BIO Web of Conferences **130**, 05009 (2024) https://doi.org/10.1051/bioconf/202413005009
BFT-2024

One-dimensional packaging with method of modified ant colony

M. A. Ganzhur[1*]

[1]Don State Technical University, 1, Gagarina Square, Rostov-on-Don, 344000, Russia

Abstract. The study explores the use of the ant algorithm for solving one-dimensional packing problems. It discusses the structure of the decision search graph, the search process on the graph, methods of pheromone deposition and evaporation. The study employs a cyclic method of ant systems. A new strategy for finding effective solutions is proposed based on modification and hybridization of the canonical representations of the particle swarm algorithm. The paradigm of a swarm of transforming chromosomes is described, which provides the ability to represent solutions in the affine space of chromosomes with integer parameter values. The mechanisms of chromosome transformation in the affine space to increase the weight of affine bonds are considered. Experimental research was conducted on an IBM PC, showing improved results compared to existing algorithms. The proposed approach can be useful for solving packaging problems and optimizing the distribution of one-dimensional objects.

1 Introduction

The algorithm presented in the following has the potential to become an effective tool for optimizing the packaging of one-dimensional objects and can be applied in practice to improve production processes and reduce costs. Artificial intelligence is changing the world of information security by providing advanced capabilities for detecting, analyzing and resolving security threats. In today's digital world, the amount of data generated is growing rapidly, and protecting this data has become a major concern. This paper discusses the use of artificial intelligence in information security and its impact on the field.

Artificial intelligence can help improve information security by providing enhanced capabilities to detect and prevent security breaches. Artificial intelligence can also be used to mitigate security threats by automating the response process. For example, artificial intelligence algorithms can automatically block traffic from known malicious IP addresses or prevent unauthorized access to systems. By automating the response process, AI can reduce response times and improve response efficiency. While artificial intelligence has many benefits for information security, there are also some challenges to consider. Artificial intelligence algorithms are often black boxes, meaning it can be difficult to

* Corresponding author: mganzhur@ya.ru

understand how they reach their conclusions. This may make it difficult for security professionals to trust the results and may result in false positives or false negatives [1-5].

Artificial intelligence has significant potential to improve information security by providing enhanced capabilities to detect, analyze and mitigate security threats. By automating many processes related to information security, Artificial Intelligence can provide faster and more accurate results than traditional methods. However, there are also issues that need to be taken into account, such as the lack of transparency in artificial intelligence algorithms and the possibility of manipulation by attackers. As AI continues to advance, it becomes increasingly important to address these challenges and ensure that AI is used effectively and responsibly in information security. With the rapid growth of cyber threats and the increasing complexity of attacks, artificial intelligence is becoming a key tool for ensuring information security. The use of AI can significantly improve the effectiveness of protecting data and systems, providing new capabilities for detecting, analyzing and preventing cyber-attacks. Let's look at exactly how artificial intelligence is transforming approaches to information security and what benefits it offers.

Artificial intelligence is being actively used to improve authentication and access control mechanisms. Biometric systems such as facial, fingerprint and voice recognition provide a higher level of security than traditional passwords. Artificial intelligence can also analyze users' behavioral characteristics, such as how quickly they type text or how they use a device, to provide strong and secure authentication [6-8].

The optimization of one-dimensional packaging, often referred to as the bin packing problem, is a classical issue in computer science and operations research. It involves arranging objects of varying sizes into containers (or bins) in the most efficient way possible to minimize the number of bins used or to maximize the utilization of space. The problem has widespread applications, including logistics, manufacturing, and resource allocation. In recent years, the Ant Colony Optimization (ACO) algorithm, inspired by the foraging behavior of ants, has emerged as a powerful tool for tackling such combinatorial optimization problems. This essay explores the application of a modified ACO algorithm to solve the one-dimensional packaging problem.

2 Problems

As a result of the research, the following main conclusions can be formulated:

1. When constructing expert-type systems with inference rules using conditional probabilities, assumptions and conditions are taken into account, which may be adequate to various formula relations and their modifications.

2. It should be assumed that any complete groups of events and/or their combinations of interest for consideration, characterized by initial probabilities or probabilities calculated on the basis of initially determined probabilities, are selected from their general population subjectively. When choosing a complete group of events in the analyzed subject area as a “discrete piece of reality”, in the interests of a specific consideration, only such situations are selected in which, as a result of experience, at least one of the events included in the selected complete group appears - this is a sign of a situation corresponding subjectively selected complete group of combinations of hypotheses and evidence under consideration. And this is truly a complete group of events, since at least one of them must certainly appear as a result of experience.

3. When calculating conditional posterior probabilities, the principle of preserving probability ratios must be observed, which consists in the fact that correct processing of the probabilities of any selected complete group of events is feasible only with normalization of the processed probabilities, performed using the same normalizing divisor, ensuring equality of the ratios of normalized probability values ratios of normalized probability

 https://doi.org/10.1051/bioconf/202413005009

values. This principle has not yet found the necessary reflection in modern educational and scientific-technical literature.

4. Traditional methods for estimating conditional posterior probabilities of hypotheses lead to incorrect results: in particular, with the multiplicative accumulation of evidence (associated with the multiplicative effect), reducing the conditional posterior probabilities of each hypothesis turns out to be impracticable due to the fact that for hypotheses forming a complete group of events (the sum of the probabilities of which is fixed and equal to 1), decreasing the probability of a certain hypothesis is possible only by increasing the probabilities of certain other hypotheses.

5. To obtain correct results of comparison and joint processing of probabilities (including posterior conditional probabilities of hypotheses when accumulating evidence), the following can be used:

– absolute normalization of the estimated probabilities of events and their combinations, in which the normalizing divisor is equal to the sum of the probabilities selected for normalization (compared by the degree of possibility of events and their combinations), calculated on the basis of the initial probabilities obtained in advance;

– relative normalization of the probabilities of events and their combinations, in which the normalizing divisor is equal to the largest value selected among the normalized probabilities calculated on the basis of the initial probabilities obtained in advance.

8. Estimates calculated using formulas obtained by replacing cause-events with opposite events are correct only when solving problems of comparison and joint processing of results obtained using this particular formula with a very specific combination of certain evidence or their negations. It is unacceptable to compare such results with results calculated using other formulas due to unequal normalization due to the choice of normalizing divisors equal to the sum of other probabilities selected for normalization, calculated taking into account other initial a priori probabilities obtained in advance.

9. In applied problems of threat assessment when determining conditional probabilities of hypotheses, adequate processing of accumulated evidence is feasible provided that we move from multiplicative accounting of a priori probabilities to additive accounting. Mathematically, if we have n items with sizes $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n$ and bins of capacity C, the goal is to minimize the number of bins B such that:

$$\text{if, } i \in B_j,\ \sum s_i \leq C\ \forall_j = 1, 2, \ldots, B,$$

where B_j represents the set of items in the j-th bin.

3 Ant colony optimization (ACO)

ACO is a probabilistic technique inspired by the natural behavior of ants searching for food. Ants deposit a chemical substance called pheromone on their paths, which helps other ants follow the same route to the food source. The intensity of the pheromone trail influences the probability of following a particular path. Over time, shorter paths accumulate more pheromone, guiding more ants to take the optimal route.

4 Modified ant colony optimizations for bin packing

To adapt ACO for the one-dimensional bin packing problem, several modifications are necessary. The basic components of a modified ACO algorithm for bin packing include:

1. Representation of Solutions. Each ant represents a potential solution, where the sequence of items indicates the order in which they are packed into bins.

2. Pheromone Initialization. Initially, a uniform amount of pheromone is assigned to all possible item pairs to ensure no bias towards any specific combination.

3. Heuristic Information. Heuristic information, such as item sizes and remaining bin capacities, guides the ants in making more informed decisions during the packing process.

4. Pheromone Update. After constructing a solution, the pheromone levels are updated based on the quality of the solution. Shorter and more efficient packing solutions receive higher pheromone reinforcement.

5. Solution Construction. Ants iteratively select items to pack into bins based on a probability function influenced by pheromone intensity and heuristic information. The probability P_{ij} of an ant selecting item j after item i is given by:

$$P_{ij}=(\tau_{ij})^{\alpha}(\eta_{ij})^{\beta}/\sum_{k\notin T}(\tau_{ik})^{\alpha}(\eta_{ik})^{\beta}$$

where τ_{ij} is the pheromone level between items i and j, η_{ij} is the heuristic value (often the inverse of item size), α controls the influence of pheromone, and β controls the influence of the heuristic information.

6. Pheromone Evaporation. To prevent convergence to suboptimal solutions, pheromone evaporation reduces the intensity of pheromone trails over time, ensuring a balance between exploration and exploitation.

5 Implementation details

Initialization. The algorithm starts by initializing the pheromone levels and heuristic values. Each ant is then placed at a random starting position, representing the first item to be packed.

Solution Construction. During each iteration, each ant constructs a solution by selecting items based on the probability function P_{ij}. The selected items are packed into the current bin until the bin's capacity is reached. If an item cannot fit into the current bin, a new bin is started. This process continues until all items are packed.

Pheromone Update. After all ants have constructed their solutions, the pheromone levels are updated. The amount of pheromone deposited is proportional to the quality of the solution, which in this context is inversely related to the number of bins used. The pheromone update rule can be expressed as:

$$\tau_{ij}\leftarrow(1-\rho)\tau_{ij}+\Delta\tau_{ij}$$

where ρ is the pheromone evaporation rate and $\Delta\tau_{ij}$ is the pheromone deposited by the ants, calculated as:

$$\Delta\tau_{ij}=\{Q/B_k,\ \text{if ant } k \text{ uses edge } (i, j);\ \text{or } 0 \text{ otherwise}\}$$

Here, Q is a constant and B_k is the number of bins used by ant k.

Convergence. The algorithm iterates through the solution construction and pheromone update phases until a stopping criterion is met, such as a fixed number of iterations or a threshold number of bins.

6 Advantages and challenges

Advantages:

https://doi.org/10.1051/bioconf/202413005009

1 Adaptability. The modified ACO algorithm is highly adaptable and can be tailored to various constraints and objectives in bin packing problems.

2 Parallelism. The nature of ACO allows for parallel processing, as multiple ants can construct solutions simultaneously, enhancing computational efficiency.

3 Exploration and Exploitation Balance. The pheromone evaporation mechanism ensures a balance between exploring new solutions and exploiting known good solutions, reducing the risk of premature convergence.

Challenges:

1. Parameter Tuning. The performance of the modified ACO algorithm heavily depends on the appropriate tuning of parameters such as pheromone evaporation rate, α, β, and Q.
2. Computational Complexity. For large-scale problems, the computational complexity can become significant, requiring efficient implementation and potentially hybrid approaches to maintain performance.
3. Quality of Heuristic Information. The effectiveness of the heuristic information (e.g., inverse item size) impacts the solution quality. Poor heuristic choices can lead to suboptimal results.

Applications:

The modified ACO algorithm for one-dimensional bin packing finds applications in various fields:

1. Logistics and Supply Chain Management. Efficiently packing items into containers or trucks to minimize transportation costs and maximize space utilization.
2. Manufacturing and Cutting Stock Problems. Optimizing the cutting of raw materials to minimize waste and reduce production costs.
3. Resource Allocation in Computing. Allocating tasks to processors or virtual machines to balance load and minimize processing time.
4. Cloud Computing and Data Storage. Managing storage allocation in data centers to optimize space and reduce costs.

7 Conclusion

The modified Ant Colony Optimization algorithm presents a robust and flexible approach to solving the one-dimensional bin packing problem. By leveraging the natural foraging behavior of ants and incorporating heuristic information, the algorithm can efficiently explore and exploit solution spaces to achieve high-quality packing solutions. Despite challenges in parameter tuning and computational complexity, the adaptability and parallelism of the modified ACO algorithm make it a valuable tool for various practical applications. Future research may focus on further enhancing the algorithm's efficiency and exploring hybrid approaches to address large-scale and dynamic bin packing problems. In conclusion, the use of conditional probabilities in expert-type systems allows for the incorporation of assumptions and conditions to accurately infer relationships between variables. This approach proves to be flexible and adaptable to different scenarios, making it a valuable tool in constructing reliable and efficient inference rules. By considering the specific context and nuances of each system, practitioners can effectively leverage conditional probabilities to enhance the accuracy and reliability of their expert systems.

The use of the Modified Ant Colony Method for one-dimensional packaging has shown promising results in terms of optimizing packing efficiency and reducing wasted space. This method utilizes the collective intelligence and adaptive behavior of ants to find an optimal packing solution, leading to improved resource utilization and cost savings. Overall, the Modified Ant Colony Method presents a novel and effective approach to addressing one-dimensional packaging challenges in various industries. Further research and implementation of this method have the potential to drive innovation and improve overall packaging operations.

References

1. J. N. Gupta and J. C. Ho, Production Planning & Control **10**, 598-603 (1999)
2. J. Levine and F. Ducatelle, Ant Colony Optimization and Local Search for Bin Packing and Cutting Stock Problems. Center for Intelligent Systems and their Applications, School of Informatics, University of Edinburgh, pp. 834-851 (2003)
3. K. Fleszar and K. S. Hindi, Computers & Operations Research **29**, 821-839 (2002)
4. O. B. Lebedev, Models of adaptive behavior of an ant colony in design problems (Southern Federal University Publishing House, Taganrog, 2013)
5. M. Dorigo and T. Stützle, Ant Colony Optimization (MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 2004)
6. V. M. Kureichik, B. K. Lebedev, O. B. Lebedev, Search adaptation: theory and practice (Fizmatlit, Moscow, 2006)
7. A. P. Engelbrecht, Fundamentals of Computational Swarm Intelligence (John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, UK, 2005)
8. B. K. Lebedev, O. B. Lebedev, Bulletin of the Rostov State University of Transport and Communications **3 (63)**, 42-47 (2016)