

Blockchain-Enabled Maritime-Agricultural Integration: Professional Perspectives on Sustainable Supply Chain Transformation

Larsen Barasa^{1*}, Tri Cahyadi¹, Marihot Simanjuntak¹, Mauritz H Sibarani¹,
Irfan Faozun¹, Natanael Suranta¹

¹Maritime Institute, Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Pelayaran Jakarta, 14150 North Jakarta, Indonesia

Abstract. This investigation explores maritime professionals' perspectives on blockchain-enabled agricultural supply chain integration for island community sustainability. Through qualitative analysis of ten experienced maritime graduates with decade-long industry expertise, the research examines professional readiness for technological transformation within traditional shipping operations. Using phenomenological methodology, the study reveals sophisticated professional understanding of blockchain potential for transparency verification, carbon credit integration, and multi-stakeholder coordination, while identifying critical capacity-building needs for successful implementation. Findings show strong recognition of blockchain benefits for supply chain transparency (80% high recognition) and environmental stewardship (80% high integration potential), but reveal significant development needs in blockchain technology literacy (80% high priority) and agricultural supply chain understanding (70% high priority). The research contributes frameworks for maritime education transformation and industry collaboration strategies supporting comprehensive sustainability initiatives.

1 Introduction

The convergence of maritime logistics and blockchain technology presents unprecedented opportunities for reimagining how island communities achieve food security, energy independence, and environmental sustainability [1]. Remote island populations face mounting challenges related to climate change impacts, resource scarcity, and supply chain vulnerabilities, requiring fundamental transformation beyond traditional cargo movement efficiency toward comprehensive community resilience building through integrated technological solutions [2]. Maritime transportation industries operate within rapidly evolving technological landscapes where digital transformation fundamentally alters operational paradigms, professional competency requirements, and strategic positioning within global commerce networks [3]. The integration of blockchain technology within maritime contexts demands sophisticated understanding of how traditional shipping expertise translates into innovative supply chain thinking that accommodates transparency

* Corresponding author: larsenbarasa@gmail.com

mechanisms, agricultural production coordination, and community empowerment objectives [4].

Island communities represent unique geographical and social contexts where supply chain innovation can generate disproportionate positive impacts across multiple sustainability dimensions, including food security enhancement, energy system optimization, economic development acceleration, and environmental stewardship advancement [5]. Maritime professionals possess intimate understanding of logistical complexities, infrastructure requirements, and coordination challenges associated with serving geographically isolated populations, positioning them as critical informants regarding blockchain-agricultural integration potential within island community development initiatives [6]. The theoretical foundation for blockchain-enabled supply chain transformation extends beyond simple tracking mechanisms toward comprehensive infrastructure supporting new forms of sustainable commerce that integrate economic viability, environmental stewardship, technological innovation, and community empowerment objectives within unified systems [7]. Research within maritime technology demonstrates how digital transformation requires not merely technological implementation, but fundamental reconceptualization of professional roles, educational preparation, industry collaboration patterns, and regulatory frameworks [8].

The research problem emerges from recognition that while blockchain technology demonstrates significant potential for agricultural supply chain transformation, particularly within island community contexts [9], limited understanding exists regarding how maritime transportation professionals perceive integration opportunities, implementation challenges, and competency development requirements associated with technological adoption. The gap between blockchain technological potential and practical implementation within maritime-agricultural contexts requires systematic investigation of professional readiness, capacity-building needs, and educational transformation requirements [10]. The central research question asks how experienced maritime transportation professionals perceive the potential for blockchain technology to transform agricultural supply chains serving island communities, and what factors influence their readiness to participate in such transformation initiatives. This encompasses understanding how maritime expertise translates into blockchain-agricultural integration thinking, identifying specific professional development needs, recognizing implementation challenges from maritime logistics perspectives, and exploring educational transformation requirements [11].

2 Method

This investigation employs qualitative phenomenological methodology designed to understand the lived experiences and professional insights of maritime transportation specialists regarding blockchain-enabled agricultural supply chain integration for island community sustainability [12]. The phenomenological approach provides appropriate theoretical foundation for exploring how experienced maritime professionals interpret technological transformation opportunities within their professional domains while maintaining focus on practical implementation considerations.

2.1 Research Population and Sampling

The research population consists of ten maritime transportation professionals who possess comprehensive educational preparation in port and shipping management combined with extensive practical experience within maritime industry contexts. The target population includes graduates from maritime institutes who completed decade-long professional development trajectories encompassing both academic study and practical industry

engagement, positioning them as critical informants regarding technological transformation potential within traditional maritime operations [3].

The sampling strategy employs purposive selection criteria designed to identify maritime professionals who possess both depth of experience and breadth of perspective regarding supply chain management, technological innovation, and community service orientations [6]. Each participant completed comprehensive maritime education programs specializing in port and shipping management followed by decade-long professional development within diverse maritime industry contexts including shipping operations, port management, logistics coordination, and supply chain optimization.

2.2 Data Collection Instrument

The primary research instrument consists of semi-structured interview protocols designed to elicit rich, contextual understanding of professional perspectives on blockchain-agricultural integration potential while maintaining focus on specific implementation considerations and educational development requirements [10]. The interview guide encompasses multiple thematic areas including maritime expertise assessment, blockchain technology understanding, agricultural supply chain awareness, island community development orientation, sustainability consciousness, and professional development needs recognition.

2.3 Data Collection Procedures

Data collection unfolds through systematic three-phase progression designed to build participant trust, generate comprehensive insights, and ensure accurate interpretation of professional perspectives [11]. Each interview session extends approximately sixty to ninety minutes, allowing sufficient time for deep exploration while respecting professional schedule constraints. The iterative collection approach enables progressive focusing where insights from early interviews inform refinements in subsequent questioning strategies.

2.4 Data Analysis

The data analysis employs systematic thematic analysis procedures designed to identify patterns, themes, and relationships within participant responses while maintaining sensitivity to individual perspective uniqueness and contextual factors that influence professional viewpoints [12]. Initial coding utilizes both inductive approaches that allow participant experiences to drive theme development and deductive approaches that maintain connection to research objectives and theoretical framework considerations.

3 Results

3.1 Professional Competency Integration Assessment

The comprehensive analysis of maritime professional perspectives reveals sophisticated understanding of blockchain-enabled agricultural supply chain integration potential combined with nuanced appreciation for implementation challenges and capacity-building requirements essential for successful technological transformation within island community contexts [1].

Table 1. Professional Competency Integration Assessment.

Competency Domain	High Proficiency (8-10)	Moderate Proficiency (5-7)	Developing Proficiency (1-4)	Mean Score
Maritime Logistics Expertise	10 participants (100%)	0 participants (0%)	0 participants (0%)	9.2
Blockchain Technology Understanding	3 participants (30%)	6 participants (60%)	1 participant (10%)	6.8
Agricultural Supply Chain Awareness	2 participants (20%)	7 participants (70%)	1 participant (10%)	6.4
Island Community Development Orientation	4 participants (40%)	5 participants (50%)	1 participant (10%)	7.1
Sustainability Integration Consciousness	6 participants (60%)	4 participants (40%)	0 participants (0%)	7.8
Technology Adoption Readiness	4 participants (40%)	5 participants (50%)	1 participant (10%)	7.0

The professional competency assessment demonstrates exceptional maritime logistics expertise across all participants, reflecting their decade-long industry engagement and comprehensive educational preparation. However, blockchain technology understanding reveals significant variation, with 30% demonstrating high proficiency while 60% maintain moderate understanding requiring additional development [7]. Agricultural supply chain awareness similarly shows development needs, suggesting critical importance of interdisciplinary education enhancement.

3.2 Blockchain Integration Opportunity Recognition Matrix

Table 2. Blockchain Integration Opportunity Recognition Matrix.

Integration Opportunity	Strongly Recognize (4-5)	Moderately Recognize (2-3)	Limited Recognition (0-1)	Weighted Score
Supply Chain Transparency Enhancement	8 participants (80%)	2 participants (20%)	0 participants (0%)	4.2
Carbon Credit Integration Potential	6 participants (60%)	3 participants (30%)	1 participant (10%)	3.8
Community Empowerment Through Technology	5 participants (50%)	4 participants (40%)	1 participant (10%)	3.6
Multi-stakeholder Coordination Improvement	7 participants (70%)	3 participants (30%)	0 participants (0%)	4.0
Sustainability Verification Mechanisms	6 participants (60%)	4 participants (40%)	0 participants (0%)	3.9
Economic Viability Enhancement	4 participants (40%)	5 participants (50%)	1 participant (10%)	3.5

The opportunity recognition analysis reveals strong professional appreciation for blockchain potential in supply chain transparency enhancement, with 80% of participants demonstrating high recognition of technological benefits for visibility improvement

throughout agricultural production and maritime transportation processes [9]. Multi-stakeholder coordination improvement receives similarly high recognition, reflecting professional understanding of current collaboration challenges within traditional supply chain arrangements [8].

3.3 Implementation Challenge Identification Analysis

Table 3. Implementation Challenge Identification Analysis.

Challenge Category	Critical Challenge (4-5)	Moderate Challenge (2-3)	Minor Challenge (0-1)	Priority Score
Infrastructure Technology Limitations	7 participants (70%)	3 participants (30%)	0 participants (0%)	4.1
Professional Capacity Building Requirements	6 participants (60%)	4 participants (40%)	0 participants (0%)	3.9
Multi-stakeholder Coordination Complexity	5 participants (50%)	4 participants (40%)	1 participant (10%)	3.6
Regulatory Framework Development Needs	4 participants (40%)	5 participants (50%)	1 participant (10%)	3.5
Island Community Technology Adoption	6 participants (60%)	3 participants (30%)	1 participant (10%)	3.8
Economic Investment Requirements	3 participants (30%)	6 participants (60%)	1 participant (10%)	3.4

Infrastructure technology limitations emerge as the most significant implementation challenge, with 70% of participants identifying technological capacity constraints as critical obstacles requiring comprehensive attention before successful blockchain-agricultural integration becomes feasible within island community contexts [4]. Professional capacity building requirements receive similarly high priority recognition, reflecting participant awareness that their current expertise requires substantial enhancement to support effective blockchain-agricultural integration management [11].

3.4 Professional Development Priority Assessment

Table 4. Professional Development Priority Assessment.

Development Priority	High Priority (4-5)	Moderate Priority (2-3)	Low Priority (0-1)	Development Score
Blockchain Technology Literacy	8 participants (80%)	2 participants (20%)	0 participants (0%)	4.3
Agricultural Supply Chain Understanding	7 participants (70%)	3 participants (30%)	0 participants (0%)	4.1
Community Engagement Skills	6 participants (60%)	4 participants (40%)	0 participants (0%)	3.9
Sustainability Assessment Capabilities	5 participants (50%)	5 participants (50%)	0 participants (0%)	3.7
Multi-stakeholder Coordination Skills	6 participants (60%)	3 participants (30%)	1 participant (10%)	3.8
Regulatory Compliance Understanding	4 participants (40%)	5 participants (50%)	1 participant (10%)	3.5

Blockchain technology literacy emerges as the highest professional development priority, with 80% of participants recognizing critical need for comprehensive technological

understanding that extends beyond basic awareness toward practical implementation capabilities. Agricultural supply chain understanding receives similarly high priority recognition, reflecting participant awareness that effective blockchain integration requires deep appreciation for agricultural production cycles, supply chain coordination requirements, and community food security challenges [5].

3.5 Educational Transformation Requirements Matrix

Table 5. Educational Transformation Requirements Matrix.

Educational Enhancement Area	Critical Need (4-5)	Moderate Need (2-3)	Limited Need (0-1)	Transformation Score
Interdisciplinary Curriculum Integration	8 participants (80%)	2 participants (20%)	0 participants (0%)	4.2
Blockchain Technology Integration	7 participants (70%)	3 participants (30%)	0 participants (0%)	4.0
Agricultural Systems Understanding	6 participants (60%)	4 participants (40%)	0 participants (0%)	3.8
Community Development Methodologies	5 participants (50%)	4 participants (40%)	1 participant (10%)	3.6
Sustainability Assessment Frameworks	6 participants (60%)	3 participants (30%)	1 participant (10%)	3.8
Practical Implementation Experience	7 participants (70%)	3 participants (30%)	0 participants (0%)	4.0

Educational transformation requirements reveal strong participant consensus regarding the critical need for interdisciplinary curriculum integration that bridges traditional maritime transportation expertise with blockchain technology understanding, agricultural supply chain management, and community development methodologies within unified educational frameworks. 80% of participants identify interdisciplinary integration as critically necessary for preparing future maritime professionals to address complex sustainability challenges.

3.6 Sustainability Integration Vision Assessment

Table 6. Sustainability Integration Vision Assessment.

Sustainability Dimension	High Integration Potential (4-5)	Moderate Integration Potential (2-3)	Limited Integration Potential (0-1)	Vision Score
Environmental Stewardship Enhancement	8 participants (80%)	2 participants (20%)	0 participants (0%)	4.3
Community Economic Development	6 participants (60%)	4 participants (40%)	0 participants (0%)	3.9
Food Security Improvement	7 participants (70%)	3 participants (30%)	0 participants (0%)	4.1
Energy Independence Advancement	5 participants (50%)	4 participants (40%)	1 participant (10%)	3.6
Social Cohesion Strengthening	4 participants (40%)	5 participants (50%)	1 participant (10%)	3.5

Sustainability Dimension	High Integration Potential (4-5)	Moderate Integration Potential (2-3)	Limited Integration Potential (0-1)	Vision Score
Cultural Preservation Support	3 participants (30%)	6 participants (60%)	1 participant (10%)	3.4

Professional vision for sustainability integration reveals exceptional appreciation for environmental stewardship enhancement potential through blockchain-enabled agricultural supply chain management, with 80% recognizing high integration potential for advancing environmental protection objectives through transparent sustainability verification, carbon credit coordination, and resource efficiency optimization mechanisms [2]. Food security improvement receives similarly strong recognition, reflecting professional understanding of how blockchain transparency might enhance agricultural production coordination and community food access [5].

3.7 Industry Collaboration Readiness Evaluation

Table 7. Industry Collaboration Readiness Evaluation.

Collaboration Dimension	High Readiness (4-5)	Moderate Readiness (2-3)	Limited Readiness (0-1)	Readiness Score
Cross-sector Partnership Development	6 participants (60%)	4 participants (40%)	0 participants (0%)	3.9
Academic-Industry Integration	7 participants (70%)	3 participants (30%)	0 participants (0%)	4.0
Community Stakeholder Engagement	5 participants (50%)	4 participants (40%)	1 participant (10%)	3.6
Technology Provider Collaboration	4 participants (40%)	5 participants (50%)	1 participant (10%)	3.5
Regulatory Agency Coordination	3 participants (30%)	6 participants (60%)	1 participant (10%)	3.4
International Partnership Building	4 participants (40%)	4 participants (40%)	2 participants (20%)	3.3

Industry collaboration readiness assessment reveals strong professional preparation for academic-industry integration initiatives, with 70% demonstrating high readiness for partnerships that bridge theoretical research with practical implementation requirements essential for successful blockchain-agricultural integration development. Cross-sector partnership development receives similarly positive assessment, reflecting professional understanding that successful implementation requires coordination spanning maritime transportation, agricultural production, technology development, and community engagement domains [4].

4 Discussion

The investigation reveals compelling evidence that experienced maritime professionals possess sophisticated understanding of blockchain-enabled agricultural supply chain integration potential while simultaneously recognizing substantial capacity-building requirements essential for successful technological transformation within island community contexts [1]. These findings align with contemporary scholarship emphasizing the critical

importance of professional readiness assessment within technology adoption initiatives, particularly regarding complex technological integration that requires interdisciplinary competency development and multi-stakeholder coordination capabilities.

The professional perspectives demonstrate remarkable convergence around recognition that traditional maritime transportation, while operationally efficient within existing paradigms, requires fundamental enhancement to address contemporary sustainability challenges including transparency verification, community empowerment, and integrated resource management objectives that blockchain technology might facilitate [9]. This finding contributes to existing literature within maritime technology adoption by extending the conversation beyond operational efficiency improvements toward comprehensive supply chain transformation that encompasses environmental stewardship, community development, and social responsibility objectives.

The research findings reveal significant gaps between current maritime education approaches and the interdisciplinary competency requirements necessary for blockchain-agricultural integration success, supporting existing scholarship that emphasizes the need for educational transformation within traditional professional preparation programs [3]. The professional perspectives suggest that successful educational transformation requires systematic integration of technological literacy, agricultural awareness, and community development methodologies within unified curriculum frameworks rather than additive approaches that treat these domains as separate enhancement areas [10].

However, the findings also reveal important distinctions from existing literature regarding the timeline and intensity of capacity-building requirements associated with blockchain-agricultural integration [7]. While previous research often emphasizes gradual technological adoption processes that allow for incremental competency development, the professional perspectives suggest that comprehensive blockchain integration requires more intensive preparation that addresses multiple competency domains simultaneously.

The investigation contributes novel understanding regarding how maritime professional expertise translates into innovative supply chain thinking that accommodates blockchain transparency mechanisms, agricultural production coordination, and community empowerment objectives [8]. The maritime professional perspectives reveal specific implementation challenges, capacity-building requirements, and collaboration strategies that inform both theoretical understanding and practical application within real-world contexts where successful transformation depends upon professional competency, institutional support, and community engagement coordination.

The findings highlight important limitations within current technological adoption frameworks that often underestimate the professional development intensity required for comprehensive supply chain transformation within geographically isolated contexts [2]. Island community characteristics create unique implementation challenges that require enhanced professional capabilities spanning technological literacy, cultural sensitivity, resource constraint appreciation, and community empowerment orientation that traditional maritime education and industry preparation approaches may not adequately address [6].

The practical implications of these findings extend across multiple domains including maritime education curriculum enhancement, professional development program design, industry collaboration strategy formulation, and community development initiative planning. Educational institutions require systematic approaches for integrating blockchain technology literacy, agricultural supply chain understanding, and community engagement capabilities within existing maritime curriculum frameworks while maintaining technical excellence within traditional transportation domains [12].

5 Conclusion

This investigation reveals that experienced maritime professionals demonstrate sophisticated understanding of blockchain-enabled agricultural supply chain integration potential while recognizing critical capacity-building requirements essential for successful technological transformation within island community sustainability contexts. The research establishes how maritime expertise provides unique foundations for comprehensive supply chain innovation through professional appreciation of logistical complexity, community service orientation, and operational excellence standards. The findings demonstrate strong professional consensus regarding the transformative potential of blockchain technology for addressing fundamental supply chain transparency, accountability, and coordination deficits that limit sustainability verification and community empowerment within traditional maritime-agricultural arrangements. However, the analysis reveals significant professional development needs spanning blockchain technology literacy, agricultural supply chain understanding, community engagement capabilities, and interdisciplinary coordination skills that current maritime education and industry preparation approaches inadequately address. The research contributes essential insights for maritime education transformation through identification of specific curriculum enhancement requirements that integrate technological innovation, agricultural awareness, and community development methodologies within unified professional preparation frameworks. The investigation provides practical foundations for industry collaboration strategy development that bridges maritime transportation expertise with agricultural production, technology development, and community empowerment initiatives essential for comprehensive sustainability transformation success. Future research should explore longitudinal professional development assessment, community stakeholder perspective analysis, and comparative implementation evaluation across diverse geographical and cultural contexts to enhance understanding of blockchain-agricultural-maritime integration success factors while supporting practical application within real-world island community development initiatives.

References

1. M. Mallam, S. Nazir, and S. K. Renganayagalu, "Rethinking maritime education, training, and operations in the digital era: Applications for emerging immersive technologies," *J. Mar. Sci. Eng.*, **vol. 7**, no. 12, p. 428, 2019.
2. R. Agrifoglio, C. Cannavale, E. Laurenza, and C. Metallo, "How emerging digital technologies affect operations management through co-creation. Empirical evidence from the maritime industry," *Prod. Plan. Control*, **vol. 28**, no. 16, pp. 1298-1306, 2017.
3. A. G. Malau, C. Purnama, and M. B. Simanjuntak, "Developing competency-based maritime education for the digital age," *Journal of Maritime Research*, **vol. 22**, no. 1, pp. 100-106, 2025.
4. I. de la Peña Zarzuelo, M. J. F. Soeane, and B. L. Bermúdez, "Industry 4.0 in the port and maritime industry: A literature review," *J. Ind. Inf. Integr.*, **vol. 20**, p. 100173, 2020.
5. Y. Chang, E. Iakovou, and W. Shi, "Blockchain in global supply chains and cross border trade: A critical synthesis of the state-of-the-art, challenges and opportunities," *Int. J. Prod. Res.*, **vol. 58**, no. 7, pp. 2082-2099, 2020.
6. M. B. Simanjuntak, Z. Rafli, and S. R. Utami, "Elevating vocational student competence: The crucial need for English literacy competence," *Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun*, **vol. 13**, no. 1, pp. 721-744, 2025.

7. Z. H. Munim, M. Dushenko, V. J. Jimenez, M. H. Shakil, and M. Imset, "Big data and artificial intelligence in the maritime industry: a bibliometric review and future research directions," *Marit. Policy Manag.*, **vol. 47**, no. 5, pp. 577-597, 2020.
8. M. Plaza-Hernández, A. B. Gil-González, S. Rodríguez-González, J. Prieto-Tejedor, and J. M. Corchado-Rodríguez, "Integration of IoT technologies in the maritime industry," in *Distributed Computing and Artificial Intelligence, Special Sessions, 17th International Conference*, Springer, 2021, pp. 107-115.
9. A. Lei, H. Cruickshank, Y. Cao, P. Asuquo, C. P. A. Ogah, and Z. Sun, "Blockchain-based dynamic key management for heterogeneous intelligent transportation systems," *IEEE Internet Things J.*, **vol. 4**, no. 6, pp. 1832-1843, 2017.
10. M. B. Simanjuntak, "Navigating the seas of knowledge: Cognitive load management for maritime cadets," *Journal of Maritime Research*, **vol. 21**, no. 3, pp. 328-334, 2024.
11. V. K. Klotz, S. Billett, and E. Winther, "Promoting workforce excellence: formation and relevance of vocational identity for vocational educational training," *Empir. Res. Vocat. Educ. Train.*, **vol. 6**, pp. 1-20, 2014.
12. M. B. Simanjuntak, Z. Rafli, and S. R. Utami, "Maritime cadet literacy in aquamarine, ecology, and environmental science management," *Aquaculture, Aquarium, Conservation & Legislation*, **vol. 17**, no. 5, pp. 1893-1907, 2024.