

# Environment care as Intention of non-business undergraduate students to enroll taxation courses

Janus Aries Q. Simbillo<sup>1\*</sup>, Wilson Cordova<sup>1</sup>, and Estephany M. Gerona<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>De La Salle University, 2401 Taft Avenue, Manila, Metro Manila, Philippines

**Abstract:** Safe environment and effective taxation is one of the keys to nation-building as this is the lifeblood of the country and this ensures a nation's economic prosperity and success. The collection process has been continuously challenging as new tax reforms were introduced in the Philippines in 2018. To resolve this challenge, a House Bill was proposed by the Congress in June 2022 to mandatorily teach "tax literacy, consciousness and responsibility in intermediate, secondary, technical-vocational and tertiary education in both public and private schools". Thus, to assess the need to enhance tax literacy, the study aims to explore the opportunity to develop and introduce taxation courses for non-business collegiate students. The study examined the interest and perceived need for learning taxation among non-business collegiate students. The study utilized a survey method and a Likert Scale to gather data from 518 valid respondents. The study highlighted the significance of formal tax education in fostering tax compliance among taxpayers and its implications for curriculum design and student engagement strategies in taxation education. The study included a literature review exploring the factors contributing to tax evasion and the determinants of tax compliance. The hypothesis that was tested and presented in the study showed that interest and perceived need in learning taxation are key factors positively affecting students' interest in enrolling in taxation courses, while the ease of learning taxation may not play a significant role in this context. The findings of this research provided valuable insights into whether these variables collectively contribute to students' interest in enrolling in taxation courses.

## 1 Introduction

According to the 1987 Philippine Constitution, the power to impose a tax on its citizens is inherent and obligatory as part of the country's sovereign power to defray the necessary expenses of the Government [1-34]. Taxes are one nation's lifeblood as this underscores the essential nature of taxation for the sustenance of government, highlighting that the government relies on the financial contributions of its citizens to effectively function and carry out its operations. Thus, this means that it has a right to compel its citizens and property within its limits to contribute where the Legislative Department is vested by the

\* Corresponding author: [janus.simbillo@dlsu.edu.ph](mailto:janus.simbillo@dlsu.edu.ph)

Constitution to exercise this Power (Article 18, 1987 Philippine Constitution). Under Article VI, Sec. 28 of the Constitution, the rule of taxation shall be “uniform and equitable”.

Tax Laws and Regulations are constantly changing as the business landscape keeps evolving and economic issues and challenges continue especially during the onset of the COVID-19 Pandemic (OECD, 2021).

In the contemporary global economy, the task of ensuring adherence to diverse indirect tax laws and regulations across various regions and nations presents a formidable challenge for tax professionals. These experts are entrusted with the responsibility of maintaining continuous tax compliance for their respective companies.

However, this endeavor is complicated by the absence of global tax authority standardization, which further compounds the issue. Additionally, the intricate and perpetually shifting terrain of rules and regulations adds another layer of complexity that these professionals must navigate diligently. The dynamic nature of these regulations requires them to remain vigilant to avert the potential consequences of non-compliance, which could entail severe penalties for their organizations (Salecha, 2023; Van et al., 2018; Ushakov et al., 2019; 2023).

In the Philippines, especially in recent years, tax reforms are ongoing under the Government’s Tax Reform Program (CTRP) which has the objective of simplification, equitability, and enhanced efficiency of the tax system, the CTRP will engender an augmented and enduring stream of revenues. These resources will facilitate substantial investments in our populace and infrastructure, thereby contributing to realizing our aspirational vision for the Philippines (DOF, 2022). The said program has 4 major phases (known as packages) (Tab. 1).

**Table 1:** Packages of the Comprehensive Tax Reform Program (CFRP) (Source: Department of Finance)

	Official Designation No./Name	Date of Legislation	Provisions
1	Republic Act (RA) No. 10963 - Tax Reform for Acceleration and Inclusion Law (TRAIN Law)	Signed into Law: Dec. 19, 2017  Commenced: January 1, 2018	Prominent elements within this tax reform proposal encompass the subsequent features: -Individuals with an annual income of ₱250,000 or less are eligible for exemption from personal income tax. -Self-employed professionals operating on a small or micro scale are now afforded the choice to remit a flat tax amounting to 8% of their gross sales, given that these sales remain below ₱3 million. -The threshold for the application of the value-added tax (VAT) has been raised from ₱1.9 million to ₱3 million.
2	Republic Act (RA) No. 11534 - Comprehensive Recovery and Tax Incentives for Enterprises (CREATE) Act	Signed into Law: Mar. 26, 2021  Commenced: April 1, 2021	Small and Medium-sized Enterprises (SMEs) stand as the primary recipients of the legislation's advantages, chiefly due to the noteworthy decrease in the corporate income tax (CIT) rate, which now stands at 20%, down from the previous 30%. Other pivotal highlights of the enactment encompass the subsequent points: -The minimum corporate income tax has been effectively reduced to a range of 1–2%. -Domestic corporations are now

			granted tax exemption on dividends originating from foreign sources, under the condition that these dividends are reinvested in the Philippines. -The law introduces an incentive framework stratified by industry and geographical location tiers.
3	Real Property Valuation Reform (House Bill No. 4664)	No Law enacted yet (the Bill was already approved after 2 <sup>nd</sup> Reading in Congress last Nov. 2019 and it is pending in the Senate under its Committee of Ways and Means)	The components encompassed within this tax reform initiative consist of the following features: -Adopting global benchmarks in the valuation of real estate assets. -Instituting a unified valuation foundation for the taxation of real properties. -Creating the Real Property Valuation Service, tasked with supervising and addressing valuation-related matters originating from local governing bodies
4	House Bill 4339 – Formerly known as the Passive Income and Financial Intermediary Taxation Act (PIFITA)	No Law enacted yet (Approved in the Congress last Sept. 2019 but with ongoing discussions within the working committee of the Senate)	The provisions within the PIFITA encompass the following: -Application of a unified 15% rate on interest income, dividends, and capital gains. -Elimination of the tax imposed on initial public offerings (IPOs). -Elimination of the documentary stamp tax (DST) applicable to non-monetary transactions.

In the context of ongoing tax reforms, tax compliance is poised to become more challenging as taxpayers are expected to grasp and apply new laws and regulations. Saragih & Aswar (2020) emphasize the significant impact of understanding tax regulations on taxpayer compliance.

Furthermore, the primary challenge in this pursuit of change lies in devising innovative methods to enhance revenue collection, build trust, and fortify fiscal agreements. Recent research suggests several aspects that could contribute to a strategy for better connecting tax revenues to public services. This may involve fostering taxpayer trust, enhancing their satisfaction with tax payment, addressing political obstacles to change, adapting reforms to local contexts, and empowering taxpayers. Nevertheless, these research-derived ideas have not been fully translated into action or formulated into a comprehensive plan for change (World Bank, 2019).

Many nations confront the daunting task of generating sufficient tax revenues, especially in the face of the COVID-19 pandemic's impact. Taxpayer education plays a pivotal role in increasing individuals' and businesses' voluntary compliance with tax obligations. It assumes a critical role in mobilizing urgently needed tax revenues to support the achievement of the Sustainable Development Goals, as highlighted in a study by OECD (2021). The study demonstrates that enhancing tax literacy can actively contribute to molding a nation's tax culture, wherein citizens grasp the repercussions of fulfilling (or neglecting) their tax obligations in their everyday existence. Additionally, the study also enumerates the three main approaches on “designing and implementing tax education initiatives” (OECD, 2021):

Providing tax education through comprehensive and extended interactions with diverse groups, including youths, adults, and business owners.

Conveying tax information through advanced awareness-raising efforts targeting taxpayers, encompassing various strategies such as social media campaigns, tax expos, television programs, and employing behavioral economics to customize communications for encouraging favorable responses.

Enhancing tax compliance by offering hands-on support to taxpayers, facilitating the utilization of contemporary e-administration tools, and assisting particularly vulnerable taxpayers with their tax obligations, including compliance with reporting requirements.

An investigation conducted in the Philippines (Angeles, 2021) explored the factors influencing small business owners' decisions to comply with tax rules and procedures. The study employed a mixed-method approach, utilizing quantitative analysis to identify the role of tax-filing experience and moral considerations in shaping compliance behavior. Qualitative inquiry revealed diverse compliance attitudes, influenced by personal beliefs and ranging from unmotivated to highly motivated. Conversely, research by Maquiling et al. (2023) underscored the substantial impact of social and institutional factors on tax compliance within the self-employed community in Davao City. These findings underscore the government's need to prioritize key initiatives.

In Malaysia, a study by Amin et al. (2022) recognized the necessity of introducing tax education at tertiary institutions. The study demonstrated the significant role of tax knowledge in influencing tax compliance within a specific nation. Therefore, tax education extends beyond the traditional realms of business and economics curricula, encompassing non-business collegiate students pursuing degrees in liberal arts, sciences, and humanities. These students engage with taxation in their personal and professional lives, necessitating a foundational understanding of taxation for informed decision-making and civic participation.

Additionally, the Philippine government has initiated a mandate to teach "tax literacy, consciousness, and responsibility" in various educational levels through House Bill No. 76 (Tax Consciousness and Responsibility Act) filed by Albay Representative Edcel Lagman. This initiative aims to enhance tax collection efficiency (Crisostomo, 2022). As of the current date, the bill is pending with the Committee on Basic Education and Culture since July 26, 2022 (House of Representatives House Members, n.d.).

To bridge this educational gap, this study seeks to measure the non-business students' intention on enrolling taxation courses to explore the potential development of a taxation course or program tailored specifically for them in future compliance with the HB No. 76. By identifying the unique educational requirements and learning preferences of this student demographic, educational institutions can enhance their curricular offerings to better prepare students for real-world challenges. These questions can be raised for this:

What is the Non-Business Undergraduate Students' level of interest in learning Taxation, level of Perceived need in learning Taxation, and level of perceived ease in learning Taxation?

How will the Non-Business Undergraduate Students' level of interest in learning taxation will significantly affect their intention to enroll Taxation course/s?

How will the Non-Business Undergraduate Students' level of perceived need to learn taxation will significantly affect their intention to enroll Taxation course/s?

How will the Non-Business Undergraduate Students' level of perceived ease to learn taxation will significantly affect their intention to enroll Taxation course/s?

The findings of this study will provide valuable insights to curriculum developers, educators, and administrators, facilitating the creation of a targeted taxation education framework that promotes financial literacy, civic engagement, and critical thinking among non-business collegiate students.

## 2 Literature review and hypothesis development

The Theory of Planned Behavior is the adopted framework of this study which attempts to explain and predict human behavior based on individual beliefs, attitudes, intentions, and perceived behavioral control (Ajzen, 1985). By considering these factors, educators and instructional designers can tailor their approaches to foster an optimistic outlook towards learning, create supportive social norms, and enhance individuals' perceived control over their learning experiences, thereby promoting more effective and motivated learning.

A study from Indonesia has used this theory to find the significance of attitude, behavior control, and subjective norms to the tax compliance of the Department of Cooperatives and SMEs in the City of Depok, West Java (Suryanti, 2021). The research findings indicate that attitudes and the ability to control one's behavior have a positive and significant impact on tax compliance among Depok SMEs. However, subjective norms, which refer to the influence of others' opinions, negatively and significantly impact tax compliance. This suggests that Depok SME taxpayers tend to pay taxes based on their own understanding rather than being influenced by others' opinions or suggestions.

The theory, as explained by Baporikar (2022), applied to Tax Education, delving into the ethical and moral considerations linked to taxpayer compliance. Drawing on Ajzen's (1985) theory, it highlights the strong connection between behavior, attitude, and compliance approach. It also demonstrates how attitudes influence intentions and how external factors like peer pressure and societal influences affect tax-compliant individuals. The subjective norm signifies expected behavior endorsed by peers, revealing the psychology behind tax compliance.

Furthermore, this study's findings underscore the multifaceted benefits of taxpayer education. Beyond enhancing tax compliance, it fosters fiscal knowledge and national development. Educated citizens exhibit improved tax compliance through accurate filings and registrations. An informed taxpayer proves more valuable than resources expended on pursuing tax evaders.

Thus, the researchers have produced fitting variables to further evaluate the relationship between the interest and need to learn taxation and the prospective students' interest in enrolling taxation courses as follows:

### 2.1 Interest in Learning Taxation

Interest in learning about taxation has been a topic of study in various fields, including economics, accounting, and public policy. The literature review provides insights into the factors that contribute to tax evasion, the determinants of taxpayer compliance, and the impact of taxation on decision-making and public support. Slemrod (2007) discusses the magnitude, nature, and determinants of tax evasion, emphasizing the need for policy implications. The author argues that tax evasion is widespread and cannot be solely reliant on taxpayers' sense of duty.

Frey & Torgler (2006) further explore the reasons behind tax compliance, highlighting the relevance of conditional cooperation and tax morale. They find a positive correlation between perceived tax evasion and tax morale, as well as institutional quality.

Alm et al. (1992) address the challenges in empirical research on taxpayer compliance, emphasizing the absence of detailed and reliable information. Handayani et al. (2018) examine the factors determining learning difficulties in taxation courses, identifying family support as the main determinant. They also consider learning psychology factors, such as learning independence, interest, and readiness. The literature also explores the intersection of taxation with other fields. Zeff (2013) provides a review of the literature on international taxation, focusing on the impact of taxation on decisions by U.S. multinationals.

Pimentel & Boulianne (2020) discuss the interest in the accounting for and taxation of crypto assets, highlighting the opportunity for academic research in this area. The role of self-interest and deservingness in public support for wealth taxation is examined by (Sachweh & Eicher, 2023).

They find that subjective self-interest is more influential than objective self-interest and that providing information on wealth inequality can shift attitudes in favor of wealth taxation. Franko et al. (2013) investigate the determinants of support for redistributive tax increases, finding that economic self-interest, concerns about inequality, and partisanship all influence support.

In the context of policy learning, Thow et al. (2022) highlights the value of ongoing policy learning for improving tax design, particularly in the case of sugar-sweetened beverage taxes. They emphasize the importance of collaboration between finance and health policymakers and suggest that regional bodies can play a role in supporting taxation efforts.

## 2.2 Perceived Need to Learn Taxation

Numerous studies have delved into the factors impacting individuals' perceptions regarding the necessity of understanding taxation and how these perceptions shape their attitudes and behaviors towards tax compliance. One crucial influencer in individuals' perception of the need to comprehend taxation is tax morale.

Tax morale entails individuals' voluntary compliance with tax obligations and their belief in the equity and legitimacy of the tax system (Frey & Torgler, 2006). Research indicates a strong correlation between perceived tax evasion and tax morale, implying that those who perceive higher levels of tax evasion tend to have lower tax morale (Frey & Torgler, 2006). Additionally, institutional quality exhibits a significant positive association with tax morale, suggesting that individuals' views on the competence of tax administration institutions can affect their attitudes towards taxation (Frey & Torgler, 2006).

Trust represents another pivotal factor influencing tax compliance. Studies reveal that relying solely on threat and legal coercion as regulatory tools can sometimes prove ineffective, emphasizing the importance of trust in managing noncompliance (Murphy, 2004). When individuals perceive regulators as acting justly and trust their motives, they are more inclined to voluntarily comply with tax obligations (Murphy, 2004).

Perceptions of taxation constraints are also shaped by the business environment. Research indicates that perceptions of taxation constraints are positively influenced by perceptions of factors like electricity availability, the macroeconomic environment, input quality, access to finance, corruption, and customs-related issues (Mawejje & Sebudde, 2018). This suggests that individuals' perceptions of taxation constraints serve as indirect indicators of an unfavorable business environment.

Furthermore, studies have uncovered that prosocial views of taxation are linked to support for taxes. Individuals with a prosocial orientation, characterized by a concern for the welfare of others, are more likely to endorse taxation because they see it to assist others and contribute to societal well-being (Thornton et al., 2019). Witnessing the tangible benefits of tax contributions in society and recognizing how they aid fellow citizens are associated with more positive views of taxation and increased engagement (Thornton et al., 2019).

## 2.3 Perceived Ease in Learning Taxation

In one study, Alsharif (2021) investigates e-learning's effectiveness in teaching taxation courses in Saudi Arabia, using a mixed-methods approach to gather input from 102 students

and twelve instructors. Findings highlight participants' acceptance of e-learning but note challenges like technical issues and limited interaction. Recommendations are offered to enhance e-learning quality. In another study, Chen et al. (2021) analyzed students' views on e-learning for taxation courses in Taiwan through a quantitative method involving 216 students.

They identify factors like instructor attitude, course quality, and flexibility influencing satisfaction. Finally, Sari et al. (2019) explores taxpayer compliance factors in Indonesia, using quantitative analysis of data from one hundred taxpayers. The study reveals taxpayer awareness and tax regulation as key influencers while suggesting areas for future research.

These three articles collectively focus on investigating the factors impacting taxation students' and taxpayers' learning and behaviors, employing diverse methods and contexts for their respective inquiries. While sharing certain similarities and disparities in their findings and implications, the studies reveal noteworthy patterns. Among the shared elements, the significance of perceived ease of use in determining e-learning acceptance and satisfaction within taxation courses (Alsharif, 2021; Chen et al., 2021) and the pivotal role of taxpayer awareness in influencing compliance (Sari et al., 2019) stand out. Similarly, e-learning's advantages in offering flexibility and convenience, coupled with challenges like technological glitches and limited interaction, are recognized by both Alsharif (2021) and Chen et al. (2021).

Distinct differences arise, such as the variance in the impact of perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use between the first and second articles (Alsharif, 2021; Chen et al., 2021), and the prominence of instructor attitude toward e-learning in the second article (Chen et al., 2021) but not the first (Alsharif, 2021).

Furthermore, while tax understanding lacks an effect on taxpayer compliance according to Sari et al. (2019), its relevance is suggested in the context of e-learning effectiveness in the first and second articles (Alsharif, 2021; Chen et al., 2021). The studies underscore that optimizing e-learning's potential necessitates surmounting barriers and enriching its attributes (Alsharif, 2021; Chen et al., 2021) while enhancing taxpayer awareness through education and socialization can enhance compliance (Sari et al., 2019).

Further research avenues, exploring aspects like motivation, attitude, and culture, are suggested to comprehensively understand e-learning and taxpayer behavior (Alsharif, 2021; Chen et al., 2021; Sari et al., 2019).

## **2.4 Interest in Enrolling taxation**

Student interest in taxation is vital for accounting education and practice as it affects the future supply of tax experts. Personal factors, including self-confidence, career aspirations, personality traits, learning styles, and attitudes, influence this interest. For example, Pratama et al. (2018) found that Indonesian accounting students' self-confidence and career aspirations positively influenced their choice of a tax career. Similarly, Bui et al. (2021) noted that US accounting students' interest in taxation predicted their specialization choice.

Educational factors like effective learning experiences, course complexity, relevance, subject matter, teaching methods, and assessment impact students' interest. Pratama et al. (2018) found that quality learning and tax training influenced Indonesian students' career preferences. Likewise, Bui et al. (2021) emphasized that perceived difficulty and relevance of taxation influenced US accounting students' career decisions.

Professional factors, such as career opportunities, challenges, rewards, job satisfaction, and ethics, also affect student interest. Bui et al. (2021) observed that perceptions of career prospects in taxation influenced students' choices. Tan et al. (2017) highlighted career satisfaction and ethical considerations as key factors retaining tax experts in Singapore.

Yusof et al. (2022) stress the significance of tax education, emphasizing its positive impact on disseminating tax knowledge. Respondents recommended integrating tax education across faculties and offering it as an elective subject, although they didn't strongly advocate for mandatory tax courses. Additionally, their research revealed that business students who studied taxation had a better understanding of their tax obligations.

In developing countries, revenue collection faces challenges such as low tax literacy, limited tax agency resources, substantial informal economies, corruption, tax evasion, and social and political obstacles to tax reform. Kurniawan (2020) found that higher education in tax significantly enhances tax compliance, both directly and indirectly through increased tax knowledge, which acts as a mediator between tax education and compliance.

In their study, Othman et al. (2020) discovered that over 90% of respondents believe that early formal tax education can improve their understanding of the tax system and their tax responsibilities. Most respondents expressed a consistent lack of tax education experience. Additionally, the study revealed that only 23.7% of respondents possessed a high level of tax knowledge, highlighting the need for greater emphasis on tax education, particularly considering Malaysia's newly implemented self-assessment system. To address this, the study suggests collaboration between the Ministry of Education, the Inland Revenue Board of Malaysia (IRBM), and related tax agencies to establish a formal tax education curriculum in secondary schools, thereby raising awareness about taxes among future taxpayers.

Implementing tax education in universities effectively boosts students' tax knowledge and compliance. Collaborations between universities and government agencies aim to raise tax awareness among students, further improving compliance. These findings align with the social learning theory, suggesting that the learning environment, including tax education, shapes taxpayers' knowledge and behavior, ultimately enhancing compliance.

## 2.5 Hypothesis

Based on the discussed literature, the researchers have formulated the following hypothesis to measure the relationship between variables:

H0: There is no effect between Students' Interest in Learning Taxation, Perceived Need in Learning Taxation, and Perceived Ease in Learning Taxation to Students' Interest in Enrolling Taxation.

H1: There is a significant effect of Students' Interest in Learning Taxation to Students' Interest in Enrolling Taxation.

H2: There is a significant effect of Perceived Need in Learning Taxation to Students' Interest in Enrolling Taxation.

H3: There is a significant effect of Perceived Ease in Learning Taxation to Students' Interest in Enrolling Taxation.

## 3 Research design and methodology

### 3.1 Population and Sample

The Population of this research is undergraduate students that are in non-business degree programs (e.g., Engineering, Nursing, Architecture, etc.) enrolled in Higher Education Institutions in Metro Manila.

One limitation identified by the researchers pertains to the selection of the study's population. According to the Commission of Higher Education (CHED), the most recent data available regarding the total higher education enrollment in the Philippines for the

academic year 2021-2022 stands at 4,443,217 students (EFOI - Electronic Freedom of Information - Request, 2023). Unfortunately, as of now, enrollment data for the academic year 2022-2023 is not yet available. Also, due to the unavailability of comprehensive sources for the population per degree program, the researchers employed the total number of students as of AY 2021-2022 as the basis for the total population. The sample size is computed using the Raosoft Sample Size Calculator (Tab. 2).

**Table 2:** Sample Size Determination using Raosoft Sample Size Calculator

Margin of Error	5%
Confidence Level	95%
Population Size	4,443,217 students
Response Distribution	50%
<b>Sample Size</b>	<b>385 students</b>

Consequently, the count of valid respondents is as follows:

**Table 3:** Number of Valid Respondents and Response Rate

Actual Number of Total Respondents	670
Less: Invalid Respondents (Business Students)	(282)
<b>Valid Respondents</b>	<b>518</b>
Divided by the Target Number of Valid Respondents (as per sample size computation)	385
<b>Response Rate</b>	<b>134.55%</b>

In this study, we employed a survey methodology, utilizing the Google Form platform to create a questionnaire. The questionnaire was structured into three primary sections. The first section sought respondents' agreement to answer the questionnaire in compliance with Data Privacy Laws and inquired about their enrollment status in a non-business degree program.

The second section collected general information, including gender, collegiate year level, degree program, and enrollment status.

The third section was further subdivided into three parts, addressing respondents' interest in learning taxation, perceived need for taxation education, ease of learning taxation, and interest in enrolling in taxation courses. This division enabled us to explore how each student's understanding of taxation influenced their responses.

Within this section, Likert Scales were employed across various subsections. The questions regarding tax education's importance and its effectiveness in promoting tax compliance among taxpayers were presented to gauge participants' perceptions. The questions were sourced from various references, covering the following variables:

Following the online distribution of the survey questionnaire, given the constraints on the study's timeframe, a non-probability sampling technique known as "Uncontrolled Quota Sampling" was employed. In this approach, the researchers did not employ random selection but rather focused on selecting a predetermined number or proportion of units, corresponding to the computed sample size (Business Research Methodology, n.d.).

To achieve this, the researchers contacted various relevant individuals to identify suitable higher educational institutions in the Philippines offering non-business degree programs. Subsequently, the Google Form link was transmitted to these contacts for distribution among potential respondents. After this dissemination, responses were gathered via the same online platform.

**Table 4:** Questions Adopted under Chosen Variables from Different Sources

	Construct	Revised / Adopted
1	Interest in Learning (Fierros, 2020)	<p>How familiar are you with the following topics of taxation: [Likert Scale]  <i>Principles of Taxation // Tax Remedies // Income Taxation // Estate Tax // Donor's Tax // Value Added Tax // Percentage Tax // Documentary Stamp Tax // Excise Tax // Local Government Tax // Preferential Taxation // Withholding Taxes // Common Mistakes and Errors on Tax Compliance // Practical Techniques in Tax Compliance</i></p> <p>How interesting do you find the following: [Likert Scale]  <i>Principles of Taxation // Tax Remedies // Income Taxation // Estate Tax // Donor's Tax // Value Added Tax // Percentage Tax // Documentary Stamp Tax // Excise Tax // Local Government Tax // Preferential Taxation // Withholding Taxes // Common Mistakes and Errors on Tax Compliance // Practical Techniques in Tax Compliance</i></p>
2	Perceived Need in Learning (Elsamanoudy, et. al., 2018, Marta, et. al., 2021, & Granfon, et. al., 2023, De Clercq, 2023)	<p>Level of Learning needed to offer Taxation courses: [Likert Scale]  <i>Principles of Taxation // Tax Remedies // Income Taxation // Estate Tax // Donor's Tax // Value Added Tax // Percentage Tax // Documentary Stamp Tax // Excise Tax // Local Government Tax // Preferential Taxation // Withholding Taxes // Common Mistakes and Errors on Tax Compliance // Practical Techniques in Tax Compliance</i></p> <p>In which of the following key domains for Tax Competence are more likely to be useful in your future career/business? [Likert Scale]  <i>Being aware of the tax system and various forms of taxation // Understanding and knowing the tax legislation // Having a basic knowledge of taxation (need to know enough to hand tax affairs) // Being able to fill in the tax forms independently, correctly and on time // Being aware to the responsibilities of a taxpayer // Being aware to offenses and penalties for non-compliance // Understanding the information provided on tax revenue as tax expenditures // Having the ability to use technology to be tax compliant // Being able to identify and use information that is communicated by the tax authorities // Being able to identify resources that could assist in becoming or remain tax compliant // Knowing and understanding employment earnings, tax rate, payments for social and public health insurance, tax credits, general knowledge on consumption tax // Understanding the basic concepts of income tax, including concepts of assessment rates and deductions // Being able to use arithmetic to make tax calculations // Being able to use tax-oriented financial skills // Being able to pay any outstanding taxes in due time</i></p>
3	Perceived Ease of Studying Taxation (Xinogalos, 2016)	<p>Express your degree of agreement or disagreement to the following statements [Likert Scale]  <i>Learning about the various areas of taxation is easy for me // I find it effortless to grasp new concepts related to taxation // I quickly become proficient in learning and applying new skills in the field of taxation // I feel confident in my ability to comprehend the content and skills associated with taxation // Learning and understanding the intricacies of taxation comes naturally to me.</i></p>
4	Interest in Enrolling Course (Fierros, 2020)	<p>If a minor in Taxation was offered at your school, and it counted toward your degree, how interested would you be to take it?  <i>Very interested // Fairly interested // Somewhat interested // A little interested // Not interested at all</i></p>

To elucidate the connections between the mentioned variables, this study will employ two key statistical techniques: central tendency and linear regression.

Central tendency involves calculating the mean (average) of all responses to each statement. This statistical approach provides a measure of the central or typical response to assess the overall sentiment or inclination of the participants towards specific statements or questions.

On the other hand, linear regression will be utilized to estimate the relationship between the independent variables, also known as predictors or explanatory variables, and the dependent variable, also known as the response or outcome variable. In simpler terms, linear regression helps us understand how changes in one or more independent variables correspond to changes in the dependent variable.

A relevant study conducted by Amin et al. (2022) employed this statistical method to demonstrate that tax knowledge is indeed one of the factors that can significantly influence the level of tax compliance within a particular country. In other words, their research showed that a better understanding of taxation among citizens can be associated with higher levels of tax compliance.

To conduct these statistical analyses, the study used specialized software tools such as JASP and SPSS. These programs enabled the computation and tabulation of the study's findings and statistical results, facilitating a thorough examination of the relationships between the variables under investigation.

## 4 Results and findings

As already shown in Tab. 2, the number of valid respondents is 518 out of 670 total respondents since 152 of them are enrolled in Business Degree Programs. For those valid respondents, there were no missing responses reported. The demographic profile of the valid respondents is as follows (Tab. 5).

**Table 5:** Demographic Profile of Valid Respondents

Characteristic	Information	No. of Respondents	%
Gender	Female	193	37.26%
	Male	310	59.85%
	Prefer not to say	15	2.90%
Year Level	1st Year	371	71.62%
	2nd Year	74	14.29%
	3rd Year	61	11.78%
	4th or 5th Year	12	2.32%
Degree Programs	Education and Natural Sciences	11	2.12%
	Engineering	205	39.58%
	Fine Arts and Architecture	4	0.77%
	Humanities and Social Sciences	5	0.97%
	Information and Communications Technology	278	53.67%
	Medical-related Degree Programs	7	1.35%
	Other Non-Business Degree Programs	6	1.16%
	Public Administration	2	0.39%
Enrollment Status	Full-time Student (Employed or Self-Employed)	49	9.46%
	Full-time Student (Non-employed)	451	87.07%
	Part-time Student (Employed or Self-Employed)	18	3.47%

All respondents (including invalid respondents) have agreed to answer the survey questions under the provisions of the Data Privacy Act of 2012.

Tab. 6 shows the mean of responses per demographic profile of the respondents. The presented data provides insights into the perceptions and characteristics of participants based on their gender, collegiate year level, degree program enrollment, and status of enrollment. The means various factors are reported, including familiarity with the topic (Familiarity ILT1), interest per topic (Interest per Topic ILT2), perceived need to learn (Need to Learn PNL1), perceived tax competence (Tax Competence PNL2), perceived

ease in learning taxation (Perceived Ease in Learning Taxation PELT1), and interest in enrolling in taxation courses (Interest in Enrolling Taxation).

**Table 6:** Cross-tabular Mean and Standard Error per Demographic Profile

Demographic Profile		Interest in Learning Taxation				Perceived Need in Learning Taxation				Perceived Ease in Learning Taxation		Interest in Enrolling Taxation	
		Familiarity (ILT1)		Interest per Topic (ILT2)		Need to Learn (PNLT1)		Tax Competence (PNLT2)		Mean	Standard Error of Mean	Mean	Standard Error of Mean
		Mean	Standard Error of Mean	Mean	Standard Error of Mean	Mean	Standard Error of Mean	Mean	Standard Error of Mean				
Gender	Female	2.45	.06	3.64	.07	4.09	.06	4.31	.06	2.88	.06	3.60	.06
	Male	2.55	.05	3.47	.05	3.82	.05	4.09	.05	3.00	.05	3.41	.06
	not to say	2.27	.19	3.40	.22	3.80	.23	4.32	.24	3.20	.24	3.52	.27
Collegiate Year Level	1st Year	2.46	.04	3.52	.05	3.91	.05	4.18	.05	2.94	.04	3.52	.05
	2nd Year	2.44	.10	3.61	.10	3.96	.09	4.17	.09	2.88	.10	3.53	.10
	3rd Year	2.83	.08	3.46	.11	3.89	.11	4.17	.10	3.00	.13	3.51	.14
	4th or 5th Year	2.48	.24	3.73	.25	4.18	.17	4.27	.23	3.02	.26	4.00	.25
Degree Program Enrolled	Education and Natural	2.44	.29	3.10	.27	3.34	.32	3.60	.30	2.53	.29	3.18	.23
	Engineering-	2.60	.06	3.47	.06	3.82	.06	4.03	.06	3.02	.06	3.48	.08
	Fine Arts	2.98	.47	3.89	.60	4.14	.47	4.35	.52	2.10	.50	3.25	.25
	Humanities	2.40	.31	4.39	.29	4.11	.25	4.32	.30	2.64	.36	4.40	.40
	ICT	2.44	.05	3.56	.05	4.00	.05	4.29	.05	2.95	.05	3.47	.06
	Medicine	1.90	.24	3.80	.24	4.44	.19	4.29	.17	2.91	.46	3.86	.40
	PA	2.46	.18	4.07	.18	4.16	.10	5.00	.00	2.40	.00	3.00	.00
Other	2.60	.54	3.54	.58	3.80	.28	4.08	.36	3.30	.52	3.67	.42	
Status of Enrollment	Full-time (Employed)	2.71	.12	3.55	.14	3.93	.13	4.20	.13	3.00	.14	3.67	.15
	Full-time (Non-employed)	2.45	.06	3.50	.04	3.92	.04	4.18	.04	2.94	.04	3.44	.05
	Part-time Student	3.15	.21	3.60	.21	3.75	.22	4.04	.24	3.41	.23	4.00	.21

In examining the gender differences in students' attitudes and interest toward taxation:

**Interest in Learning Taxation:** The mean interest scores are relatively close for all three gender groups, with females having a slightly lower interest (2.45) compared to males (2.55) and those who prefer not to say (2.27). This suggests that gender does not strongly influence interest in taxation.

**Perceived Need to Learn Taxation:** Female students have the highest perceived need (4.09) to learn taxation compared to males (3.82) and those who prefer not to say (3.80). This could indicate that female students perceive a greater practical need for taxation knowledge.

**Perceived Ease in Learning Taxation:** Interestingly, male students (4.31) find taxation easier to learn than female students (4.09) and those who prefer not to say (4.32). This suggests that gender might influence students' perception of the ease of learning taxation, with males feeling more confident.

**Interest in Enrolling in Taxation:** Female students (2.88) have a lower interest in enrolling in a taxation course compared to males (3.00) and those who prefer not to say (3.20). This could be related to their lower interest in learning taxation initially.

These differences suggest potential gender-related disparities in familiarity and interest. An article provided by Lumen Learning (n.d.) discussed how gender affects students'

behavior, attitudes, and expectations in which they have recommended and provided examples of creating a more inclusive learning environment.

When considering students' collegiate year levels in relation to their attitudes toward taxation:

**Interest in Learning Taxation:** 3rd-year students have the highest mean interest score (2.83), while 2nd-year students have the lowest (2.44). This could be due to 3rd-year students having a deeper understanding of their academic path and career prospects, which might be influenced by taxation knowledge.

**Perceived Need to Learn Taxation:** 4th or 5th-year students (4.18) perceive the highest need to learn taxation, possibly because they are closer to entering the workforce and expect taxation knowledge to be more relevant.

**Perceived Ease in Learning Taxation:** 4th or 5th-year students (4.35) find taxation the easiest to learn, which could be due to their increased exposure to complex subjects throughout their academic journey.

**Interest in Enrolling in Taxation:** 4th or 5th-year students (4.00) have the highest interest in enrolling in a taxation course, likely because they see it as more relevant to their immediate future.

This trend might reflect the progressive nature of learning and engagement over the college years. Hine et al. (2020) discussed the challenges that the students have faced in terms of academic workload, social adjustment, teacher expectations, and self-regulation. They also suggested some strategies to support students' transition and engagement in a new academic year.

When examining students' degree programs in relation to their attitudes toward taxation:

**Interest in Learning Taxation:** Fine Arts and Architecture-related degree program students have the highest interest (2.98), while medical-related degree program students have the lowest (1.90). This could be because students in artistic fields may not have encountered taxation topics as frequently as those in medical fields.

**Perceived Need to Learn Taxation:** Public Administration-related degree program students (5.00) perceive the highest need to learn taxation, possibly because their career paths often involve public finance and budgeting while the Medical-related degree program students (4.44) perceive the second highest need to learn taxation, possibly because they anticipate substantial income in their future careers.

**Perceived Ease in Learning Taxation:** Humanities and Social Sciences-related degree program students (4.32) find taxation the easiest to learn. This could be due to their familiarity with the analytical and critical thinking skills needed for taxation.

**Interest in Enrolling in Taxation:** Humanities and Social Sciences-related degree program students (4.40) have the highest interest in enrolling in a taxation course, which might be linked to their higher perceived need and ease in learning taxation.

Khan et al. (2021) supports this trend as they found that teaching methods, curriculum, role of leadership, and learning environment had significant effects on student learning outcomes in accounting education.

When considering students' enrollment statuses in relation to their attitudes toward taxation:

**Interest in Learning Taxation:** Part-time students (Employed or Self-Employed) have the highest interest (3.15), suggesting that students who are juggling work and education might see the direct benefits of taxation knowledge.

**Perceived Need to Learn Taxation:** Full-time students who are employed or self-employed have expressed a strong need to learn about tax (3.99).

Perceived Ease in Learning Taxation: Part-time students (Employed or Self-Employed) (4.04) find taxation easiest to learn, possibly because they can apply their knowledge immediately in their professional lives.

Interest in Enrolling in Taxation: Part-time students (Employed or Self-Employed) (4.00) have the highest interest in enrolling in a taxation course, likely because they perceive a clear practical benefit.

Differences are also observed based on the status of enrollment. Full-time students (employed or self-employed) demonstrate higher means in all factors, such as interest (3.75), need to learn (3.99), tax competence (4.20), and perceived ease (3.00). This might indicate that full-time students have more dedicated time for engagement with course content, leading to increased familiarity and interest. An article by Burke (2022), discusses how several factors, such as age, gender, enrollment status, and course delivery mode influenced student engagement during the pandemic. It cites data from the National Survey of Student Engagement and provides some recommendations for improving student engagement in the future.

The data highlights the need for educators and institutions to recognize the diversity in students' perceptions and characteristics. Tailoring instructional strategies to accommodate these variations can enhance engagement and learning outcomes.

Tab. 6 presents the results of a linear regression model analyzing the effect of students' interest in learning taxation, perceived need to learn taxation, and perceived ease in learning taxation to their interest in enrolling taxation courses.

The presented analysis provides insights into whether these variables collectively contribute to explaining students' interest in enrolling in taxation courses.

**Table 7.** Regression Model Summary – Interest in Enrolling Taxation

Model	R	R <sup>2</sup>	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	RMS Error	R <sup>2</sup> Change	F Change	df1	df2	p
H <sub>0</sub>	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.021	0.000		0	517	
H <sub>1</sub>	0.509	0.259	0.257	0.882	0.259	59.925	3	514	< .001

**Table 8:** ANOVA Results Table

ANOVA						
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	p
H <sub>1</sub>	Regression	139.767	3	46.589	59.925	< .001
	Residual	399.610	514	0.777		
	Total	539.376	517			

*Note.* The intercept model is omitted, as no meaningful information can be shown.

**Table 9:** Regression Coefficients Results Table

Model		Unstandardized	Standard Error	Standardized	t	p
H <sub>0</sub>	(Intercept)	3.485	0.045		77.645	< .001
H <sub>1</sub>	(Intercept)	0.476	0.231		2.061	0.040
	Interest in Learning Taxation (ILT1 & ILT2)	0.258	0.071	0.172	3.611	< .001
	Perceived Need in Learning Taxation (PNLT1 & PNL2)	0.489	0.056	0.378	8.783	< .001
	Perceived Ease in Learning Taxation (PELT1)	0.084	0.050	0.072	1.682	0.093

The model summary table (Tab. 9) reveals that the included predictors, such as interest in learning taxation and perceived need in learning taxation, exhibit a moderate positive relationship with students' interest in enrolling in taxation courses. Approximately 25.9% of the variation in students' interest levels can be explained by these predictors, even after considering the model's complexity. The average error in the model's predictions for students' interest is around 0.882 units. The addition of these predictors significantly enhances the model's ability to explain interest in enrolling in taxation, as indicated by the highly statistically significant p-value (less than 0.001). These findings demonstrate that these predictors play a meaningful role in understanding what influences students' interest in taxation courses.

The ANOVA table (Tab. 8) examines the sources of variation in students' interest in enrolling in taxation courses. Under the "H<sub>1</sub>" model with predictors (Interest in Learning Taxation, Perceived Need in Learning Taxation, and Perceived Ease in Learning Taxation), we find that these predictors collectively explain a significant portion of the variance in students' interest. The "Regression" row shows that the predictors account for a substantial amount of variance, as indicated by a high "Sum of Squares" (19.767) and a significant F statistic (59.925) with a p-value of less than 0.001. This means that these predictors are highly relevant in understanding why students are interested in taxation courses. The "Residual" row represents the unexplained variation, which is relatively small, implying that the model effectively captures most of the variance in interest. Overall, this analysis confirms that the predictors significantly contribute to explaining students' interest in enrolling in taxation courses, making them valuable factors to consider in this context.

The coefficients table (Tab. 9) provides valuable insights into the factors influencing students' interest in enrolling in taxation courses. The intercept reveals a baseline interest even when predictors are at their minimum values, and it is statistically significant. "Interest in Learning Taxation" and "Perceived Need in Learning Taxation" have substantial and statistically significant positive effects on students' interest in these courses, indicating that higher interest and perceived need correspond to increased interest in taxation courses. However, "Perceived Ease in Learning Taxation" does not appear to significantly impact students' interest, at least not at the chosen significance level. This suggests that while strong interest and a perceived need to learn taxation are influential factors, the ease of learning taxation may not play a significant role in shaping students' interest in taxation courses. In essence, interest and perceived need in learning taxation are key factors positively affecting students' interest in enrolling in taxation courses, while the ease of learning taxation may not play a significant role in this context.

It is important to note that while some relationships are statistically significant, the practical significance should also be considered. Additionally, the findings may have implications for curriculum design and student engagement strategies in taxation education.

## 5 Conclusion and recommendations

Tax Education and Training play a pivotal role in sustaining a nation's economic vitality. These tools are indispensable for nurturing citizens' future tax compliance, as underscored by Amin et al.'s (2022) research. Initiative-taking measures must be undertaken to design a curriculum tailored to the needs of non-business college students. This approach is paramount in fostering robust tax compliance and mitigating the risk of unwarranted penalties and legal entanglements.

As also recommended by the studies of Othman et al. (2020) and Herawati et al. (2022), Engaging in productive dialogues and consultations with diverse stakeholders,

including the Bureau of Internal Revenue, Commission on Higher Education, Professional Regulatory Boards spanning various fields, representatives from esteemed Professional Organizations, and faculty from diverse Higher Education Institutions, is imperative. Such discussions will facilitate the discernment of the necessity and viability of introducing a comprehensive Tax Education Course that can be followed by Higher Education Institutions.

To augment the scope of the study, it is strongly advisable to improve the sampling technique done to non-business student respondents particularly, on using Stratified Sampling since this will ensure that all non-degree program categories can answer the questionnaire and be more representative to the total population. This augmentation will bolster the study's robustness, rendering its conclusions more definitive and applicable across a broader spectrum.

Furthermore, a parallel investigation targeting non-business professionals and entrepreneurs should be conducted. This additional study would provide a richer perspective and foster coherence in the research's outcomes, contributing to the formulation of a holistic Tax Education curriculum.

## References

1. A.A. Alsharif. PLOS ONE, **16(3)**, e0248758 (2021).
2. S.N. Amin, P.Z.A. Buhari, A.S. Yaacob & Z. Uddin. Open Journal of Accounting, **2022**, **11**, 57-70 (2022).
3. I.T. Angeles. Categorizing Taxpayers: A Mixed-Method Study on small business tax compliance in the Philippines. UI Scholars Hub (2021).
4. N. Baporikar. International Journal of Sustainable Economies Management **11(1)**, 1–28 (2022).
5. B. Bui, J. Cullen & E. Jones. Advances in Accounting Education: Teaching and Curriculum Innovations **25(1)**, 229–256 (2021).
6. P. Chaudhary & R.K. Singh. Frontiers in Education, **6** (2022).
7. C.-H. Chen, C.-P. Lin & W.-M. Hsieh. An analysis of students' perspectives on e-learning participation – the case of taxation courses. Interactive Technology and Smart Education (2021).
8. S. Crisostomo. Lawmaker proposes teaching tax education. Philstar.com (2022).
9. B. De Clercq. Meditari Accountancy Research, **31(3)**, 501-523 (2023),
10. eFOI - Electronic Freedom of Information - request. eFOI - Electronic Freedom of Information (2023).
11. A.Z. Elsamnoudy, R. Abu-Kamer, F.M. Ghoneim, S. El-Saadany, M.A. Soliman & M.A. Hassanien. International Journal of Community Medicine and Public Health, **5(12)**, 5063-5068 (2018).
12. K. R. Fierros. Bringing Educational Psychology to Undergraduate Programs at Texas State University: Needs Assessment and Course Development (2020).
13. B. Frey & B. Torgler. Tax Morale and Conditional Cooperation. SSRN Electronic Journal (2006).
14. J.A. Granfon, W.N.D. Lagare, D.A. Aling, N.B.D. Dangcalan & A.A. Ebanay. International Journal of Multidisciplinary, **4(4)**, 1350–1364 (2023).
15. N.T. Herawati. JIA (Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi), **7 (1)**, 111-127 (2022).

16. G. Hine, R. Reaburn, J. Anderson, L. Galligan, C. Carmichael, M. Cavanagh & B. Ngu. *The Australian Educational Researchers*, **47(4)**, 789-810 (2020).
17. D. Kurniawan. *Journal of Indonesian Economy and Business*, **35(1)** (2020).
18. R. Maquiling, D.L.J. Manolong, T.M. Naong & M.G. Sumaylo. *Technium Business and Management*, **4**, 39–55 (2023).
19. M.M. Marta, L. Goia, O. Mureşan, O. Ursa & M.A. Uzoni. *English for dentistry practical course: needs analysis and feedback questionnaire* (2019).
20. J. Mawejje & R. Sebudde. *The Journal of Development Studies*, **12(55)**, 2513-2525 (2018).
21. K. Murphy. *Law and Human Behavior*, **2(28)**, 187-209 (2004).
22. OECD Building Tax Culture, Compliance and Citizenship: A Global Source Book on Taxpayer Education, Second Edition, OECD Publishing, Paris (2021).
23. R.D. Othman, Z. Ismail & N.H. Nawawi. *Introducing Formal Tax Education in Secondary School: A Survey on Malaysian Public's Perception. Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Applied Social Sciences, Business, and Humanity, ICo-ASCNITY, 2 November 2019, Padang, West Sumatra, Indonesia* (2020).
24. A. Pratama, R. Sari & M. Mawardi. *Journal of Accounting Research, Organization and Economics*, **1(2)**, 145-156 (2018).
25. Professional Regulation Commission. *The licensure examination for certified public accountants (LECPA) syllabus taxation* [Press release] (2022, June 2).
26. D.R. Sari, S.V. Siregar & Kusumahandayani. *Journal of Accounting and Taxation*, **11(4)**, 51–62 (2019).
27. H. Suryanti. *Psychology*, **58(1)**, 490–503 (2021).
28. L. Tan, S. Chatterjee & K. Siau-Cheng. *Journal of Accounting & Organizational Change*, **13(4)**, 481-502 (2017).
29. E. Thornton, L. Akmal, N. Branscombe & J. Helliwell. *Plos One*, **11(14)**, e0225730 (2019).
30. D. Ushakov, E. Israilova, D. Ivanova & K. Shatila, K. *Social Entrepreneurship and Climate Innovation: The Mediating Effect of Norms and Self-Efficacy. Climate-smart Innovation: Social Entrepreneurship And Sustainable Development In The Environmental Economy*, 19–34 (2023).
31. D. Ushakov, M. Vinichenko & E. Frolova. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, **272(3)**, 032111 (2019).
32. H.T. Yan, I. Onyusheva, D. Ushakov & R. Santhanakrishnan. *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, **8(4)**, 21–27 (2018).
33. N. Yusof, R. Safeei & C. Lee. *Introducing Tax Education in Non-Accounting Curriculum: Evidence from Academicians. International Academic Symposium of Social Science*, 2022. (2022).
34. S. Xinogalos. *Education and Information Technologies*, **21(3)**, 559-588 (2016).