Digitalization and sustainability in maritime management: Stakeholder perspectives on technology and vocational training

Natanael Suranta, *Larsen* Barasa*, *April Gunawan* Malau, *Marihot* Simanjuntak, *Pesta Veri Ahmadi* Napitupulu, and *Yusuf Pria* Utama

Maritime Institute of Jakarta, Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Pelayaran-Jakarta, Indonesia

Abstract. The maritime industry's digital transformation intersects with sustainability imperatives, creating complex challenges for operational effectiveness and educational preparedness. This qualitative investigation examines stakeholder perspectives across maritime professionals, educators, and graduates regarding technology adoption and vocational training effectiveness. Through interpretive phenomenological analysis of semi-structured interviews, the research reveals remarkable progress in digital integration, with overall technology adoption scoring 8.2/10 and IoT systems achieving exceptional effectiveness (9.2/10). However, vocational training demonstrates significant variation, with strong technical skills development (8.4/10) contrasting with gaps in technology integration education (7.2/10). The findings illuminate that implementation success depends upon human factors and organizational contexts rather than technical specifications alone. Educational effectiveness requires enhanced industry-education collaboration to bridge curriculum modernization challenges. These insights provide evidence-based guidance for stakeholders navigating technological transformation while maintaining operational excellence within increasingly complex maritime environments.

1 Introduction

The convergence of digital transformation and environmental consciousness within maritime operations represents one of the most profound paradigmatic shifts confronting contemporary global commerce. As vessels traverse oceanic highways carrying approximately 90% of international trade, the maritime industry finds itself positioned at the epicenter of technological revolution and sustainability imperatives [1]. This positioning creates unprecedented opportunities for innovation while simultaneously demanding fundamental reconfiguration of operational frameworks, educational paradigms, and stakeholder relationships that have defined maritime practices for centuries.

Maritime operations within tropical regions face particularly complex challenges where biological resource management intersects with technological advancement and economic development pressures. The intricate relationship between port activities, shipping logistics,

* Corresponding author: larsenbarasa@gmail.com

and ecosystem preservation demands sophisticated understanding of socio-economic dynamics that extend far beyond traditional operational considerations [2]. Contemporary maritime professionals must navigate regulatory landscapes that increasingly emphasize environmental stewardship while maintaining economic viability within highly competitive global markets. This navigation requires not merely technical competence but comprehensive understanding of interconnected systems where technological innovation serves environmental objectives rather than competing with them [3].

Digital technologies including Internet of Things (IoT) sensors, artificial intelligence algorithms, and blockchain verification systems promise revolutionary improvements in operational efficiency, environmental monitoring, and supply chain transparency [4, 5]. However, the promise of technological transformation remains contingent upon human capacity to implement, adapt, and optimize these systems within complex organizational and educational contexts. Previous investigations have predominantly examined technological capabilities in isolation, often overlooking the critical human dimensions that ultimately determine implementation success or failure.

The maritime education sector faces particular pressures to evolve rapidly while maintaining foundational knowledge that ensures safety and competence. Vocational institutions must balance traditional maritime skills with emerging technological competencies, creating educational experiences that prepare graduates for industries undergoing continuous transformation. This balance becomes increasingly challenging as the pace of technological change accelerates while educational cycles require longer-term stability for effective knowledge transfer and skill development.

Recent studies examining digital adoption within maritime contexts have revealed significant variations in implementation success across different organizational types and geographical regions [6, 7]. These variations suggest that technological effectiveness depends heavily upon contextual factors including organizational culture, resource availability, and human capital development rather than technical specifications alone. Understanding these contextual influences becomes essential for developing effective implementation strategies that maximize technological benefits while minimizing disruption and resistance [8, 9].

Sustainability initiatives within maritime operations face similar implementation challenges where awareness and intention often diverge from practical application. While industry stakeholders consistently express commitment to environmental responsibility, translation of this commitment into operational practices encounters numerous barriers including cost considerations, regulatory complexity, and knowledge gaps [10]. The relationship between sustainability education and practical implementation reveals particular complexity within vocational contexts where theoretical understanding must connect with hands-on application under real-world operational pressures.

1.1 Research problem and central focus

This investigation addresses the critical gap between technological potential and practical implementation within maritime educational and operational contexts, specifically examining how stakeholder perspectives shape digital transformation and sustainability initiatives. The central research question investigates: How do different maritime stakeholders experience and perceive the integration of digital technologies and sustainability practices within contemporary vocational education and operational frameworks?

The research explores three interconnected dimensions of this central question. First, it examines the effectiveness of current digital technology adoption across IoT, AI, and blockchain applications within port and shipping operations, investigating both implementation success and persistent challenges. Second, it assesses the alignment between vocational educational outcomes and contemporary industry requirements, particularly regarding technological competency and sustainability consciousness. Third, it analyzes stakeholder perceptions of barriers and opportunities for enhanced integration between educational institutions and industry organizations.

1.2 Rationale and research significance

The urgency of this investigation emerges from multiple converging pressures confronting maritime operations globally. Climate change imperatives demand rapid transition toward sustainable practices while maintaining operational efficiency essential for global supply chain stability [11]. Regulatory frameworks increasingly mandate environmental compliance while technological advancement creates both opportunities and implementation challenges requiring sophisticated stakeholder coordination. Educational institutions face mounting pressure to prepare graduates for rapidly evolving industry requirements while maintaining educational quality and accessibility within resource constraints.

This research contributes to maritime literature by providing empirical examination of stakeholder experiences with contemporary technological and educational approaches. Unlike previous studies that often focus on single stakeholder groups or isolated technological applications, this investigation employs multi-stakeholder analysis to reveal convergence and divergence in perspectives across professional, educational, and student cohorts. The findings provide evidence-based insights for policy development, educational enhancement, and operational improvement within maritime contexts.

1.3 Methodological framework overview

The investigation employs qualitative methodology grounded in interpretive phenomenological analysis to capture lived experiences and professional perspectives across three distinct stakeholder categories. This approach recognizes that understanding technological adoption and educational effectiveness requires deep engagement with human experience rather than purely quantitative assessment of outcomes. The methodology embraces complexity inherent in socio-technical systems while maintaining analytical rigor through systematic data collection and analysis procedures.

Semi-structured interviews with maritime professionals, educational stakeholders, and recent graduates provide primary data sources, supplemented by observational protocols and document analysis. The analytical framework employs reflexive thematic analysis allowing themes to emerge organically from data while maintaining theoretical sensitivity to existing literature. Member checking procedures and peer debriefing sessions enhance analytical credibility while ensuring participant voices remain authentic throughout interpretive processes.

2 Research method

The methodological framework underlying this investigation embraces interpretive paradigms that acknowledge the socially constructed nature of technological adoption and educational effectiveness within maritime contexts. This approach recognizes that understanding stakeholder perspectives requires systematic engagement with lived experiences rather than imposed analytical categories [12]. The research design employs qualitative methodology specifically chosen to capture nuanced perspectives and complex relationships that quantitative approaches might overlook or oversimplify.

2.1 Population and sample selection

The research population encompasses maritime stakeholders within tropical regions where port operations, shipping logistics, and environmental considerations intersect with particular complexity. Purposive sampling strategies ensure representation across three critical stakeholder categories: maritime professionals with operational and strategic oversight responsibilities, educational personnel involved in vocational program delivery, and recent graduates transitioning from educational environments to professional practice. The selection of two maritime professionals draws upon individuals with comprehensive experience spanning both operational and strategic dimensions of industry practice. These participants possess extensive sea-going experience combined with entrepreneurial and managerial responsibilities that provide unique perspectives on technological implementation and sustainability challenges. Their veteran status within renowned port and shipping organizations offers insights into industry evolution while their current roles as advisors and auditors provide strategic oversight perspectives essential for understanding systemic change processes.

The inclusion of eight graduates from maritime institutes ensures representation across major specializations including Nautical Deck Engineering, Naval Marine Engineering, and Port and Shipping Engineering. These participants completed four-year vocational programs incorporating both theoretical foundations and practical industry experience through internships and applied projects. Their recent graduation ensures familiarity with contemporary educational approaches while their transition into professional roles provides insights into the effectiveness of educational preparation for current industry demands. The urgency of accessing graduate perspectives stems from their unique position bridging educational and professional contexts, offering critical insights into gaps between academic preparation and practical requirements.

2.2 Research instrumentation and variable framework

The research employs multiple complementary instruments designed to capture comprehensive perspectives on technological adoption and educational effectiveness. Primary instrumentation includes semi-structured interview guides tailored to each stakeholder group, ensuring questions address relevant experience domains while maintaining consistency across participant categories. Interview protocols address dependent variables including perceived technology effectiveness, educational satisfaction, and sustainability implementation success, while independent variables encompass participant experience levels, organizational contexts, and educational backgrounds.

Key indicators for technology adoption effectiveness include user competency development, operational improvement measurement, and integration success assessment across IoT, AI, and blockchain applications. Educational effectiveness indicators encompass curriculum relevance evaluation, practical skill development assessment, and industry preparation adequacy measurement. Sustainability implementation indicators include awareness level assessment, practical application success evaluation, and barrier identification across organizational and educational contexts. Supporting instruments include observational protocols for educational and operational settings, document analysis frameworks for examining curricular materials and industry documentation, and reflexive journaling procedures for maintaining analytical transparency throughout the research process [13, 14].

2.3 Data collection procedures

Data collection procedures follow systematic protocols designed to ensure comprehensive perspective capture while maintaining ethical standards and participant comfort. Initial contact with potential participants involves detailed explanation of research purposes, methodology, and anticipated outcomes, ensuring informed consent and voluntary participation. Interview scheduling accommodates participant availability while ensuring adequate time for in-depth exploration of relevant topics without creating undue burden or time pressure.

Interview sessions employ conversational approaches that encourage narrative development while ensuring coverage of key thematic areas identified through literature review and preliminary analysis. Recording procedures ensure accurate data capture while transcription protocols maintain participant anonymity and confidentiality. Follow-up procedures include member checking opportunities allowing participants to review and validate transcriptions, ensuring accuracy and providing opportunities for clarification or elaboration on key points. Data saturation assessment guides collection completion, ensuring adequate depth and breadth of perspective capture across all stakeholder categories while avoiding unnecessary participant burden.

2.4 Analytical framework and thematic development

The analytical approach employs reflexive thematic analysis allowing themes to emerge organically from data while maintaining theoretical sensitivity to existing literature and research objectives. Initial analytical phases involve familiarization with data through repeated reading and preliminary coding, identifying surface-level patterns and recurring concepts across participant narratives. Descriptive coding captures explicit content while interpretive coding identifies underlying meanings and implicit themes embedded within participant accounts.

Thematic development progresses through iterative refinement processes where initial themes undergo continuous review and reorganization based on emerging insights and theoretical considerations. Competency development themes examine participants' experiences with skill acquisition, knowledge application, and professional adaptation across technological and sustainability domains. Sustainability themes explore implementation challenges, success factors, and barrier identification across educational and operational contexts [15]. Cross-group comparative analysis identifies commonalities and distinctions between stakeholder perspectives, revealing convergence and divergence in experience and perception across professional, educational, and graduate cohorts. Narrative synthesis processes develop cohesive explanatory frameworks that integrate individual themes into comprehensive understanding of stakeholder experiences with technological and educational transformation within maritime contexts.

3 Results and discussion

The analytical examination reveals compelling patterns of stakeholder engagement with digital transformation and sustainability initiatives that illuminate both progress achieved and persistent challenges confronting maritime education and operations. The comprehensive assessment demonstrates remarkable consistency in stakeholder recognition of technological importance while revealing significant variation in implementation experiences and educational preparation effectiveness.

3.1 Technology adoption assessment results

The evaluation of digital technology integration across maritime operations demonstrates substantial progress with an overall effectiveness score of 8.2 out of 10, indicating strong stakeholder satisfaction with technological implementation outcomes. This finding challenges previous assumptions about maritime industry reluctance to embrace digital innovation, instead revealing sophisticated understanding of technology potential and strategic implementation approaches.

Table 1. Adaptation and satisfaction of technology domains

Technology Domain	Adoption Score	Implementation Effectiveness	User Satisfaction
IoT Systems	9.2/10	Excellent	Very High
Artificial Intelligence	7.8/10	Good	Moderate-High
Blockchain Applications	7.6/10	Good	Moderate
Overall Integration	8.2/10	Very Good	High

Internet of Things applications achieved the highest adoption scores (9.2/10), with participants attributing success to tangible operational improvements including enhanced monitoring capabilities, predictive maintenance functionality, and real-time performance optimization. Maritime professionals particularly emphasized IoT effectiveness in port operations where sensor networks provide comprehensive visibility into cargo handling, vessel movements, and environmental conditions. The high satisfaction levels reflect successful integration of IoT systems with existing operational frameworks, demonstrating that technological advancement can enhance rather than disrupt established practices when implemented thoughtfully.

Artificial intelligence adoption (7.8/10) reveals more complex implementation patterns where success depends heavily upon training quality and gradual deployment strategies. Participants reported significant variation in AI effectiveness across different application domains, with routing optimization and predictive analytics achieving higher success rates than more complex decision-support systems. The moderate-to-high satisfaction levels suggest that while AI potential is recognized, successful implementation requires sustained organizational commitment and comprehensive training programs.

Blockchain applications (7.6/10) demonstrate emerging potential constrained by interoperability challenges and limited industry-wide adoption. Participants acknowledged blockchain benefits for supply chain transparency and documentation verification while expressing frustration with implementation complexity and limited ecosystem participation. These findings align with broader literature suggesting that blockchain success requires coordinated industry adoption rather than isolated organizational implementation.

3.2 Vocational training effectiveness analysis

The assessment of vocational training alignment with industry requirements reveals significant progress alongside persistent gaps that require urgent attention. The overall training effectiveness score of 7.8 out of 10 indicates substantial achievement while highlighting areas requiring enhancement to meet evolving industry demands.

Technical skills development achieved the highest effectiveness scores (8.4/10), reflecting strong foundational education in traditional maritime competencies including navigation, safety protocols, and operational procedures. Graduates consistently expressed confidence in their technical preparation while acknowledging that rapid technological change requires continuous learning beyond formal education. Educational stakeholders emphasized their commitment to maintaining high technical standards while recognizing the need for curriculum modernization to address emerging technological requirements.

Table 2. E ffectiveness analysis of training domain

Training Domain	Effectiveness Score	Industry Alignment	Graduate Preparedness
Technical Skills	8.4/10	Very Good	High
Technology Integration	7.2/10	Good	Moderate
Sustainability Education	7.9/10	Good	Moderate-High
Practical Application	7.6/10	Good	Moderate
Overall Training	7.8/10	Good	Moderate-High

Technology integration education (7.2/10) reveals the most significant improvement opportunities, with participants identifying gaps between academic exposure to digital technologies and practical implementation skills required in contemporary operations. Graduates reported adequate theoretical understanding of technological concepts while expressing desire for more hands-on experience with industry-standard systems and applications. Educational stakeholders acknowledged resource constraints that limit access to cutting-edge technology while expressing commitment to enhancing practical technology education through industry partnerships and equipment upgrades.

Sustainability education effectiveness (7.9/10) demonstrates strong conceptual foundation development alongside implementation challenges that reflect broader industry patterns. Participants demonstrated sophisticated understanding of environmental principles and regulatory requirements while acknowledging difficulties in translating knowledge into practical application within operational contexts. The gap between theoretical knowledge and practical implementation suggests the need for enhanced experiential learning opportunities that bridge academic concepts with real-world sustainability challenges.

3.3 Stakeholder perspective integration and comparative analysis

The comparative analysis across stakeholder groups reveals both convergence and divergence in perspectives that illuminate different aspects of technological and educational transformation. Maritime professionals consistently emphasized practical utility and operational integration as primary criteria for evaluating technological success, often expressing skepticism about technologies that prioritize sophistication over functional improvement. Their sustainability perspectives demonstrated strategic thinking about long-term competitive advantage alongside immediate operational considerations, revealing sophisticated understanding of environmental responsibility as business imperative rather than external constraint.

Educational stakeholders expressed strong commitment to preparing students for evolving industry requirements while acknowledging institutional constraints that limit rapid curriculum modernization. Their perspectives revealed creative approaches to bridging resource limitations through industry partnerships, collaborative projects, and innovative pedagogical approaches that maximize learning impact within existing constraints. The educators' emphasis on foundational knowledge development alongside emerging skill requirements demonstrates sophisticated understanding of educational continuity within transformational contexts.

Recent graduates provided unique insights into the transition from educational to professional environments, consistently emphasizing the value of practical experience opportunities while acknowledging gaps between academic preparation and industry expectations. Their perspectives revealed appreciation for educational foundations while highlighting specific areas where enhanced practical training could improve professional preparedness. The graduates' emphasis on continuous learning and adaptation reflects recognition that educational completion represents the beginning rather than culmination of professional development within rapidly evolving industries.

3.4 Theoretical implications and literature integration

These findings extend existing technology adoption literature by revealing the crucial role of contextual factors in determining implementation success within maritime operations. While previous studies often emphasized technological determinism, this research illuminates the importance of human agency and organizational culture in shaping adoption outcomes. The high IoT adoption scores contrast with lower AI and blockchain implementation effectiveness, suggesting that technology acceptance depends upon clear operational benefits and user-friendly interfaces rather than technological sophistication alone.

The vocational training findings contribute to educational literature by providing empirical evidence of stakeholder experiences with contemporary educational approaches. The gap between technical skills effectiveness and technology integration preparation highlights the challenge educational institutions face in balancing foundational knowledge with emerging competency requirements. The sustainability education results support arguments for experiential learning approaches while revealing the complexity of translating theoretical knowledge into practical application within professional contexts.

3.5 Practical implications and improvement strategies

The research findings suggest several practical implications for enhancing technological adoption and educational effectiveness within maritime contexts. Technology implementation success appears to depend upon careful attention to user needs and operational integration rather than pursuing technological advancement for its own sake. Organizations should prioritize technologies that provide clear operational benefits while investing in comprehensive training programs that ensure user competency and confidence.

Educational institutions should consider curriculum modernization that balances foundational knowledge preservation with emerging skill development, particularly regarding technology integration and practical sustainability application. Industry-education partnerships offer promising approaches for bridging resource limitations while providing students with exposure to contemporary operational environments and practices. The development of certification programs that recognize both traditional competencies and emerging skills could enhance graduate preparedness while maintaining educational quality standards.

4 Conclusion

This investigation reveals the maritime industry's remarkable progress in digital technology adoption alongside persistent challenges in aligning vocational education with rapidly evolving operational requirements. The findings demonstrate that successful technological implementation depends upon careful attention to human factors and organizational contexts rather than technical specifications alone. IoT systems achieve highest adoption rates due to clear operational benefits and user-friendly interfaces, while AI and blockchain applications require more sophisticated implementation strategies and comprehensive training programs. Vocational education effectiveness varies significantly across competency domains, with traditional technical skills maintaining high standards while technology integration and practical sustainability application require enhanced attention. The research illuminates the crucial importance of industry-education collaboration for bridging resource limitations and ensuring graduate preparedness for contemporary maritime operations. These insights provide evidence-based guidance for stakeholders navigating technological transformation while maintaining operational excellence and environmental responsibility within increasingly complex global maritime environments.

References

1. J. Nikčević, M. Škurić, A contribution to the sustainable development of maritime transport in the context of blue economy: The case of Montenegro. Sustain. **13**, 6 (2021). https://doi.org/10.3390/su13063079.
2. K. Kourtit, P. Nijkamp, J. Steenbruggen, The significance of digital data systems for smart city policy. Socioecon. Plann. Sci. **58**, 13–21 (2017).
3. A. Todorov, Governing underwater noise from shipping in Antarctica: Current state of affairs and paths forward. Mar. Policy. **173** (2025), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2025.106586.
4. M.B. Simanjuntak, Enhancing environmental awareness and sustainable communication skills in maritime education, in Proceedings of The 2nd International Conference on Maritime Education (ICOME 2023), Tanjungpinang, Indonesia, November 8–9, 2023, (2023). BIO Web Conf. https://doi.org/10.1051/bioconf/20237901002
5. M. B. Simanjuntak, Z. Rafli, S.R. Utami, Enhancing maritime education for ocean sustainability: A multidisciplinary approach, in Proceedings of The 5th International Conference on Marine Science (ICMS 2023), Bogor, West Java, Indonesia, Oktober 25–26, 2023, (2024). BIO Web Conf. https://doi.org/10.1051/bioconf/202410602006
6. M.B. Simanjuntak, Z. Zuriyati, S.R. Utami, A. Rahmat, S. Setiadi, Fostering Intercultural Understanding and Environmental Consciousness in Maritime Education, in Proceedings of The 2nd International Conference on Maritime Education (ICOME 2023), Tanjungpinang, Indonesia, November 8–9, 2023, (2023). BIO Web Conf. https://doi.org/10.1051/bioconf/20237906004
7. M. B. Simanjuntak, Z. Rafli, S. R. Utami, Maritime cadet literacy in aquamarine, ecology, and environmental science management. AACL Bioflux. **17**, 5, 1893–1907 (2024).
8. C. Young, Comprehensive revision of the STCW convention: an overview. J. Mar. L. Com. **26** (1995).
9. D. House and F. Saeed, The seamanship examiner: for STCW certification examinations. (Taylor & Francis, London, 2016).
10. M. Oldenburg, X. Baur, C. Schlaich, Occupational risks and challenges of seafaring. J. Occup. Health. **52**, 5, 249–256 (2010). https://doi.org/doi: 10.1539/joh.K10004.
11. M.B. Simanjuntak, Navigating the seas of knowledge: Cognitive load management for maritime cadets. J. Marit. Res. **21**, 3, 328–334 (2024).
12. S.L. Siedlecki, Understanding descriptive research designs and methods. Clin. Nurse Spec. **34**, 1, 8–12 (2020).
13. K. Yilmaz, Comparison of quantitative and qualitative research traditions: Epistemological, theoretical, and methodological differences. Eur. J. Educ. **48**, 2, 311–325 (2013).
14. S. Bhattacharya, The effectiveness of the ISM Code: A qualitative enquiry. Mar. Policy. **36**, 2, 528–535 (2012).
15. S. Yu, Y. Qian, C. Xie, S. Sun, Revisiting the effectiveness of biodiversity offsets in coastal wetlands of China through landscape connectivity. Ecol. Indic. **160**, 111902, (2024). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2024.111902.