economy are the following:

- Awareness of the complexity of the problem;
- Creation of a balanced and adequate system for monitoring and clarifying calculations of greenhouse gas emissions and their compensation;
- Implementation of an internationally recognized certification system;
- Revision of the structure and content of the components of the product life cycle, taking into account greenhouse gas emissions at its various stages;
- Intensive use of the opportunities of forest ecosystems for carbon deposition.

In Russia, the process of developing and adopting a regulatory and methodological framework for accounting for GHG emissions that meet the requirements of the UNFCCC did not correspond to global trends. There were no mandatory legislative acts for the relevant departments, regional organizations and enterprises on the calculation of GHG emissions and the provision of these reporting data to supervisory government agencies.

At the same time, at the beginning of the century, a number of works were carried out to account for GHG emissions at the regional level. For example, with financial support from the US EPA, a test inventory was carried out: the first stage was based on the Novgorod region; the second on the basis of three regions in different parts of the Russian Federation (Chelyabinsk Region, Republic of Khakassia and Sakhalin Region); the third stage of the inventory was carried out by local specialists in the Sverdlovsk, Arkhangelsk and Nizhny

Novgorod regions. Also, some enterprises conducted a voluntary inventory of emissions. For example, the Russian Joint Stock Company “Unified Energy System of Russia” (RAO “UES of Russia”), Public joint-stock company “Gazprom” (PJSC “Gazprom”) a number of pulp and paper mills (Arkhangelsk, Solombalsky, “Volga”), United Company “RUSAL” (“Russian Aluminum”) [25].

Since 2016, National Standard of Russian Federation GOST R 56267-2014 “Greenhouse gases. Quantification and reporting of greenhouse gas emissions for organizations. Guidance for the application of ISO 14064-1” has been in force in Russia (identical to the international document ISO/TR 14069:2013 “Greenhouse gases — Quantification and reporting of greenhouse gas emissions for organizations — Guidance for the application of ISO 14064-1”), which takes into account three types of emissions: direct emissions, indirect energy emissions (associated with the purchase of electricity and thermal energy) and “other indirect emissions”.

In the national standard, information on the activities of enterprises and companies that emit or absorb GHGs is called “data on greenhouse gas activities”. This data can be primary data for specific objects or secondary data. Primary data on specific objects are obtained by direct measurement or calculation, based on diagnostics based on their primary source. Secondary data is data obtained from a source other than direct measurement or calculation (for example, literature data, national registries, etc.).

Accountable organizations should consolidate their GHG emissions and removals using two methods: control, when the organization is fully responsible for all emissions and removals, and on an equity basis, when the organization is partially responsible for its share of emissions and removals according to the equity share. When using the control method by an accountable organization, 100% of emissions from controlled consolidated facilities are subject to accounting. When using the equity-based consolidation method, only emissions from those consolidated facilities that do not exceed the ownership share of the accountable organization are subject to accounting.

By the decree of the Ministry of Natural Resources of Russia dated April 16, 2015 No. 15-r “On approval of methodological recommendations for a voluntary inventory of greenhouse gas emissions in the constituent entities of the Russian Federation”, methodological recommendations for the assessment of GHG emissions were approved. The Russian Federation also has Order of the Ministry of Natural Resources of the Russian Federation dated June 30, 2015 No. 300 “On approval of methodological guidelines and guidelines for quantitative determination of greenhouse gas emissions by organizations engaged in economic and other activities in the Russian Federation”, in which direct GHG emissions from the organization's production facilities and ongoing production processes (including stationary sources and transport) are subject to quantification of emissions. These methodological materials affect many industries: oil refining and petrochemistry, metallurgy, transport, chemical production, fuel combustion, and other industrial processes.

Order No. 300 made it possible to fill the regulatory vacuum that existed before, when environmental users used an adapted translation of international documents of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) for voluntary inventory of GHG emissions at their enterprise [4]. Also at the end of 2015, the Order of the Ministry of Natural Resources and Ecology of the Russian Federation dated December 23, 2015 N 554 “On approval of the application form for placing objects that have a negative impact on the environment on state registration, containing information for inclusion in the state register of objects that have a negative impact on the environment, including in the form of electronic documents signed with an enhanced qualified electronic signature” was published, taking into account the actual mass of greenhouse gas emissions in terms of carbon dioxide (CO₂ equivalent), calculated in tons per year.

Until the end of 2021, National Standard of Russian Federation GOST R ISO 14064-1-2007 "Greenhouse gases. Part 1. Specification with guidance at the organizational level for quantification and reporting of greenhouse gas emissions and removals" was in force in Russia, which from January 01, 2022 was replaced by its updated version of National Standard GOST R ISO 14064-1-2021. The standard prescribes accountable structures to determine the volume and amount of GHG according to the following methodology:

- Identification of GHG sources and sinks;
- Selection of the quantitative determination method;
- Selection and collection of data on the activities of the organization;
- Selection or development of coefficients of discarded or deleted GHG;
- Calculation of discarded and deleted GHG.

At the second step, the organization should choose a quantitative determination method and justify its choice. The chosen method of quantification should provide accurate, consistent and reproducible results. The National standard defines three methods for quantifying emissions that can be used by accountable organizations:

- A calculation method based on: data on the organization's activities multiplied by emission or removal coefficients; the use of models; correlations specific to a given production site; calculations based on the material balance;
- A measuring method in which continuous or periodic measurement of GHG emissions can be carried out;
- A combined method based on the first two methods (measurements and calculations).

In current standards, the calculation method is adopted as the most commonly used method, since direct measurements of GHG emissions resulting from the activities of an organization are in most cases difficult or impractical to determine. The measuring method should be chosen if, due to its specificity, there is no data for the application of the calculation method for production. In this case, continuous data collection and recording of emissions from factory exhaust or emission pipes can be carried out, and reports on the measured data can be compiled.

As a rule, two methods are used in the calculation method:

- Rationing of greenhouse gas data for production or other activities by multiplying them by emission or removal factors;
- Using models.

The organization uses two types of data as input data for the application of the calculation methodology:

- Local data, For example, the amount of fuel consumed, the amount of electricity purchased, the mass of each type of waste in the organization, etc.;
- Secondary data when it is necessary to describe the source of this data, regardless of their origin. Such data is usually used to calculate indirect emissions.

Thus, according to the standard, the calculation itself consists of two stages:

- Data on the organization's activities are converted into data on emissions of all GHG by multiplying activity data by the corresponding activity emission or removal coefficients;
- Global warming potential is taken into account for each of the GHGs, and data on emissions and removal of gases are converted into data on climate impacts in tons of CO₂ equivalent, that is, GHG emissions are multiplied by the coefficients of the "global warming potential" from the current version of the IPCC model (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change).

Federal Law No. 296-FZ "On limiting greenhouse gas emissions" comes into force on December 30, 2021, and as part of its implementation, a system of state accounting for GHG emissions will be launched from 2022. According to the Federal Law, the main task of the climate project is to implement a set of measures to reduce GHG emissions and increase GHG uptake. The Decree of the Government of the Russian Federation dated

October 22, 2021 No. 2979-r "On approval of the list of greenhouse gases in respect of which state registration of greenhouse gas emissions and maintenance of a greenhouse gas cadaster is carried out" contains a list of GHGs: carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide, sulfur hexafluoride, etc.

From January 1, 2023, organizations whose activities are accompanied by GHG emissions, the mass of which is equivalent to 150 thousand tons or more of CO₂ per year, are required to submit reports on GHG emissions. Starting from January 1, 2025, organizations whose activities are accompanied by GHG emissions, the mass of which is equivalent to 50 tons of carbon dioxide per year, are required to submit reports. In the future, it is planned that legal entities and officials will be administratively liable for failure to submit reports or for providing false information on GHG emissions.

The Government of the Russian Federation is working on a low-carbon development strategy. Starting in 2022, it is planned to launch a mechanism for the implementation of climate projects and a system for the circulation of carbon units. In the future, it is planned to: make reporting on GHG emissions verifiable; intensify work on energy conservation and energy efficiency of industry and households; conduct a regional Sakhalin experiment with a strict carbon regulation model; continue to create a system of environmentally friendly, socially oriented corporate governance (Environmental, Social, and Corporate Governance, ESG) (Maxim Reshetnikov: the task is to launch a mechanism for the implementation of climate projects from next year. https://www.economy.gov.ru/material/news/maksim_reshetnikov_zadacha_so_sleduyushchego_goda_zapustit_mehanizm_realizacii_klimaticheskikh_proektov.html (Date of access 01.04.24) (In Russian)).

3.3 Timber industry trends

Amendments are being made to the Russian forest legislation aimed at increasing the ability of forest plantations to deposit carbon. Within the framework of the national Ecology project, by 2024 it is planned to achieve equality of the cut down or dead forests areas and areas of reforestation and afforestation (National project "Ecology". <https://strategy24.ru/rf/ecology/projects/natsional-nyy-proyekt-ekologiya> (Date of access 01.04.24) (In Russian)), that is, compliance with a close to natural balance of forest disposal and reproduction. Since 2018, the Forest Code of the Russian Federation has obliged some forest users (mining enterprises, builders, processors of forest resources, etc. d.) during the year carry out reforestation or afforestation work within the boundaries of the territory of the relevant subject on an area equal to the area of felled forest plantations (Federal Law No. 212-FZ dated July 19, 2018 "On Amendments to the Forest Code of the Russian Federation and certain legislative acts of the Russian Federation in terms of improving forest reproduction and afforestation").

Already in 2021, adjustments were made to the Forest Code of the Russian Federation, which changed the requirements for compensatory reforestation (Federal Law No. 303-FZ dated July 2, 2021 "On Amendments to the Forest Code of the Russian Federation and Certain Legislative Acts of the Russian Federation"). Currently, in coordination with the authorized federal executive authority, reforestation can be carried out not only in the territory where logging was carried out, but also in the territories of other subjects. In addition, reforestation should be carried out within three years (and not one year as before) by seedlings or seedlings of the main forest tree species grown in forest nurseries. Within three years from the moment of creation of forest crops, agro technical care must be carried out for plants.

There have also been changes in the Rules of reforestation (Order of the Ministry of Natural Resources and Ecology of the Russian Federation dated December 4, 2020 No.

1014 “On approval of the Rules for reforestation, the composition of the reforestation project, the procedure for developing a reforestation project and making changes to it”). According to the amended rules, from 2022 at least 20%, and from 2025 at least 30% of all areas of artificial and combined reforestation must be carried out with planting material with a closed root system (which is characterized by better survival). In addition, the new edition of the rules provides detailed descriptions of agro technical and forestry care and the required amount of care for the period of growing forest crops.

It is planned that by the end of March 2022, an electronic exchange for trading "green certificates" will start operating in Russia. The exchange will allow to legalize the system of circulation of documentation confirming that industrial products were produced using electricity generated using renewable energy sources or low-carbon sources (about 35% of all electricity in Russia). The launch of the "green certificates" system and its verification abroad will allow Russian exporters to reduce the imposed extraterritorial carbon tax. Enterprises and organizations with a large volume of GHG emissions can purchase such a certificate, and the carbon footprint of consumed electricity will be excluded from the calculation of the company's carbon footprint (The government is preparing to launch a national green certificate system in 2022. <https://www.vedomosti.ru/economics/articles/2021/06/02/872582-zelenih-sertifikatov> (Date of access 01.04.24) (In Russian)).

4 Discussion

Under the conditions described above, it is necessary to compensate for the delay of the Russian Federation in starting to develop measures to achieve carbon neutrality and decarbonization of industry. Restrictions on GHG emissions introduced at the government level should provide a legal basis for reducing, and in the future, leveling the planned extraterritorial carbon tax, and will have a beneficial impact on the environment.

Researchers have calculated [29] that if the goals set under the Paris Agreement are achieved by 2035, the total global consumption of hydrocarbons will decrease by 5%. At the same time, the most promising markets in these years, due to the growing demand for energy, will be the Asia-Pacific. Russia should rebuild its infrastructure and intensify exports to these regions in conjunction with the structural and technological modernization of the National Economy.

The main problem of decarbonization is its combined nature: atmospheric warming has been established by climatologists; the consequences for ecosystems and permissible emission levels have been established by environmentalists; monetary assessment of the consequences has been carried out by economists; legislative initiatives and acts have been developed by lawyers. Industry, any of its branches, is in the most constrained state in this chain, but it also provides jobs for those who are exposed to the effects of climate warming. Consequently, the launched process of compensation for the harm of GHG emissions will inevitably lead not only to increased competition, but also to an increase in demand for relevant scientific and applied research.

However, competition can cause a shift in production and demand for products to those countries whose industry are supported by the state without the introduction of additional «green» taxes or have a significant reserve of production forces. This will allow the industry to quickly find ways to compensate for the "green" load, which, in the opinion of the authors, is the main task to be sought. In this regard, the actions of the government of the Russian Federation to change the attitude of GHG generators to the use of forests as a kind of anti-tax cushion are interesting. Given the area of forests, this area of compensation for the "green tax" may become the main one.

The great attention paid to negative climate changes, as well as to decarbonization issues [27], sooner or later will lead to climate improvement, significantly reducing threats to the global environment and the safety of human life. However, the Russian Federation should and can use the opportunities of both the forest fund and the timber industry for this purpose and achieve fulfillment of its commitments to reduce GHG emissions by 2030 to 70% of the 1990 level (Decree of the President of the Russian Federation of November 4, 2020 No. 666 “On reducing greenhouse gas emissions”).

It should be borne in mind that the forest, as an environmental component of the biosphere, is itself exposed to global changes. The paper [28] indicates the negative impact of climate warming on biodiversity (replacement of coniferous species with deciduous). It is noted that climate change will lead to an increase in the likelihood of epidemics, the spread and emergence of new pests. In addition, climate change will lead to an increase in the intensity of adverse natural phenomena (fires, hurricanes, storms, landslides, etc.).

In the long term, this will lead to a reduction in the Russian forest fund and a decrease in its climate-regulating and quota-producing potential. Further deterioration of the environmental situation, increased costs of reforestation, and fire extinguishing [29] may ultimately affect an increase in social tension. Based on the analysis, it is predicted that for Russia, the processes of transformation of plant communities will affect up to 70% of the areas of pine forests and 50% of spruce forests. The expected rate of displacement of the climate zone will reach 5 km per year and, as a result, the disappearance of 30% of pine and spruce forests by 2100 [30]. This issue is particularly acute in countries and regions where the forestry industry and industry play a leading role in the economy. The intensity of climate change will vary significantly for different regions of the world. Nevertheless, on a global scale, the negative impact will affect all countries of the world.

Forest ecosystem play an important role in the regulation of GHG content and, if properly managed, are one of the main sinks of atmospheric carbon dioxide. In the northern temperate zone, afforestation on abandoned agricultural lands can be considered as one of the opportunities to increase carbon uptake. Certain types of forestry activities make it possible to increase the total carbon sequestration [31], which in some carbon markets makes it possible to obtain compensation for the amount of carbon uptake by forests

Under these conditions, forest resources are becoming increasingly important for maintaining climate stability and leveling GHG emissions. The authors of the study [32] note the important role of comprehensive assessment of the economic, environmental and social components of forest resources. The main task in increasing the value of forest resources is to determine their "carbon" (carbon) price and ecosystem services. For the Russian industry, the inclusion of their "carbon" value in the cost structure of forest resources can lead to a reduction in the cost of sales of wood products, and the sale of GHG emission quotas can provide additional monetary resources. When choosing the direction of production reorganization, one can use the recommendations of [33], which show that it is more beneficial to increase the carbon efficiency of the economy than to reduce its carbon intensity.

For example, to increase carbon efficiency, it is proposed to create carbon farms on forest plots based on poplar and pine [34]. It is assumed that the rapid growth of poplar and the "versatility" of pine in the operation of carbon farms will preserve the carbon balance, increase environmental safety and contribute to Russia's fulfillment of its obligations under the UN Framework Convention on Climate and the Paris Agreement.

All this combined will help to significantly reduce CO₂ emissions. At the same time, only an integrated and balanced approach to solving the problem of the "carbon" (carbon) footprint, taking into account the absorption capacity of forests, provided sustainable and balanced socio-economic development of Russia (Decree of the President of the Russian

Federation of November 4, 2020 No. 666 “On reducing greenhouse gas emissions”) [26] will allow us to find practically applicable solutions to reduce or level GHG emissions.

The quota and quota trading system, on the one hand, is a response to the challenge from the EU and should meet the requirements, however, on the other hand, given global warming, it should become an effective, finely tuned tool in regulating GHG emissions. Probably, at the first stages, these measures will require significant investments in increasing the "greenhouse" production efficiency, but in the long term this will have an economic effect.

When implementing these measures, a system of standards and calculations is needed that allows for an accurate and evidence-based assessment of the measures taken by the enterprise, putting them on an equal footing and preventing the appearance of "green" monopolists. The initial data for calculations, recommendations and current control collected by the control and measuring equipment should allow it to be used in different enterprises. If the data price is excessively high, it is necessary to create a system of basic enterprises relative to which the emissions of the other participants in the process will be calculated. A system of publishing the collected data is needed to be able to obtain an alternative view of emissions and the global consequences of this.

5 Conclusions

Thus, the conducted research made it possible to assess the complexity of the problems of the industry of the Russian Federation and at the same time allowed to determine the directions of further work and research, in particular, on the inclusion of Russian forest resources in the system of forming quotas for GHG emissions.

It is necessary to bring the legislative framework and adopted legislative acts, regulatory and methodological documentation for accounting for GHG emissions into line with global trends and decisions taken in the field of environmental protection. It is also necessary to regularly update the decisions taken in accordance with modern realities and timely formation of a legislative, regulatory and methodological framework in comparison with similar activities of the world's leading economies. There is a need to create a balanced and adequate system for monitoring and clarifying calculations of GHG emissions and their compensation taking into account the requirements of the "green" legislation of importing countries, as well as the interests of industry and energy.

One of the key problems is the lack of an effective, functioning, internationally recognized national quota trading system. The quota and quota trading system should be able to be fine-tuned when developing measures to regulate GHG emissions, in particular, taking into account the capabilities of the forest fund of the Russian Federation. For certain types of production, it is necessary to review the structure and content of the components of the product life cycle, taking into account GHG emissions at its various stages. It also requires the establishment or localization of an independent internationally recognized certification system to assess and certify the accuracy of GHG emissions.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Russian Federation Ministry of Science and Higher Education (state research target, theme no. 075-03-2023-128)

References

1. S. N. Denisov, A. V. Eliseev, I. I. Mokhov, *Academy of Sciences Reports* **488(1)**, 74-80 (2019) <https://doi.org/10.31857/S0869-5652488174-80>
2. A. O. Kokorin, O. N. Lipka, R. V. Sulyandziga, *Changing of the climate. Glossary of terms used in the work of the UNFCCC* (WWF Russia, Moscow, 2015)
3. European Conference of Ministers of Transport. *Cutting transport CO2 emissions. What progress?* (OECD Publishing, 2007).
4. Yu. P. Rakitina, *Works of young scientists of the TatNIPIneft* (2007)
5. A. Lopatnikov, *Oil and Gas Vertical* (**19**), 69-80 (2020)
6. D. Dudek, A. Golub, E. Strukova, *Climate Policy* **4(2)**, 129-142 (2004) <https://doi.org/10.1080/14693062.2004.9685516>
7. G. D. Oreggioni, F. M. Ferrario, M. Crippa, M. Muntean, E. Schaaf, D. Guizzardi, ... E. Vignati, *Global Environmental Change* **70**, 102350 (2021) <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2021.102350>
8. F. Veselov, T. Pankrushina, A. Khorshev, *Energy Policy* **156**, 112409 (2021) <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2021.112409>
9. P. Fragkos, H. L. van Soest, R. Schaeffer, L. Freedman, A. C. Köberle, N. Macaluso, ... G. Iyer, *Energy* **216**, 119385 (2021) <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2020.119385>
10. A. Babin, C. Vaneeckhaute, M. C. Iliuta, *Biomass and Bioenergy* **146**, 105968 (2021) <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2021.105968>
11. I. G. Gritsevich, *Scientific works of the Free Economic Society of Russia* **115**, 52-60 (2009)
12. E. V. Ilyicheva, *Finance and credit* (**26(266)**), 13-20 (2007)
13. R. V. Nikonov, *Universum: economics and jurisprudence* (**8(41)**), 12-15 (2017)
14. *Emissions trading system: international experience Kazakhstan* (Astana, 2014)
15. R. R., Khabibakhmanov, ... V. Ryzhkova, *Management of economic systems: electronic scientific journal* (**12(48)**), 112 (2012)
16. E. A. Morosova, I. M. Potravny, *All-Russian Economic Journal ECO* (7 (481)), 180-189 (2014) <https://doi.org/10.30680/ECO0131-7652-2014-7-180-189>
17. D. A. Gershinkova, *Bulletin of International Organizations: Education, Science, New Economy* **3(2)**, 91-97 (2013)
18. T. Yu. Sidorova, *Siberian Legal Bulletin* (**1**), 138-142 (2018)
19. N. V. Pakhomova, R. K. Knut, V. M. Zhigalov, A. S. Malova, *Regional Economics* **13(1)**, 183-195 (2017) <https://doi.org/10.17059/2017-1-17>
20. E. V. Petrov, *Mining Information and Analytical Bulletin (scientific and technical journal)* (**S1**), 180-189 (2014)
21. A. Khokhlov, Y. Melnikov, *Coal generation: new challenges and opportunities.* (Energy Center MSHU, Skolkovo, Moscow 2019)
22. M. S. Ivanitsky, *Energy security and energy saving* (**5**), 9-13 (2016) <https://doi.org/10.18635/2071-2219-2016-5-9-13>
23. M. Siddi, *Upi Report* **114** (2020)
24. B. Aylor, M. Gilbert, N. Lang, M. McAdoo, J. Öberg, C. Pieper, ... N. Voigt, *How an EU carbon border tax could jolt world trade* (Boston Consulting Group 2020)

25. V. Kh. Berdin, I. G. Gritsevich, A. O. Kokorin, Yu. N. Fedorov,). Greenhouse gases - a global environmental resource: A reference guide (WWF Russia, Moscow, 2004)
26. V. V. Saenko, A. Y. Kolpakov, Studies on Russian Economic Development **32(6)**, 668-675 (2021) <https://doi.org/10.1134/S1075700721060137>
27. H. A. Daggash, C. F. Heuberger, N. Mac Dowell, International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control **81**, 181-198 (2019) <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2018.12.019>
28. T. S. Koroleva, A. V. Konstantinov, E. A. Shunkina, Proceedings of the St. Petersburg Research Institute of Forestry **(3)**, 55-71 (2015)
29. A. V. Konstantinov, T. S. Koroleva, E. A. Kushnir, I. O. Torzhkov, Forestry Journal, **4 (28)**, 257-274 (2017) https://doi.org/10.12737/article_5a3d017c6db23941056305
30. D. Zamolodchikov, N. Shmatkov, Sustainable forest management **(4)**, 12-14 (2011) https://elibrary.ru/download/elibrary_22517666_23297250.pdf
31. T. J. Fahey, P. B. Woodbury, J. J. Battles, C. L. Goodale, S. P. Hamburg, S. V. Ollinger, C. W. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment **8(5)**, 245-252 (2010) <https://doi.org/10.1890/080169>.
32. S. N. Bobylev, A. V. Stetsenko, Proceedings of the St. Petersburg Research Institute of Forestry **(3)**, 77-89 (2016) https://doi.org/10.21178/2079-6080.20163_77
33. B. N. Porfiriyev, Forecasting problems **3 (174)**, 3-16 (2019) h
34. S. S. Morkovina, E. A. Panyavina, I. I. Shanin, I. A. Avdeeva, Current directions of scientific research of the XXI century: theory and practice **9(1 (52))**, 17 (2021) <https://doi.org/10.34220/2308-8877-2021-9-1-17-25>